1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137 CHRISTINE VAN AKEN, State Bar #241755 Deputy City Attorneys 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Telephone: (415) 554-4633 Facsimile: (415) 554-4699 E-Mail: christine.van.aken@sfgov.org  Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and ITS OFFICIALS			
9				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
12				
13	THERESE MARIE PIZZO,	Case No. C09-4493 CW		
14	Plaintiff, vs.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING PRETRIAL AND TRIAL		
15	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	DEADLINES		
16	MAYOR EDWIN LEE, in his official capacity; SAN FRANCISCO POLICE	[Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12]		
17	DEPARTMENT CHIEF OF POLICE GREG SUHR, in his official capacity; SAN			
18	FRANCISCO SHERIFF VICKI HENNESSY, in her official capacity; CITY AND COUNTY			
19	OF SAN FRANCISCO; and STATE OF CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL			
20	KAMALA D. HARRIS, in her official capacity,			
21				
22	Defendants.			
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				

Pursuant to Northern District Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, the parties to this action, through their respective attorneys of record, do hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

WHEREAS, the parties cross-motions for summary judgment in this matter, heard on August 30, 2012, were taken under submission (Dkt 110).

WHEREAS the motions for summary judgment remain under submission;

WHEREAS, all parties to this case agree that the case is appropriate for disposition by summary judgment;

WHEREAS, the final pretrial conference in this case is set for January 23, 2013 at 2:00 p.m.; and the trial is set for February 11, 2013 (Dkt 80, 108);

WHEREAS, under the current pretrial and trial schedule, the parties are required to exchange motions in limine and the papers described in Civil L.R. 16-10(b)(7),(8),(9), and (10) on December 18, 2012;

WHEREAS, the parties seek to continue the pretrial conference and trial dates in this matter to conserve resources and avoid pretrial preparations unless this Court determines that summary judgment is not warranted in this case.

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 6-2, the parties therefore stipulate and seek an order of the Court modifying the pretrial and trial deadlines in this case:

June 17, 2013	Exchange of motions in limine and the papers described in Civil	
	L.R. 16-10(b)(7),(8),(9), and (10)	
July 8, 2013	Meet and Confer re Joint Pretrial Statement	
July 15, 2013	File Joint Pretrial Statement, exhibit list and objections, witness	
	list, designation of discovery responses, trial briefs, motions in	
	limine, and proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law	
July 22, 2013	File Opposition to Motions in Limine	
July 29, 2013	Final pretrial conference	
August 12, 2013	Trial	

## Case4:09-cv-04493-CW Document111 Filed12/05/12 Page3 of 3

- 1		
1	1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2	,	J. HERRERA
3	WAINE	SNODGRASS
4	<b>4</b> H	NE VAN AKEN ity Attorneys
5	5	
6		tine Van Aken NE VAN AKEN
7	7	
8		s for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF ANCISCO and ITS OFFICIALS
9		W OFFICES OF GARY W. GORSKI
10		W OFFICES OF GART W. GORSKI
11		ks/Gary W. Gorski
12	2   Attorney	V. GORSKI for Plaintiff
13	3 THERES	E MARIE PIZZO
14	4 Dated: December 4, 2012 CALIFO	RNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
15	5	
16	6 By:** <sub>S</sub> /6	George Waters
17	7	E WATERS for Defendant
18		NEY GENERAL KAMALA D. HARRIS
19	9 **Pursuant to GO 45, the electronic signatory has	
20		
21	1	
22	<u>ORDER</u>	
23	Pursuant to this Stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	4 DATED:	
25	THE HO	NORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN nited States District Court
26		
27	77	
28	8	

DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137 CHRISTINE VAN AKEN, State Bar #241755 Deputy City Attorneys 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Telephone: (415) 554-4633 Facsimile: (415) 554-4699 E-Mail: christine.van.aken@sfgov.org  Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and ITS OFFICIALS			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
THERESE MARIE PIZZO,	Case No. C09-4493 CW		
Plaintiff, vs.	DECLARATION OF CHRISTINE VAN AKEI IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING PRETRIAL AND TRIAL DEADLINES		
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO MAYOR EDWIN LEE, in his official capacity; SAN FRANCISCO POLICE	[Local Rules 6-2, 7-12]		
SUHR, in his official capacity; SAN			
in her official capacity; CITY AND COUNTY			
CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL			
KAMALA D. HARRIS, in her official capacity,			
Defendants.			
	City Attorney WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137 CHRISTINE VAN AKEN, State Bar #241755 Deputy City Attorneys 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 234 San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Telephone: (415) 554-4633 Facsimile: (415) 554-4699 E-Mail: christine.van.aken@sfgov.org  Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and ITS OFFICIALS  UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST  THERESE MARIE PIZZO,  Plaintiff,  vs.  CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO MAYOR EDWIN LEE, in his official capacity; SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT CHIEF OF POLICE GREG SUHR, in his official capacity; SAN FRANCISCO SHERIFF VICKI HENNESSY, in her official capacity; CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; and STATE OF CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL KAMALA D. HARRIS, in her official		

28

I, Christine Van Aken, declare as follows:

1. I am a Deputy City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco and an attorney of record for defendants City and County of San Francisco, the Mayor of San Francisco, the Chief of the San Francisco Police Department, and the San Francisco Sheriff (collectively, the "City"). The matters within this declaration are true of my personal knowledge or, where stated otherwise, upon

information and belief. I could and would testify competently to the matters stated in this declaration.

- 2. The parties to this case are submitting a stipulated request for an order modifying the pretrial and trial schedule in this case.
- 3. This request is made for good cause. Specifically, this request will allow the parties to begin their pretrial preparations only in the event that any claims remain to be tried after the Court has decided the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment.
- 4. I was assigned to this case in February 2012. Based on my review of the electronic files that the City maintains for this case, the previous modifications of time in this case are as follows:
  - a. On August 27, 2009, the Court stayed this case pending a determination by appellate courts concerning whether the Second Amendment is incorporated against the States.
    - b. On September 13, 2010, the Court lifted the stay of proceedings. Dkt 37.
  - c. On September 27, 2010, the Court extended the time for the City to respond to the amended complaint, upon the parties' stipulation. Dkt 53.
  - d. On November 29, 2010, the Court extended the time for the City to respond to the amended complaint, upon the City's motion. Dkt 42.
  - e. On December 16, 2010, the Court set a deadline of January 27, 2011 for Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. Dkt 56.
  - f. On January 28, 2011, the Court endorsed the parties' stipulation extending the time for Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. Dkt 60.
  - g. On April 8, 2011, the Court continued a hearing on Defendants' motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint to April 28, 2011. Dkt 69.
  - h. On October 26, 2011, upon the parties' stipulated request, the Court continued a case management conference from November 3, 2011 to November 17, 2011. Dkt 93, 94.

- i. On May 5, 2012, upon the parties' stipulated request, the Court modified the briefing schedule for Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Judgment on the Pleadings without modifying the motion's hearing date.
- j. The Court modified the summary judgment briefing schedule and set the hearing date on summary judgment motions and the further case management conference for August 9, 2012 at 2:00 p.m. Dkt. 70, 89.
- k. The parties stipulated, and the Court ordered, that the summary judgment hearing be continued to August 30, 2012, at 2:00 p.m. Dkt. 94, 95.
- 1. Upon the parties' stipulated request, the Court continued the trial date from October 9, 2012 to February 11, 2013. Dkt. 108.
- 5. This continuance will affect the schedule for this case by delaying trial from February 2013 to August 2013.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 4th day of December, 2012, in San Francisco, California.

s/ Christine Van Aken
Christine Van Aken