



County of San Diego

THOMAS E. MONTGOMERY
COUNTY COUNSEL

OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL
1600 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, ROOM 355, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
(619) 531-4860 Fax (619) 531-6005

JAMES M. CHAPIN
SENIOR DEPUTY
Direct Dial: (619) 531-5244
E-Mail: james.chapin@sdcounty.ca.gov

May 14, 2014

Molly C. Dwyer, Clerk of Court
Office of the Clerk
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
Post Office Box 193939
San Francisco, California 94119

Re: Response to Court's Order of May 1, 2014
Edward Peruta, et al. v. County of San Diego, et al.;
Court of Appeals No. 10-56971

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

The Court has requested the position of Appellee William Gore on the pending motions to intervene and a response to the suggestion that this case is moot. Appellee responds as follows:

Motions to Intervene.

Appellee believes that the Attorney General is the appropriate intervenor in this case because the panel opinion finds California's legislative scheme regarding the carrying of handguns unconstitutional. Appellee requests that the Court grant the Attorney General's Motion to Intervene. Appellee takes no position on other intervenors.

Mootness.

This case is not moot. Appellee has not changed his policy or procedures for the issuance of concealed carry licenses. All current applications that do not meet the existing policy are being held without action, pending final direction from the Court or the Legislature.

Very truly yours,

THOMAS E. MONTGOMERY, County Counsel

By/s/James M. Chapin
JAMES M. CHAPIN, Senior Deputy
Attorneys for Defendant/Appellee William D. Gore
E-mail: james.chapin@sdcounty.ca.gov

JMC:ogo
09-90350

Edward Peruta, et al. v. County of San Diego, et al. ;
U.S. Court of Appeals Docket Number(s): 10-56971

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the following document(s): **Response to Court's Order of May 1, 2014** with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on May 14, 2014.

I certify that the following participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system:

Paul H. Neuharth Jr.
Paul Neuharth, Jr. APC
pneuharth@sbcglobal.net

Carl D. Michel, Michel & Associates, P.C.
cmichel@michellawyers.com

Paul D. Clement, Bancroft PLLC
pclement@bancroftpllc.com

Gregory David Brown, AGCA –
Office of the California Attorney General
Gregory.Brown@doj.ca.gov
Craig Konnoth
craig.konnoth@doj.ca.gov
Ross Moody
ross.moody@doj.ca.gov

John C. Eastman,
The Claremont Institute Center
for Constitutional Jurisprudence
jeastman@chapman.edu

Paul R. Coble, JONES & MAYER
prc@jones-mayer.com

Simon J. Frankel, Covington & Burling, LLP
sfrankel@cov.com

Alan Gura, Gura & Possessky, PLLC
alan@gurapossessky.com

Stephen Porter Halbrosk
protell@aol.com

Don Kates, Michel & Associates, P.C.
dkates@michellawyers.com

David Kopel, Independence Institute
david@i2i.org

Allan Jerome Mayer
lanyslo@aol.com

Neil R. O'Hanlon, Hogan Lovells US LLP
neil.ohanlon@hoganlovells.com

By: s/ JAMES M. CHAPIN
E-mail: james.chapin@sdcounty.ca.gov