

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**MELEANIE HAIN and
SCOTT HAIN,**

Plaintiffs

v.

MICHAEL J. DeLEO et al.,

Defendants

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

**Civil Action No. 1:08-CV-2136
(Chief Judge Kane)**

ORDER

AND NOW, on this 18th day of February 2009, before the Court is the Plaintiffs' second motion for an extension of time to file a response to the Defendants' pending motion to dismiss. (Doc. No. 21.) Counsel for the Plaintiffs requests an extension until February 23, 2009, because of the length and complexity of the issues raised by the Defendants' motion and the recent, unexpected tragedy of his close friend that has limited his time. (Doc. No. 21 ¶ 6.) The motion is opposed by the Defendants, who request the extension be denied "[g]iven the extension already graciously provided to Plaintiffs' counsel to file Plaintiffs' Brief in Response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and no good cause being shown for an additional extension" (Doc. No. 22 ¶ 23.) While the Court recognizes the Defendants' objection, the requested extension is not unreasonable and the Court finds that it is appropriate under the circumstances.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Plaintiffs' motion for an extension of time is **GRANTED**. Plaintiffs shall respond to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss by February 23, 2009.

s/ Yvette Kane
Yvette Kane, Chief Judge
United States District Court
Middle District of Pennsylvania