

DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669  
City Attorney  
WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137  
CHRISTINE VAN AKEN, State Bar #241755  
Deputy City Attorneys  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
City Hall, Room 234  
San Francisco, California 94102-4682  
Telephone: (415) 554-4633  
Facsimile: (415) 554-4699  
E-Mail: christine.van.aken@sfgov.org

Attorneys for Defendants  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
and ITS OFFICIALS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THERESE MARIE PIZZO,

Plaintiff,

vs.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
MAYOR EDWIN LEE, in his official  
capacity; SAN FRANCISCO POLICE  
DEPARTMENT CHIEF OF POLICE GREG  
SUHR, in his official capacity; SAN  
FRANCISCO SHERIFF VICKI HENNESSY,  
in her official capacity; CITY AND COUNTY  
OF SAN FRANCISCO; and STATE OF  
CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL  
KAMALA D. HARRIS, in her official  
capacity,

Defendants.

Case No. C09-4493 CW

**DECLARATION OF CHRISTINE VAN AKEN  
IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND  
[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING  
PRETRIAL AND TRIAL DEADLINES**

**[Local Rules 6-2, 7-12]**

1 I, Christine Van Aken, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a Deputy City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco and an attorney  
3 of record for defendants City and County of San Francisco, the Mayor of San Francisco, the Chief of  
4 the San Francisco Police Department, and the San Francisco Sheriff (collectively, the "City"). The  
5 matters within this declaration are true of my personal knowledge or, where stated otherwise, upon  
6 information and belief. I could and would testify competently to the matters stated in this declaration.

7 2. The parties to this case are submitting a stipulated request for an order modifying the  
8 pretrial and trial schedule in this case.

9 3. This request is made for good cause. Specifically, this request will allow the parties to  
10 begin their pretrial preparations only in the event that any claims remain to be tried after the Court has  
11 considered the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment.

12 4. I was assigned to this case in February 2012. Based on my review of the electronic  
13 files that the City maintains for this case, the previous modifications of time in this case are as follows:

14 a. On August 27, 2009, the Court stayed this case pending a determination by  
15 appellate courts concerning whether the Second Amendment is incorporated against the States.

16 b. On September 13, 2010, the Court lifted the stay of proceedings. Doc. 37.

17 c. On September 27, 2010, the Court extended the time for the City to respond to  
18 the amended complaint, upon the parties' stipulation. Doc. 53.

19 d. On November 29, 2010, the Court extended the time for the City to respond to  
20 the amended complaint, upon the City's motion. Doc. 42.

21 e. On December 16, 2010, the Court set a deadline of January 27, 2011 for  
22 Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. Doc. 56.

23 f. On January 28, 2011, the Court endorsed the parties' stipulation extending the  
24 time for Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. Doc. 60.

25 g. On April 8, 2011, the Court continued a hearing on Defendants' motion to  
26 dismiss the First Amended Complaint to April 28, 2011. Doc. 69.

27 h. On October 26, 2011, upon the parties' stipulated request, the Court continued a  
28 case management conference from November 3, 2011 to November 17, 2011. Docs. 93, 94.

1 i. On May 5, 2012, upon the parties' stipulated request, the Court modified the  
2 briefing schedule for Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Judgment on the Pleadings without  
3 modifying the motion's hearing date.

4 j. The Court modified the summary judgment briefing schedule and set the  
5 hearing date on summary judgment motions and the further case management conference for  
6 August 9, 2012 at 2:00 p.m. Dkt. 70, 89.

7 k. The parties stipulated, and the Court ordered, that the summary judgment  
8 hearing be continued to August 30, 2012, at 2:00 p.m. Dkt. 94, 95.

9 5. This continuance will affect the schedule for this case by delaying trial from October  
10 2012 to February 2013.

11 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is  
12 true and correct. Executed this 23rd day of July, 2012, in San Francisco, California.

13  
14 s/ Christine Van Aken  
Christine Van Aken  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28