

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
WESTERN DIVISION  
No. 5:10-CV-00265-H

MICHAEL BATEMAN, VIRGIL GREEN, )  
FORREST MINGES, JR., GRNC/FFE, INC., )  
and SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, )  
INC., )

*Plaintiffs,* )

v. )

BEVERLY PERDUE, REUBEN F. YOUNG, )  
STOKES COUNTY, and CITY OF KING, )

*Defendants.* )

**CONSENT MOTION FOR  
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE  
REPLY BRIEF**

NOW COMES the undersigned counsel, on behalf of Defendants Beverly Perdue and Reuben F. Young (hereafter collectively “the State Defendants”), pursuant to Rule 6(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and moves the Court for an extension of time, up to and including the 3rd day of February, 2011, in which to file a reply brief in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment. In support of this motion, the State Defendants show unto the Court the following:

(1) Plaintiffs’ memorandum in opposition to the State Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment was filed on January 10, 2011 and the State Defendants’ reply brief in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment is currently due on January 24, 2011;

(2) Counsel for the State Defendants intends to submit a reply brief in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment and respectfully submits that he needs additional time in which to do so. The undersigned counsel has been required to spend considerable time over the past few months defending a lawsuit in North Carolina Superior Court and in the North Carolina Court of

Appeals involving a constitutional challenge to a statute enacted by the General Assembly prohibiting certain types of video sweepstakes;

(3) In addition, nineteen days ago, the Fourth Circuit issued a decision in *United States v. Chester*, \_\_ F.3d \_\_, 2010 U.S. App. LEXIS 26508, which represents its most definitive interpretation of the United States Supreme Court's decision in *District of Columbia v. Heller*, \_\_ U.S. \_\_, 128 S. Ct. 2783 (2008), the case that lies at the heart of the Second Amendment claims being asserted by Plaintiffs in the present lawsuit. The undersigned counsel needs additional time to prepare a reply brief that fully takes into account the decision in *Chester*; and

(4) Counsel for Plaintiffs has stated that he consents to this motion.

WHEREFORE, the State Defendants move the Court for an extension of time, up to and including the 3rd day of February, 2011, in which to file a reply brief in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment.

Respectfully submitted, this the 18<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2011.

ROY COOPER  
Attorney General

/s/Mark A. Davis  
Mark A. Davis  
Special Deputy Attorney General  
Attorney for The State Defendants  
N.C. Department of Justice  
Post Office Box 629  
Raleigh, NC 27602  
E-mail: mdavis@ncdoj.gov  
Telephone: (919) 716-6900  
Facsimile: (919) 716-6763  
State Bar No. 18142

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this day, January 18, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing **CONSENT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEF** with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Alan Gura  
Gura & Possessky, PLLC  
101 N. Columbus Street, Suite 405  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Wes J. Camden  
Kearns Davis  
Brooks, Pierce, McLendon  
Humphrey & Leonard, L.L.P.  
P.O. Box 1800  
Raleigh, NC 27602

Walter W. Pitt, Jr.  
Kevin G. Williams  
Bell, Davis & Pitt  
P.O. Box 21029  
Winston-Salem, NC 27120

Henry W. Jones, Jr.  
Lori P. Jones  
Jordan Price Wall Gray Jones &  
Carlton, PLLC  
1951 Clark Avenue  
P.O. Box 10669  
Raleigh, NC 27605

/s/Mark A. Davis  
Special Deputy Attorney General

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
WESTERN DIVISION  
No. 5:10-CV-00265-H

MICHAEL BATEMAN, VIRGIL GREEN, )  
FORREST MINGES, JR., GRNC/FFE, INC., )  
and SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, )  
INC., )

*Plaintiffs,* )

v. )

BEVERLY PERDUE, REUBEN F. YOUNG, )  
STOKES COUNTY, and CITY OF KING, )

*Defendants.* )

**ORDER GRANTING STATE  
DEFENDANTS' CONSENT MOTION  
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE  
REPLY BRIEF**

This matter being heard by the undersigned on the motion of Defendants Beverly Perdue and Reuben F. Young for an Order pursuant to Rule 6(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure extending their time in which to file a reply brief in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment; and it appearing to the Court that the time allowed has not expired, that good cause exists, that Plaintiffs have consented to the motion, and that the motion should be allowed;

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the time for Defendants Beverly Perdue and Reuben F. Young to file a reply brief in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment be extended up to and including the 3rd day of February, 2011.

This the \_\_\_<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2011.

---

CLERK OF COURT