

No. 15-15428

---

---

In the United States Court of Appeals  
For the Ninth Circuit

---

BARRY BAUER, et al.,  
*Plaintiffs-Appellants,*

v.

KAMALA D. HARRIS,  
in her official capacity as Attorney General of the  
State of California, et al.,  
*Defendants-Appellees.*

---

On Appeal from the United States District Court  
For the Eastern District of California  
(1:11-cv-01440-LJO-MJS)

---

**NOTICE OF ERRATA TO APPELLANTS' OPENING BRIEF**

---

C.D. Michel (S.B.N. 144258)  
Sean A. Brady (S.B.N. 262007)  
Anna M. Barvir (S.B.N. 268728)  
MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.  
180 East Ocean Blvd., Suite 200  
Long Beach, CA 90802  
Tel. No: (562) 216-4444  
Fax. No: (562) 216-4445  
E-mail: [cmichel@michellawyers.com](mailto:cmichel@michellawyers.com)

*Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellants*

---

---

**TO THE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

Please take notice that Appellants Barry Bauer, Nicole Ferry, Jeffrey Hacker, National Rifle Association of America, Inc., CRPA Foundation, Inc., and Herb Bauer Sporting Goods, Inc. (collectively “Appellants”) hereby submit the following notice of errata concerning Appellants’ Opening Brief. Appellants inadvertently omitted the Corporate Disclosure Statement for the organizational Appellant, National Rifle Association of America, Inc.

Appellants have added the following to the Corporate Disclosure Statement on Page i:

**“III. National Rifle Association of America, Inc.**

National Rifle Association of America, Inc. has no parent corporations. Because it has no stock, no publicly held company owns 10% or more of its stock.”

In addition, the Table of Contents was revised to include a reference to the National Rifle Association of America, Inc. Corporate Disclosure Statement, which caused the content to shift on the following two pages of the Table of Contents.

Attached for the Court’s convenience are the correct Pages i through iv to Appellants’ Opening Brief.

Date: July 20, 2015

**MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.**

/s/ C. D. Michel

C. D. Michel

*Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellants  
Barry Bauer, Nicole Ferry, Jeffrey  
Hacker, National Rifle Association,  
Inc., CRPA Foundation, Inc., Herb  
Bauer Sporting Goods, Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on July 20, 2015, an electronic PDF of **NOTICE OF ERRATA TO APPELLANTS' OPENING BRIEF** was uploaded to the Court's CM/ECF system, which will automatically generate and send by electronic mail a Notice of Docket Activity to all registered attorneys participating in the case. Such notice constitutes service on those registered attorneys.

Date: July 20, 2015

**MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.**

/s/ C.D. Michel

C.D. Michel

*Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellants  
Barry Bauer, Nicole Ferry, Jeffrey  
Hacker, National Rifle Association,  
Inc., CRPA Foundation, Inc., Herb  
Bauer Sporting Goods, Inc.*

**CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

**I. CRPA Foundation**

The CRPA Foundation has no parent corporations. Since it has no stock, no publicly held company owns 10% or more of its stock.

**II. Herb Bauer Sporting Goods, Inc.**

Herb Bauer Sporting Goods, Inc., has no parent corporations and no publicly held corporation holds 10% or more of its stock.

**III. National Rifle Association of America, Inc.**

National Rifle Association of America, Inc. has no parent corporations. Because it has no stock, no publicly held company owns 10% or more of its stock.

Date: July 15, 2015

Respectfully submitted,  
**MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.**

/s/ C.D. Michel  
C.D. Michel  
*Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellants Barry  
Bauer, Nicole Ferry, Jeffrey Hacker,  
National Rifle Association, Inc., CRPA  
Foundation, Inc., Herb Bauer Sporting  
Goods, Inc.*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT .....	i
I. CRPA FOUNDATION .....	i
II. HERB BAUER SPORTING GOODS, INC. ....	i
III. NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA.....	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	v
INTRODUCTION .....	1
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION .....	4
STATEMENT OF ISSUE PRESENTED .....	5
STATEMENT REGARDING ADDENDUM .....	5
STATEMENT REGARDING ORAL ARGUMENT.....	5
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	6
I. THE DEALER’S RECORD OF SALE PROCESS.....	6
A. THE DROS APPLICATION .....	6
B. THE DROS FEE, THE DROS ACCOUNT, AND THE GROWING SURPLUS .....	8
II. THE EXPANDED DROS FEE AND THE ARMED PROHIBITED PERSONS SYSTEM .....	10
A. THE APPS LIST.....	11

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)**

	<b>Page</b>
B. THE APPS ENFORCEMENT SECTION AND THE IMPLEMENTATION OF APPS .....	14
III. PROCEEDINGS BELOW .....	18
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	21
STANDARD OF REVIEW .....	24
ARGUMENT.....	25
I. UNDER WELL-SETTLED LAW GOVERNING FEE ON THE EXERCISE OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, CONDITIONING SECOND AMENDMENT RIGHTS ON FUNDING APPS ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL .....	25
A. Fees on Constitutional Rights Must Be Revenue Neutral and Confined to Offsetting Costs Directly Attributable to the Activity on Which They Are Imposed .....	25
B. Under This Settled Fee Jurisprudence, Using the DROS Fee to Fund APPS Is Unconstitutional .....	32
II. CALIFORNIA’S EFFORT TO PRECONDITION THE EXERCISE OF THE RIGHT TO ACCESS FIREARMS ON THE FUNDING OF APPS FARES NO BETTER UNDER SECOND AMENDMENT PRECEDENT .....	41
A. Conditions on Second Amendment Rights Are Not Exempt from Constitutional Scrutiny .....	42
B. Second Amendment Precedent Demands the Same Nexus as Fee Jurisprudence Between the Activities on Which a Fee Is Imposed and the Uses to Which It Will Be Put .....	45
CONCLUSION.....	53

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)**

	<b>Page</b>
STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES .....	53
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE .....	55
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....	56