| 1 2 3 | THE ST. PETER LAW GROUP MICHAEL ST. PETER (State Bar #042119) Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 2900 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone No. (415) 955-0700 | | |
|-------------|---|---|--|
| 4 | Attorneys for Defendant | | |
| 5 | Colt's Manufacturing Company, Inc. | | |
| 6 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 7 | IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO | | |
| 8 | UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF | Case No.: 303753 | |
| 11 | CALIFORNIA, et al., | SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT COLT'S | |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, | MANUFACTURING COMPANY TO PLAINTIFFS' SPECIAL | |
| 13 | v. | INTERROGATORIES NOS. 1, 2, 6, 11, 12, 13, 15, 18, 49 AND 56 | |
| 14 | ARCADIA MACHINE & TOOL, et al., | 12, 13, 13, 10, 47 AND 30 | |
| 15 | Defendants. | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiffs The People of the State of California, et al. | | |
| 18 | RESPONDING PARTY: Defendant Colt's Manufacturing Company, Inc | | |
| 19 | SET NUMBER: One (1) (Special | Interrogatories) | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030, Defendant Colt's | | |
| 22 | Manufacturing Company, Inc. ("Colt") files this supplemental response to Interrogatories Nos. | | |
| 23 | 1, 2, 6, 11, 12, 13, 15, 18, 49 and 56 of plaintiffs' first set of special interrogatories. | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | I. GENERAL OBJECTIONS | | |
| 26 | Colt makes the following general objections to plaintiffs' interrogatories. The | | |
| 27 | general objections set forth below are incorporated into each of Colt's objections to plaintiffs' | | |
| 28 | specific interrogatories unless otherwise specifically indicated. | | |
| | | 1 | |
| | SFSC 303753: Colt's Supplemental Response to Special Int | terrogatories, Set 1 | |

2/15

A. Previous Objections Incorporated

Colt hereby incorporates by reference the general objections set forth in its original responses to Plaintiffs' Special Interrogatories, served on November 8, 1999, as if set forth fully herein.

2. Colt's Supplemental Responses are Made Pursuant to Agreements Between the Parties

Colt is serving these supplemental responses pursuant to what it understood to be the agreements reached between the parties and in the spirit of advancing discovery among the parties through voluntary cooperation. Colt expects plaintiffs to join in this cooperative effort. Additionally, Colt states its offers to make available documents that relate to the subject matter of this litigation and plaintiffs' interrogatories are based on a reasonable review of its documents and files, consistent with Colt's obligations under the California Code of Civil Procedure.

C. <u>Document Depository</u>

Dozens of municipalities and other entities have commenced numerous lawsuits across the country against Colt, other firearm manufacturers, and other entities. Requiring Colt to produce documents separately in response to each and every document request and interrogatory in each and every one of these pending cases would place an enormous burden upon Colt.

Therefore, Colt will be completing shortly the creation of a document depository in Hartford, Connecticut (the "depository"). Colt is making a good faith attempt, consistent with its obligations under the California Code of Civil Procedure, to seek out and produce to the depository documents that may be relevant in this litigation. Colt will continue to supplement the depository, as necessary, as relevant issues in this litigation are identified and/or newly discovered documents become available. The depository will contain a reading room to be used

to inspect the documents. The documents at the depository will be kept as they are maintained in the ordinary course of business. A file-level index will be available to assist those inspecting the documents. It is anticipated that copies of requests for large volumes of documents can be filled within one week to ten days. Reasonable arrangements to access the depository may be made by contacting counsel for Colt.

II. SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO SPECIFIC INTERROGATORIES SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

IDENTIFY ALL of YOUR subsidiary, parent and AFFILIATED ENTITIES.

ORIGINAL RESPONSE:

Colt objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information dated or created before March 22, 1990. (See paragraph I.D., supra.) Colt objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous and overly broad (e.g., in its use of the terms "affiliated entities," which is broadly and ambiguously defined by plaintiffs) and seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because, among other things, it fails to limit itself to information that has some potential bearing on the issues in this case.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:

Subject to and without waiving its objections and subject to the agreements reached among counsel, Colt states that its parent entity is New Colt Holding Corp. In addition to Colt's Manufacturing Company, Inc. ("Colt"), New Colt Holding is also the parent corporation of Colt Rifles, Inc., and Saco Defense Corp. Colt further states that organizational charts for Colt are being produced to the depository and are available to the plaintiffs for inspection and copying upon request. Colt designates these documents as responsive to this interrogatory

pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030 because the burden of deriving the requested information is substantially the same for the plaintiffs as it would be for Colt.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

IDENTIFY ALL of YOUR directors, officers, and other EMPLOYEES in a managerial or supervisorial position, excluding ANY clerical EMPLOYEES or support staff.

ORIGINAL RESPONSE:

Colt objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information dated or created before March 22, 1990. (See paragraph I.D., supra.) Colt objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague and ambiguous (e.g., in its use of the terms "managerial" and "supervisorial" in the context of this interrogatory), unduly burdensome and overly broad (e.g., in its use of the term "employees," which is defined to include "accountants, agents, representatives, attorneys, contractors, subcontractors, advisors, servants, temporary personnel, outside salespersons, and consultants") and seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because, among other things, it fails to limit itself to information that has some potential bearing on the issues in this case. Colt further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is confidential, and/or privileged or protected. (See paragraph I.B., supra.)

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:

Subject to and without waiving its objections and subject to the agreements reached among counsel, Colt states that the following is a list of the current officers and executives:

President and CEO:

William M. Keys

Acting CFO and Acting

| 1 | Chief Operating Officer: | Thomas F. Siegel, Jr. |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | Vice Presidents: | Elizabeth K. Lavach |
| 4 | | Eugene P. Centauro |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | Vice President, General Counsel | |
| 7 | and Secretary: | Carlton S. Chen |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Colt further states that based on a good faith review of its available documents, | |
| 10 | the following is a list of the executives formerly employed by Colt since March 22, 1990: | |
| 11 | President: | Steven Silwa |
| 12 | | Ronald Stewart |
| 13 | | John Jastrem |
| 14 | | Ronald Whittaker |
| 15 | | Ronald Stillwell |
| 16 | | Richard Gamble |
| 17 | | Gary French |
| 18 | | Edward Warner |
| 19 | | Dave Eaton |
| 20 | | Paul Benke |
| 21 | VP of Engineering: | Andrew Brignoli |
| 22 | VF of Engineering. | Marc Fontaine |
| 23 | , | Buck Hendrickson |
| 24 | | Doug Overbury |
| 25 | | Richard Costello |
| 2627 | | Art Holben |
| | | Bert Karens |
| 28 | | |

| 1 | | Richard Brown |
|----|---|--------------------|
| 2 | | Robert Fremont |
| 3 | VP of Finance: | Thomas Gilboy |
| 4 | (Controller) | Robert Wilson |
| 5 | | John Holjes |
| 6 | | Gary French |
| 7 | | Haydon Stone |
| 8 | VP of Mfg.: | Buck Hendrickson |
| 9 | | Marc Fontaine |
| 10 | | Carlos Cardoso |
| 11 | | Kenneth Plant |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | VP of Marketing: | Thomas J. Kilby |
| 14 | (and/or sales) | Michael P. Reissig |
| 15 | | Amaro Goncalves |
| 16 | | Jeffrey Crute |
| 17 | | Ronald Stilwell |
| 18 | | Jan Maladek |
| 19 | | Robert Gurarsi |
| 20 | | Robert Roy |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | SPECIAL INTERROGATO | RY NO. 6: |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | IDENTIFY ANY and ALL PERSON(S) and/or ENTITIES that have had an | |
| 27 | ownership interest in YOU and state the nature of the relationship between YOU and each | |
| 28 | individual or ENTITY. | |

YOU.

ORIGINAL RESPONSE:

Colt objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information dated or created before March 22, 1990. (See paragraph I.D., supra.) Colt objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome (e.g., in its use of the term "person(s)" in the context of this interrogatory, which plaintiffs define as including entities not affiliated with Colt, including "private corporations, governmental entities, partnerships, associations, and joint ventures"), and seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because, among other things, it fails to limit itself to information that has some potential bearing on the issues in this case. Colt further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is confidential, and/or privileged or protected. (See paragraph I.B., supra.)

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:

Colt refers plaintiffs to its supplemental response to Interrogatory No. 1 and hereby incorporates its response to Interrogatory No. 1 as if set forth fully herein.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 11:

IDENTIFY ALL models and the quantity of each FIREARM DISTRIBUTED by

ORIGINAL RESPONSE:

Colt objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information pertaining to COLT brand firearms in commerce before September 16, 1994 and/or information dated or created before March 22, 1990. (See paragraph I.D., supra.) Colt objects to this interrogatory on

the grounds that it is overly broad, not limited in time or scope, unduly burdensome, and seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because, among other things, it fails to limit itself to information that has some potential bearing on the issues in this case. Colt also objects to this interrogatory as vague and ambiguous in its use of the term "distributed," which plaintiffs circularly define as "sold, distributed or shipped." Colt also objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it is not limited to the national, commercial firearms market and seeks documents related to, inter alia, sales and/or distribution to military, law enforcement, or international entities. (See paragraph I.F., supra.) Colt further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is confidential, and/or privileged or protected. (See paragraph I.B., supra.)

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:

Subject to and without waiving its objections and subject to the agreements reached among counsel, Colt states that documents that show Colt's models of firearms and the quantities of each firearm distributed for sale to the public, if available, are being produced to the depository and are available to the plaintiffs for inspection and copying upon request. Colt designates these documents as responsive to this interrogatory pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030 because the burden of deriving the requested information is substantially the same for the plaintiffs as it would be for Colt.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 12:

IDENTIFY ALL models and the quantity of each FIREARM

MANUFACTURED by YOU that were or are sold directly to CONSUMERS in the United States.

ORIGINAL RESPONSE:

Colt objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information pertaining to COLT brand firearms in commerce before September 16, 1994 and/or information dated or created before March 22, 1990. (See paragraph I.D., supra.) Colt objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, not limited in time or scope, and seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because, among other things, it fails to limit itself to information that has some potential bearing on the issues in this case. Colt also objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it is not limited to the national, commercial firearms market and seeks documents related to, inter alia, sales to military, law enforcement, or international entities. (See paragraph I.F., supra.) Colt also objects to this interrogatory as duplicative of Request No. 11. Colt further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is confidential, and/or privileged or protected. (See paragraph I.B., supra.)

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:

Subject to and without waiving its objections and subject to the agreements reached among counsel, Colt states that, with the exception of a small number of direct sales to employees, Colt sells it firearms only to authorized distributors. Documents that show Colt's models of firearms and the quantities of each firearm distributed for sale to the public, if available, are being produced to the depository and are available to the plaintiffs for inspection and copying upon request. Colt designates these documents as responsive to this interrogatory pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030 because the burden of deriving the requested information is substantially the same for the plaintiffs as it would be for Colt.

5

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 13:

IDENTIFY ALL DEALERS and DISTRIBUTORS to whom YOU sell or have sold FIREARMS, including the model and quantity of each FIREARM sold to each such DEALER and DISTRIBUTOR.

ORIGINAL RESPONSE:

Colt objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information pertaining to COLT brand firearms in commerce before September 16, 1994 and/or information dated or created before March 22, 1990. (See paragraph I.D., supra.) Colt objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because, among other things, it fails to limit itself to information that has some potential bearing on the issues in this case. Colt also objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it is not limited to the national, commercial firearms market and seeks documents related to, inter alia, sales to military, law enforcement, or international entities. (See paragraph I.F., supra.) Colt further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is confidential, and/or privileged or protected. (See paragraph I.B., supra.)

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:

Subject to and without waiving its objections and subject to the agreements reached among counsel, Colt states that documents that show Colt's models of firearms and the quantities of each firearm sold to dealers and distributors, if available, are being produced to the depository and are available to the plaintiffs for inspection and copying upon request. Colt designates these documents as responsive to this interrogatory pursuant to California Code of

Civil Procedure Section 2030 because the burden of deriving the requested information is substantially the same for the plaintiffs as it would be for Colt.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 15:

IDENTIFY ALL FIREARM SAFETY FEATURES incorporated into ANY model of FIREARM MANUFACTURED, DISTRIBUTED or sold by or for YOU.

ORIGINAL RESPONSE:

Colt objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information pertaining to COLT brand firearms in commerce before September 16, 1994 and/or information dated or created before March 22, 1990. (See paragraph I.D., supra.) Colt objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because, among other things, it fails to limit itself to information that has some potential bearing on the issues in this case. Colt further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is confidential, and/or privileged or protected. (See paragraph I.B., supra.) Colt also objects to this interrogatory as vague and ambiguous in its use of the term "distributed," which plaintiffs circularly define as "sold, distributed or shipped." Colt also objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it is not limited to the national, commercial firearms market and seeks documents related to, inter alia, sales and/or distribution to military, law enforcement, or international entities. (See paragraph I.F., supra.)

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:

Subject to and without waiving its objections and subject to the agreements reached among counsel, Colt states that copies of documents that discuss or refer to safety devices and safety features on COLT brand firearms are being produced to the depository and

will be available to the plaintiffs for inspection and copying upon request. The documents produced to the depository will include product warnings given by Colt, documents discussing marketing, advertising, sales, and distribution strategy for the sale of COLT firearms and safety devices, documents discussing research, development, testing, marketing, and distribution of firearm safety devices, and advertisements. Colt designates these documents as responsive to this interrogatory pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030 because the burden of deriving the requested information is substantially the same for the plaintiffs as it would be for Colt.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 18:

IDENTIFY ALL AGREEMENTS among ANY two or more FIREARMS MANUFACTURERS or DISTRIBUTORS, RELATING to ANY FIREARM SAFETY FEATURE.

ORIGINAL RESPONSE:

Colt objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information pertaining to COLT brand firearms in commerce before September 16, 1994 and/or information dated or created before March 22, 1990. (See paragraph I.D., supra.) Colt objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because, among other things, it fails to limit itself to information that has some potential bearing on the issues in this case. Colt objects to this interrogatory as vague and ambiguous (e.g., in its use of the term "firearm safety feature"; see Response to Special Interrogatory No. 17, supra.). Colt further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is confidential, and/or privileged or protected. (See paragraph I.B., supra.)

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:

Subject to and without waiving its objections and subject to the agreements reached among counsel, Colt states that it has not entered any agreements, and has no copies of any agreements, between Colt and any other firearm manufacturer that discuss or refer to a decision or agreement between such manufacturers to refrain from pursuing, developing or implementing any firearm safety device or feature. Colt further states that documents that discuss or refer to safety devices and safety features on COLT firearms are being produced to the depository and will be available to the plaintiffs for inspection and copying upon request. Colt designates these documents as responsive to this interrogatory pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030 because the burden of deriving the requested information is substantially the same for the plaintiffs as it would be for Colt.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 49:

IDENTIFY ALL of YOUR FIREARMS that have been the subject of a TRACE.

ORIGINAL RESPONSE:

COLT brand firearms in commerce before September 16, 1994 and/or information dated or created before March 22, 1990. (See paragraph I.D., supra.) Colt objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, not limited in time or scope, unduly burdensome, and seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence because, among other things, it fails to limit itself to information that has some potential bearing on the issues in this case. For example, firearms, including Colt firearms, are traced for any number of reasons — not known to Colt — other than criminal use of a

firearm. Colt further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information that is confidential, and/or privileged or protected. (See paragraph I.B., supra.)

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:

Subject to and without waiving its objections and subject to the agreements reached among counsel, Colt states that documents that show COLT brand firearms that have been the subject of a trace request by the ATF or California law enforcement agencies are being produced to the depository and are available to the plaintiffs for inspection and copying upon request. Colt designates these documents as responsive to this interrogatory pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030 because the burden of deriving the requested information is substantially the same for the plaintiffs as it would be for Colt.

SPECIAL INTERROGATORY NO. 56:

IDENTIFY ALL requirements that a PERSON or ENTITY must satisfy in order to purchase a FIREARM from YOU.

ORIGINAL RESPONSE:

Colt objects to this interrogatory to the extent it seeks information pertaining to COLT brand firearms in commerce before September 16, 1994 and/or information dated or created before March 22, 1990. (See paragraph I.D., supra.) Colt also objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it is not limited to the national, commercial firearms market and seeks information related to, inter alia, sales to military, law enforcement, or international entities. (See paragraph I.F., supra.)

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE:

Subject to and without waiving its objections and subject to the agreements reached among counsel, Colt states that, with the exception of a small number of direct sales to

employees, Colt sells it firearms only to authorized distributors. Copies of documents that discuss or refer to the requirements that must be met to become a Colt distributor are being produced to the depository and will be available to the plaintiffs for inspection and copying upon request. Additionally, documents that discuss or refer to employee sales are being produced to the depository and will be available to the plaintiffs for inspection and copying upon request. Colt designates these documents as responsive to this interrogatory pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030 because the burden of deriving the requested information is substantially the same for the plaintiffs as it would be for Colt. THE ST. PETER LAW GROUP Dated: February 15, 2000 Colt's Manufacturing Company, Inc. 1c: coits supp rsp to spec ints 1

VERIFICATION TO FOLLOW

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Margaret R. Peterson, declare:

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco; I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action; my business address is Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 2900, San Francisco, CA 94111. I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

On $\frac{2-15-00}{}$, I served the following documents(s):

Defendant Colt's Manufacturing Company's Supplemental Response to Plaintiffs' Special Interrogatories, Set 1

in the following manner:

- [x] MAIL by placing a true copy(ies) thereof in a sealed envelope(s) in the outgoing mail tray located in my office for deposit in the United States mail, with postage fully prepared, addressed as shown below. I am readily familiar with the business practice at my place of business for collection and processing of outgoing mail with the U.S. Postal Service. Mail so collected and processed is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.
- [] PERSONAL SERVICE: by requesting a messenger service to hand delivery the same in an envelope(s) addressed as shown below.

See Attached List

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on _______ at San Francisco, California.

Mangaret R. Peterson

Margaret R. Peterson

COMPLETE LIST OF PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL/FULL ADDRESSES

Louise H. Renne, Esq.
San Francisco City Attorneys Office
Fox Plaza
1390 Market Street, 6th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102-5408

Michael J. Dowd, Esq. Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach, LLP 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101

Patrick J. Coughlin, Esq. Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach, LLP 100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111

Richard M. Heimann, Esq. Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, 30th Fl. San Francisco, CA 94111-3999

Alan M. Caplan, Esq. Bushnell, Caplan & Fielding, LLP 221 Pine Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94104-2715

Dennis A. Henigan, Esq. Center to Prevent Handgun Violence 1225 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20005

Jonathan d. McCue, Esq. McCue & McCue 600 West Broadway, Suite 930 San Diego, CA 92101

Richard S. Lewis, Esq. Cohen Milstein Hausfeld & Toll, PLLC. 1100 New York Ave., N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20005

Lloyd W. Pellman, Esq. Los Angeles County counsel 500 West Temple St., #648 Los Angeles, CA 90012

James K. Hahn, Esq. City Attorneys Office 1600 City Hall East 200 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012 Samuel L. Jackson, Esq. Sacramento City Attorneys Office 980 9th St., 10th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

Manuela Albuquerque, Esq. Berkeley City Attorneys Office 1947 Center St., 1st Floor Berkeley, CA 94704

Thomas F. Casey, III, Esq.
Office of the San Mateo County Counsel
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA 94063

Richard E. Winnie Alameda County Counsel 1221 Oak Street, Room 463 Oakland, CA 94612-4296

Kristen Thorsness, Esq.
Office of Alameda County Counsel
1221 Oak Street, Room 463
Oakland, CA 94612-4296

Jayne W. Williams, Esq. Oakland City Attorneys Office One Frank Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor Oakland, CA 94612

Michael S. Lawson, Esq. Thompson, Lawson LLP East Palo Alto City Attorney 1600 Broadway, Suite 250 Oakland, CA 94612

David Kairys, Esq. 1719 North Broad Street Philadelphia, PA 19122

Sayre Weaver, Esq. Richards, Watson & Gershon P. O. Box 1059 Brea, CA 92822-1059

Charles E. Dickerson II, Esq. City Attorneys Office One Manchester Blvd., #860 Inglewood, CA 90301 Legrand H. Clegg II, Esq. City Attorneys Office 205 South Willowbrook Avenue Compton, CA 90220 Michael Jenkins, Esq. City Attorneys Office (West Hollywood) 333 South Hope St., 38th Fl. Los Angeles, CA 90071

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

James P. Dorr
Anne G. Kimball
WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON
225 West Wacker Drive
Suite 3000
Chicago, IL 60606-1229
312/201-2000
312/201-2555 (fax)

James C. Sabalos
LAW OFFICE OF JAMES C. SABALOS
450 Newport Center Drive
Suite 530
Newport Beach, CA 92660
714/755-0194
714/755-0190 (fax)

Timothy A. Bumann
BUDD LARNER GROSS ROSENBAUM
GREENBERG & SADE
127 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 715
Atlanta, GA 30303
404/688-3000
404/688-0888 (fax)

John F. Renzulli
RENZULLI & RUTHERFORD, LLP
300 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
212/599-5533
212/599-5162 (fax)

Robert M. Anderson
WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN
& DICKER, LLP
1055 West 7th Street, Suite 2700
Los Angeles, CA 90017
213/624-3044
213/624-8060 (fax)

James R. Branit BOLERO & CARTON, CHTD. 200 N. La Salle Street Suite 2500 Chicago, IL 60601 312/831-1000 R.D. Kirwan
Robert N. Tafoya
AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER &
FELD, LLP
2029 Century Park East
Suite 2600
Los Angeles, CA 90067
310/229-1000
310/229-1001 (fax)

Steven A. Silver
LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN A. SILVER
1077 West Morton Avenue, Suite C
Porterville, CA 93257
559/782-1552
559/782-0364 (fax)

Charles L. Coleman III
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 4050
San Francisco, CA 94104-4801
415/743-6900
415/743-6910 (fax)

Bradley T. Beckman BECKMAN AND ASSOCIATES 1601 Market Street, Suite 2330 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215/569-3096

E. Gordon Haesloop
BARTLETT MCDONOUGH BASTONE &
MONAGHAN
300 Old Country Road
Mineola, NY 11501
516/877-2900
516/877-0732 (fax)

David R. Gross
BUDD LARNER GROSS ROSENBAUM
GREENBERG & SADE
150 JFK Parkway
Short Hills, NJ 07078
973/379-4800

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

Scott L. Braum
Ralph E. Heyman
CHERNESKY, HEYMAN & KRESS,
P.L.L.
1100 Courthouse Plaza S.W.
Suite 1100
Dayton, OH 45401-2849
937/449-2834
937/449-2849 (fax)

Burton C. Jacobson
LAW OFFICE OF BURTON C.
JACOBSON
424 South Beverly Drive
Beverly Hills, CA 90217-4414
310/553-8533

Wendy E. Schultz Norman J. Watkins LYNBERG & WATKINS, P.C. 888 S. Figueroa Street 16th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 213/624-8700 213/892-2763 (fax)

Henry N. Jannol LAW OFFICES OF HENRY N. JANNOL 1875 Century Park East Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067 310/552-7500 310/552-7552 (fax)

Carmen Trutanich
Timothy Lignoul
TRUTANICH - MICHEL, LLP
Port of Los Angeles
407 N. Harbor Blvd.
San Pedro, CA 90731
310/548-3816
310/548-4813 (fax)

Timothy Atwood
LAW OFFICE OF TIMOTHY ATWOOD
273 Canal Street
Shelton, CT 06484
203/924-4464
203/924-1359 (fax)

Michael St. Peter
D. Scott Shaffer
Charles S. Park
THE ST. PETER LAW GROUP
Three Embarcadero Center
Suite 2900
San Francisco, CA 94111
415/955-0700
415/955-0711 (fax)

Lawrence S. Greenwald GORDON FEINBLATT ROTHMAN HOFFBERGER & HOLLANDER, LLC 223 East Redwood Street Balcimore, MD 21202 410/576-4000 410/576-4246 (fax)

Frank Sandelmann GORRY & MEYER 2029 Century Park East Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90067 310/277-5967 310/227-5968 (fax)

Robert L. Joyce
WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN
& DICKER, LLP
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 19917
212/490-3000
212/490-3038 (fax)

T-291 P.12/15 F-666

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

Paul K. Schrieffer
Ian R. Feldman
GIOVANNIELLO & SCHRIEFFER, LLP
100 N. Barranca Avenue
Suite 1100
West Covina, CA 91791
626/858-2444
626/974-8403 (fax)

Doug McMillian AGENT FOR ELLETT BROTHERS, INC. 267 Columbia Avenue Chapin, SC 29036

Attorney
AGENT FOR RSR WHOLESALE GUNS,
INC.
21 Trolley Circle
Rochester, NY 14606

Actorney AGENT FOR CHARTER ARMS 273 Canal Street Shelton, CT 06484

Richard Mayberry
The American Shooting Sports
Council
MAYBERRY & ASSOCIATES
888 16th Street, N.W.
Seventh Floor
Washington, DC 20006
202/785-6677
202/835-8217 (fax)

Christopher J. Healey
LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON &
SCRIPPS
600 West Broadway, Suite 2600
San Diego, CA 92101-3391
619/236-1414
619/232-8311 (fax)

Richard G. Ebert
AGENT FOR INTERNATIONAL
ARMAMENT CORP.
5511 Staples Mill Road
Richmond, VA 23228

Lindsey Erington
AGENT FOR S.W. DANIEL, INC.
Ultra Force Manufacturing Plant
Intersection of Postal Rd & Hwy 68
Ducktown, TN 37317

Attorney
AGENT FOR SOUTHERN OHIO GUN
DISTRIBUTORS
100 South Mechanic Road
Lebanon, OH 45036

President
NSSF AND SAMMI
11 Mile Hill Road
Newtown, CT 06470

Gregg Farley
BROBECK, PHLEGER & HARRISON LLP
550 South Hope Street:
Suite 2100
Los Angeles, CA 90071-2604
213/489-4060
213/745-3425 (fax)

Thomas E. Fennell
JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE
2727 No. Harwood Street
Dallas, TX 75201
214/969-5130
214/969-5100 (fax)

T-291 P.13/15 F-666

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

Robert H. Klonoff
JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE
51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001-2113
202/879-3939
202/626-1700 (fax)

Alan J. Lazarus
PREUSS, WALKER & SHANAGHER, LLP
225 Bush Street, 16th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
415/397-1730
415/397-1735 (fax)

Robert C. Gebhardt Craig A. Livingston SCHNADER, HARRISON, SEGAL & LEWIS 1600 Market Street, Suite 3600 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215/751-2000 215/751-2205 (fax) COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

Stephen C. Mancini Susan Caldwell KOLETSKY, MANCINI, FELDMAN & MORROW 3460 Wilshire Blvd., 8th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90010 213/427-2350 213/427-2366 (fax)

Lawrence S. Greenwald
Catherine A. Bledsoe
GORDON FEINBLATT ROTHMAN
HOFFBERGER & HOLLANDER, LLC
223 East Redwood Street
Baltimore, MD 21202
410/576-4000
410/576-4246 (fax)

Robert M. Anderson EDELMAN & DICKER, LLP 1055 W. 7th Street, Suite 2700 Los Angeles, CA 90017-2503 213/624-3044 213/624-8060 (fax)

Stephen C. Mancini
Susan L. Caldwell
KOLETSKY, MANCINI, FELDMAN &
MORROW
3460 Wilshire Blvd., 8th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90010
213/427-2350
213/427-2366 (fax)

Michael J. Dowd
Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach LLP
600 West Broadway
1800 One America Plaza
San Diego, CA 92101-3356
619-231-1058
Fax: 619-231-7423

James Leonard Crew
Jack Leavitt
LAW OFFICES
18 Crow Canyon Court, Suite 380
San Ramon, CA 94583-1669
925/831-1669
925/831-8484 (fax)

Douglas Kliever
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN &
HAMILTON
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
9th Floor
Washington, DC 20036
202/974-1749
202/974-1999 (fax)

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

Brian A. Sun
O'NEILL LYSAGHT & SUN LLP
100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 700
Santa Monica, CA 90401
310/451-5700
310/399-7201 (fax)

Nicholas Heldt
Diane T. Gorezyca
SEDGWICK. DETERT, MORAN &
ARNOLD
One Embarcadero Center
16th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3765
415/781-7900
415/781-2635 (fax)

William M. Griffin III
FRIDAY, ELDREDGE & CLARK
2000 First Commercial Bldg.
400 West Capitol
Little Rock, AR 72201
501/376-2011
501/376-2147 (fax)

Ralph W. Robinson
WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN
& DICKER, LLP
650 California Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94108
415/433-0990
415/434-1370 (fax)

Michael John Bonesteel
Steven L. Hoch
Carolyn Trokey
HAIGHT, BROWN & BONESTEEL, LLP
1620 - 26th Street
Suite 4000 North
Santa Monica, CA 90404
310/449-6000
310/829-5117 (fax)

Rex Heeseman
Lawrence J. Kouns
LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON &
SCRIPPS, LLP
777 South Figueroa, Suite 3600
Los Angeles, CA 90017
213/892-4992
213/892-7731 (fax)