1	TORRANCE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE John L. Fellows III (State Bar No. 103968)		
2	City Attorney jfellows@TorranceCA.gov		
3	Della Thompson-Bell (State Bar No. 224846) Deputy City Attorney		
4	dthompsonbell@TorranceCA.Gov 3031 Torrance Boulevard		
5	Torrance, CA 90503		
6	Telephone: 310-618-5810 Facsimile: 310-618-5813		
7	RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP Robert S. Bower (State Bar No. 70234)		
8	rbower@rutan.com Ajit S. Thind (State Bar No. 268018)		
9	athind@rutan.com 611 Anton Boulevard, Fourteenth Floor		
10	Costa Mesa, California 92626-1931 Telephone: 714-641-5100		
11	Facsimile: 714-546-9035		
12	Attorneys for Defendant TORRANCE POLICE DEPARTMENT		
13			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	ROBERT THOMSON,	Case No. CV11-06154 SJO (JCx)	
17	Plaintiff,	ANSWER OF DEFENDANT TORRANCE POLICE	
18	VS.	DEPARTMENT TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	
19	TORRANCE POLICE DEPARTMENT and THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY	Date Action Filed: July 26, 2011	
20	SHERIFFS DEPARTMENT,	·	
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
Rutan & Tucker, LLP attorneys at law	2465/062579-0097 2298760.1 a08/26/11 TORRANCE POLICE DEPARTMENT'S ANSWER		

2298760.1 a08/26/11

Defendant TORRANCE POLICE DEPARTMENT ("Defendant") hereby answers the First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") filed by Plaintiff ROBERT THOMSON ("Plaintiff") as follows:

RESPONSE TO PREFATORY STATEMENT

Answering the prefatory statement on page 1 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that it denied Plaintiff's application under California Penal Code section 12050 for a concealed carry weapon ("CCW") permit. Except as expressly admitted herein, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in the prefatory statement on page 1 of the Complaint both generally and specifically.

RESPONSE TO INTRODUCTION

- Answering paragraph 1 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that 1. Plaintiff applied for a CCW permit from Defendant and was denied a CCW permit by Defendant. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 2 of the Complaint, Defendant is without 2. sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 3 of the Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 4 of the Complaint, Defendant is without 4. sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
 - Answering paragraph 5 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that 5.

Rutan & Tucker, LLF attorneys at law

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

2465/062579-0097 2298760.1 a08/26/11 Plaintiff applied for a CCW permit from Defendant and was denied a CCW permit by Defendant based on Defendant's failure to establish good cause for the issuance thereof. Except as expressly admitted herein, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 5 both generally and specifically.

RESPONSE TO PARTIES

- 6. Answering paragraph 6 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that Plaintiff is a natural person. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 7. Answering paragraph 7 of the Complaint, Defendant affirmatively alleges that the Torrance Police Department is a department of the City of Torrance, which is a Charter City governed as a Council/Manager form of government under the laws of the State of California. Except as expressly admitted herein, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 7 both generally and specifically.
- 8. Answering paragraph 8 of the Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

RESPONSE TO JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 9. Answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint, Defendant affirmatively alleges that this Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. section 1331 only if this action states a valid claim for relief under 42 U.S.C. section 1983.
- 10. Answering paragraph 10 of the Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.

RESPONSE TO LEGAL BACKGROUND

11. Answering paragraph 11 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that the Attorney General has created a form application for applying for a CCW permit

2465/062579-0097

2298760 1 a08/26/11

- 12. Answering paragraph 12 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that courts have recognized that police chiefs and sheriffs have wide discretion to decide "good cause" under California Penal Code section 12050. Except as expressly admitted herein, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph 12 both generally and specifically.
- 13. Answering paragraph 13 of the Complaint, Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in said paragraph, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.

RESPONSE TO FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 14. Answering paragraph 14 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein both generally and specifically.
- 15. Answering paragraph 15 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein both generally and specifically.
- 16. Answering paragraph 16 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein both generally and specifically.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

17. As separate and distinct answers and defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint, and on the basis that it has evidentiary support or is likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery, Defendant alleges the following:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim)

18. The Complaint and its one cause of action asserted therein fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted against Defendant.

Rutan & Tucker, LLP attorneys at law

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2465/062579-0097

2298760.1 a08/26/11

-3-

1	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	(Failure to Mitigate Damages)	
3	19. The relief sought by Plaintiff is barred to the extent he failed to take all	
4	necessary steps to mitigate any damages he allegedly suffered.	
5	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
6	(No Constitutional Deprivation—Qualified Immunity)	
7	20. Neither Defendant nor its employees or agents deprived Plaintiff of any	
8	clearly established constitutional or statutory rights of which Defendant or its	
9	employees or agents reasonably should have been or could have been aware. As	
10	such, Defendant is entitled to qualified immunity.	
11		
12	WHEREFORE, Defendant TORRANCE POLICE DEPARTMENT prays for	
13	judgment against Plaintiff ROBERT THOMSON as follows:	
14	1. That Plaintiff take nothing by reason of his Complaint;	
15	2. That judgment on the Complaint be entered in favor of Defendant;	
16	3. That Defendant be awarded its costs of suit incurred as a result of this	
17	action;	
18	4. That Defendant be awarded its attorneys' fees and litigation expenses	
19	as a result of this action; and	
20	5. That the Court award such other and further relief as deemed just and	
21	proper.	
22	Dated: August 26, 2011 RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP ROBERT S. BOWER	
23	AJIT S. THIND	
24	By: US Variance	
25	Robert S. Bower Attorneys for Defendant	
26	TORRANCE POLICE DEPARTMENT	
27		
28		
Rutan & Tucker, LLP	4	

TORRANCE POLICE DEPARTMENT'S ANSWER

attorneys at law

2465/062579-0097

2298760.1 a08/26/11