Answer to First Amended Complaint (34-2013-80001667)

| | | • | | |
|------|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS | | | |
| 2 | Attorney General of California STEPAN A. HAYTAYAN Supervising Departs: Attorney General | | | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANTHONY R. HAKL, State Bar No. 197335 Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125 | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 | | | |
| 6 | Telephone: (916) 322-9041 Fax: (916) 324-8835 F. mail: Anthony Held@doi.co.gov | | | |
| 7 | E-mail: Anthony.Hakl@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants and Respondents | | | |
| 8 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 9 | COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | DAVID GENTRY, JAMES PARKER, | Case No. 34-2013-80001667 | | |
| 13 | MARK MID LAM, JAMES BASS, and CALGUNS SHOOTING SPORTS | RESPONDENTS' ANSWER TO FIRST | | |
| 14 | ASSOCIATION, | AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE | | |
| 15 | Plaintiffs and Petitioners, | RELIEF AND PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS | | |
| 16 | v. | | | |
| 17 | KAMALA HARRIS, in Her Official | | | |
| 18 | Capacity as Attorney General for the State of California; STEPHEN LINDLEY, in His | | | |
| 19 | Official Capacity as Acting Chief for the California Department of Justice, BETTY | | | |
| 20 | T. YEE, in her official capacity as State Controller, and DOES 1-10, | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | Defendants and Respondents. | | | |
| 23 | Decreadents Vennels D. Henris, in her offi | sial annuaite as Attauran Camanal afth Civil | | |
| 24 | Respondents Kamala D. Harris, in her official capacity as Attorney General of the State of | | | |
| 25 | California; Stephen Lindley, in his official capacity as Chief of the California Department of | | | |
| 26 | Justice Bureau of Firearms; and Betty T. Yee, in her official capacity as Controller of the State of | | | |
| 27 | California, answer the Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief and Petition for Writ of | | | |
| 28 | Mandamus as follows: | 1 | | |
| - 11 | | <u>.</u> | | |

ANSWER TO PETITIONERS' INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Answering the first through third sentences of paragraph 1, respondents admit the allegations of those sentences. Answering the fourth sentence of paragraph 1, respondents state that the matters asserted therein constitute legal argument and conclusions regarding the California Penal Code, as opposed to material allegations of fact. No response to such arguments and conclusions is required. To the extent the fourth sentence of paragraph 1 contains any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.
- 2. Answering the first sentence of paragraph 2, respondents state that the matters asserted therein constitute legal argument and conclusions regarding the California Penal Code, as opposed to material allegations of fact. No response to such arguments and conclusions is required. To the extent the first sentence of paragraph 2 contains any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations. Answering the second sentence of paragraph 2, respondents deny the allegations of that sentence.
 - 3. Answering paragraph 3, respondents deny the allegations of that paragraph.
- 4. Answering paragraphs 4 through 12, respondents state that the matters asserted therein constitute legal arguments and conclusions regarding Senate Bill 819, Senate Bill 140, the California Penal Code, and the California Constitution, and other legal authorities. No response to such arguments and conclusions is required. To the extent paragraphs 4 through 12 contain any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.
- 5. Answering the first sentence of paragraph 13, respondents lack sufficient information and belief to admit or deny the allegations and therefore deny them. Answering the second sentence of paragraph 13, respondents state that this is petitioners' description of what they purportedly seek in this action in terms of relief, as opposed to material allegations of fact. No response to such description is required. To the extent this sentence contains any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.
- 6. Answering paragraphs 14 through 16, respondents state that this is petitioners' description of what they purportedly seek in this action in terms of relief, as opposed to material

allegations of fact. No response to such description is required. To the extent these paragraphs contains any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.

ANSWER TO PETITIONERS' ALLEGATIONS REGARDING JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. Answering paragraph 17, respondents admit that this court has jurisdiction.

 Paragraph 17 does not contain any other material allegations of fact. No further response is therefore required. To the extent this paragraphs contains any other material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.
- 8. Answering paragraph 18, respondents admit that venue is proper and that respondents are public officers who each maintain an official office in Sacramento. Respondents otherwise deny any material allegations of the first sentence of paragraph 18. Answering the second sentence of paragraph 18, respondents lack sufficient information and belief to admit or deny the allegations and therefore deny them.

ANSWER TO PETITIONERS' DESCRIPTION OF PARTIES

- 9. Answering paragraphs 19 through 25, respondents lack sufficient information and belief to admit or deny the allegations and therefore deny them.
- 10. Answering paragraphs 26 through 29, respondents admit the allegations of those paragraphs.
- 11. Answering paragraph 30, respondents lack sufficient information and belief at this time to admit or deny the allegations of that paragraph and therefore deny them.

ANSWER TO PETITIONERS' OVERVIEW OF CALIFORNIA REGULATORY SCHEME

12. Answering paragraphs 31 through 70, respondents state that the matters asserted therein constitute petitioners' legal argument and conclusions regarding various legal authorities, including but not limited to the California Constitution, Proposition 26, the California Penal Code, the California Code of Regulations, and various legislative bills, as opposed to material allegations of fact. No response to such arguments and conclusions is required. To the extent those paragraphs contain any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.

13. Answering paragraphs 71 and 72, respondents lack sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of those paragraphs and therefore deny them.

ANSWER TO PETITIONERS' FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 14. Answering paragraph 73, respondents incorporate by reference their responses to paragraphs 1 through 72 to the same extent petitioner has incorporated the allegations of those paragraphs into the petition and complaint.
- 15. Answering paragraphs 74 through 77, respondents state that the matters stated therein constitute legal argument and conclusions, as opposed to material allegations of fact. No response to such arguments and conclusions is required. To the extent paragraphs 74 through 77 contain any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.

ANSWER TO PETITIONERS' SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 16. Answering paragraph 78, respondents incorporate by reference their responses to paragraphs 1 through 77 to the same extent petitioner has incorporated the allegations of those paragraphs into the petition and complaint.
- 17. Answering paragraphs 79 through 83, respondents state that the matters stated therein constitute legal argument and conclusions, as opposed to material allegations of fact. No response to such arguments and conclusions is required. To the extent paragraphs 79 through 83 contain any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.

ANSWER TO PETITIONERS' THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 18. Answering paragraph 84, respondents incorporate by reference their responses to paragraphs 1 through 83 to the same extent petitioner has incorporated the allegations of those paragraphs into the petition and complaint.
- 19. Answering paragraph 85, respondents state that the matters stated therein constitute legal argument and conclusions, as opposed to material allegations of fact. No response to such arguments and conclusions is required. To the extent paragraph 85 contains any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.

ANSWER TO PETITIONERS' FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 20. Answering paragraph 86, respondents incorporate by reference their responses to paragraphs 1 through 85 to the same extent petitioner has incorporated the allegations of those paragraphs into the petition and complaint.
- 21. Answering paragraphs 87 through 88, respondents state that the matters stated therein constitute legal argument and conclusions, as opposed to material allegations of fact. No response to such arguments and conclusions is required. To the extent paragraphs 87 through 88 contain any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.

ANSWER TO PETITIONERS' FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 22. Answering paragraph 89, respondents incorporate by reference their responses to paragraphs 1 through 88 to the same extent petitioners have incorporated the allegations of those paragraphs into their petition and complaint.
- 23. Answering paragraph 90, respondents state that the matters asserted therein constitute legal argument and conclusions, as opposed to material allegations of fact. No response to such arguments and conclusions is required. To the extent paragraph 90 contain any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.
 - 24. Answering paragraph 91, respondents deny the allegations of that paragraph.
- 25. Answering paragraph 92, respondents admit that the current DROS fee is \$19.00. Respondents deny the remaining material allegations of that paragraph.
 - 26. Answering paragraph 93, respondents deny the allegations of that paragraph.
- 27. Answering paragraph 94, respondents lack sufficient information or belief at this time to admit or deny the allegations of that paragraph and therefore deny them.
- 28. Answering paragraph 95 through 100, respondents state that the matters asserted therein constitute legal argument and conclusions, as opposed to material allegations of fact. No response to such arguments and conclusions is required. To the extent paragraphs 95 through 100 contain any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.

ANSWER TO PETITIONERS' SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 29. Answering paragraph 101, respondents incorporate by reference their responses to paragraphs 1 through 100 to the same extent petitioner has incorporated the allegations of those paragraphs into the petition and complaint.
- 30. Answering paragraphs 102 through 112, respondents state that the matters stated therein constitute legal argument and conclusions, as opposed to material allegations of fact. No response to such arguments and conclusions is required. To the extent paragraphs 102 through 112 contain any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.

ANSWER TO PETITIONERS' SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 31. Answering paragraph 113, respondents incorporate by reference their responses to paragraphs 1 through 112 to the same extent petitioner has incorporated the allegations of those paragraphs into the petition and complaint.
- 32. Answering paragraphs 114 through 124, respondents state that the matters stated therein constitute legal argument and conclusions, as opposed to material allegations of fact. No response to such arguments and conclusions is required. To the extent paragraphs 114 through 124 contain any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.

ANSWER TO PETITIONERS' EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 33. Answering paragraph 125, respondents incorporate by reference their responses to paragraphs 1 through 124 to the same extent petitioner has incorporated the allegations of those paragraphs into the petition and complaint.
- 34. Answering paragraphs 126 through 135, respondents state that the matters stated therein constitute legal argument and conclusions, as opposed to material allegations of fact. No response to such arguments and conclusions is required. To the extent paragraphs 126 through 135 contain any material allegations of fact, respondents deny the allegations.

ANSWER TO PETITIONERS' NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

35. Answering paragraph 136, respondents incorporate by reference their responses to paragraphs 1 through 135 to the same extent petitioner has incorporated the allegations of those paragraphs into the petition and complaint.

| 1 | 2. The Court enter judgment in favor of respondents on all claims and causes of action | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------|---|
| 2 | alleged in the petition and complaint; | | |
| 3 | 3. For costs incurred in the defense of this action; and | | |
| 4 | 4. For such other and further relief that the Court may deem proper. | | |
| 5 | Dated: Ja | nnuary 28, 2016 | Respectfully Submitted, |
| 6 | | | KAMALA D. HARRIS |
| 7 | | | Attorney General of California STEPAN A. HAYTAYAN Supermining Defeats Attorney Congress |
| 8 | | | Supervising Deputy Attorney General |
| 9 | | | Allfal |
| 10 | | | ANTHONY R. HAKL |
| 11 | | | Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants and Respondents |
| 12 | SA2013113332 | | |
| 13 | 12100575.d | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | • | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 2526 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY E-MAIL and U.S. Mail

Case Name:

Gentry, David, et al. v. Kamala Harris, et al.

No.:

34-2013-80001667

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On <u>January 29, 2016</u>, I served the attached **RESPONDENTS' ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS** by transmitting a true copy via electronic mail. In addition, I placed a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, in the internal mail system of the Office of the Attorney General, addressed as follows:

Scott Franklin Michel & Associates, P.C. 180 E. Ocean Boulevard, Suite 200 Long Beach, CA 90802

E-mail: SFranklin@michellawyers.com

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on January 29, 2016, at Sacramento, California.

Tracie L. Campbell

Declarant

Signature

SA2013113332 12103393.doc