#### 09-16852

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

#### JAMES ROTHERY and ANDREA HOFFMAN,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

#### COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
No. 2:08-cv-02064-JAM-KJM
The Honorable John A. Mendez, Judge

#### JOINT MOTION BY DEFENDANTS – APPELLEES FOR FURTHER STAY OF APPELLATE PROCEEDINGS

John A. Lavra
State Bar No. 114533
AMANDA L. McDermott
State Bar No. 253651
Longyear, O'Dea & Lavra, LLP
3620 American River Drive, Suite 230
Sacramento, CA 95864-5923
Telephone: (916) 974-8500
Fax: (916) 974-8510
Attorneys for Appellees County of
Sacramento, Lou Blanas, John McGinnis,
Timothy Sheehan

KAMALA D. HARRIS
Attorney General of California
DOUGLAS J. WOODS
Senior Assistant Attorney General
MARK R. BECKINGTON
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
GEORGE WATERS
Deputy Attorney General
State Bar No. 88295
1300 I Street, Suite 125
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA 94244-2550
Telephone: (916) 323-8050

Fax: (916) 324-8835 Attorneys for Appellee Kamala D. Harris

#### I. RELIEF SOUGHT

Appellees, County of Sacramento, Lou Blanas, John McGinnis, Tim Sheehan and Kamala D. Harris, move this Court for an extension of the current stay of proceedings in this matter. At present, appellees' answering briefs are due July 13, 2016. Appellees request that the current stay be extended until ninety (90) days following issuance of the Ninth Circuit's mandate in *Peruta v. County of San Diego*, No. 10-56971.

#### II. POSITIONS OF ALL COUNSEL

All appellees, through the undersigned counsel, join in this Motion for Further Stay of Appellate Proceedings.

All appellants are represented by Gary W. Gorski. Mr. Gorski has stated to counsel that he does not object to a continued stay of appellate proceedings. (See ¶ 6 of the attached Joint Declaration.)

#### III. NEED FOR EXTENSION OF THE CURRENT STAY

The present appeal has been stayed several times pending the resolution of other appeals. This Court's present stay order was entered January 6, 2016, and stays proceedings until July 13, 2016. (Dkt. # 75.) As set forth in that order, "At or prior to the expiration of the stay of appellate proceedings, the appellees shall file the answering briefs or file a motion for appropriate relief."

There is good reason to stay the present appeal pending resolution of the *Peruta* appeal. There is considerable overlap between *Peruta* and the present case. Both cases present questions involving the constitutionality of Concealed Carry Weapon (CCW) statutes and policies. On February 13, 2014, the *Peruta* panel—by a 2-1 vote—concluded that San Diego County's CCW permitting requirement impermissibly infringes on the Second Amendment right to bear arms. (*Peruta* Dkt. # 117.) The Ninth Circuit later ordered the case reheard en banc and consolidated the en banc rehearing with that of a second CCW case, Richards v. Prieto, No. 11-16255. (*Peruta* Dkt. ## 193, 200.) On June 9, 2016, an en banc Ninth Circuit panel—by a 7-4 vote—concluded that the Second Amendment does not protect the carrying of concealed firearms by members of the general public. (Peruta Dkt. # 333.) Petitions for rehearing en banc before the full Ninth Circuit have been filed in both *Peruta* and *Richards*, and the Ninth Circuit has ordered appellees/intervenors in both cases to file responses. (*Peruta* Dkt. ## 334-336.)

Accordingly, appellees request that the current stay be extended until ninety (90) days following issuance of the Ninth Circuit's mandate in *Peruta v. County of San Diego*, No. 10-56971.

Dated: June 27, 2016 KAMALA D. HARRIS

Attorney General of California

/s/ George Waters

GEORGE WATERS
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Appellee

Kamala D. Harris

Dated: June 27, 2016 LONGYEAR, O'DEA & LAVRA

/s/ Amanda L. McDermott

AMANDA L. MCDERMOTT Attorneys for Appellees

County of Sacramento, Lou Blanas, John McGinnis, Timothy Sheehan

# JOINT DECLARATION OF AMANDA L. MCDERMOTT AND GEORGE WATERS IN SUPPORT OF APPELLEES' MOTION FOR FURTHER STAY

We, Amanda L. McDermott and George Waters, declare as follows:

- 1. We are attorneys licensed to practice law before all courts in the State of California and are admitted to practice before this Court.

  Amanda L. McDermott is an associate in the law firm of Longyear, O'Dea & Lavra, LLP, counsel of record for Defendants/Appellees, County of Sacramento, Lou Blanas, John McGinnis, and Tim Sheehan. George Waters is a Deputy Attorney General for the State of California and counsel of record for Defendant/Appellee Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California.
- 2. In the present *Rothery* appeal, appellants' opening brief was filed on May 6, 2010. (Dkt. # 11.) Thereafter, appellate proceedings, including the filing of appellees' answering briefs, have been stayed by a series of court orders. (Dkt. ## 15, 18, 20, 22, 25, 27, 35, 37, 39, 43, 45, 47, 49, 51, 53, 55, 57, 59, 61, 63, 65, 67, 71, 73, 75.) At present, appellees' answering briefs are due July 13, 2016.
- 3. The present case (*Rothery*) was stayed several times pending resolution of *Mehl v. Lou Blanas, et al.*, Ninth Circuit No. 08-15773. Both cases involve a constitutional challenge to California's Concealed Carry

Weapon (CCW) statutes. *Mehl* was argued twice to the Ninth Circuit:

June 11, 2009 (*Mehl* Dkt. # 26), and December 10, 2012 (*Mehl* Dkt. # 50).

On July 8, 2013, *Mehl* was decided without resolving the constitutional issues presented. (*Mehl* Dkt. # 76.)

4. Peruta v. County of San Diego, Ninth Circuit No. 10-56971, also presents a constitutional challenge to CCW statutes and policies. On February 13, 2014, the *Peruta* panel—by a 2-1 vote—concluded that San Diego County's CCW permitting requirement impermissibly infringes on the Second Amendment right to bear arms. (*Peruta Dkt.* # 117.) The Ninth Circuit later ordered the case reheard en banc and consolidated the en banc rehearing with that of a second CCW case, Richards v. Prieto, No. 11-16255. (Peruta Dkt. ## 193, 200.) On June 9, 2016, an en banc Ninth Circuit panel—by a 7-4 vote—concluded that the Second Amendment does not protect the carrying of concealed firearms by members of the general public. (*Peruta* Dkt. # 333.) Petitions for rehearing en banc before the full Ninth Circuit have been filed in both *Peruta* and *Richards*, and the Ninth Circuit has ordered appellees/intervenors in both cases to file responses. (Peruta Dkt. ## 334-336.) Peruta may resolve many of the issues presented in the present appeal.

- 5. Appellees have exercised diligence in this matter, but will have only one opportunity to address the Second Amendment and related issues raised by appellants. Because the constitutional and statutory issues presented here are similar to those presented by the *Peruta* appeal, it is appropriate to await for final resolution of *Peruta* prior to any further briefing in *Rothery*. The requested continuation of the stay to a date until ninety (90) days following issuance of the Ninth Circuit's mandate in *Peruta* is therefore reasonable and will enable appellees' counsel to fulfill their obligations to their clients and to this Court.
- 6. All Plaintiffs/Appellants in this action are represented by Gary W. Gorski. On June 27, 2016, Mr. Gorski stated by email that he does not object to a continued stay of appellate proceedings.

Declarants declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED this 27<sup>th</sup> day of June 2016, at Sacramento, California.

LONGYEAR, O'DEA & LAVRA, LLP

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California

/s/ Amanda L. McDermott

/s/ George Waters

AMANDA L. MCDERMOTT Attorneys for Appellees County of Sacramento, Lou Blanas, John McGinnis, Timothy Sheehan

GEORGE WATERS
Attorneys for Appellee
Kamala D. Harris

Case: 09-16852, 06/27/2016, ID: 10030803, DktEntry: 76, Page 9 of 9

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Case Name:	James Rothery, et al. v. Lou	No.	09-16852	
	Blanas, et al. (on Appeal 9th			
	COA)			

I hereby certify that on <u>June 27, 2016</u>, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

### JOINT MOTION BY DEFENDANTS-APPELLEES FOR FURTHER STAY OF APPELLATE PROCEEDINGS

I certify that **all** participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on <u>June 27, 2016</u>, at Sacramento, California.

Eileen A. Ennis	/s/ Eileen A. Ennis	
Declarant	Signature	

SA2009102233 12323194.doc