Case 2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN Document 9 Filed 06/12/17 Page 1 of 3

SELLER EPSTEIN ZIEGLER & AFFLEGATE LLI Attorneys at Law	1 2 3 4	George M. Lee (SBN 172982) Douglas A. Applegate (SBN 142000) SEILER EPSTEIN ZIEGLER & APPLEGATE LLP 601 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94111 Phone: (415) 979-0500 Fax: (415) 979-0511				
	5 6 7	Raymond M. DiGuiseppe (SBN 228457) LAW OFFICES OF RAYMOND MARK DIG 4002 Executive Park Blvd., Suite 600 Southport, NC 28461 Phone: (910) 713-8804 Fax: (910) 672-7705	UISEPPE, PLLC			
	8 9 10 11 12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs WILLIAM WIESE, JEREMIAH MORRIS, LANCE COWLEY, SHERMAN MACASTON, ADAM RICHARDS, CLIFFORD FLORES, L.Q. DANG, FRANK FEDEREAU, ALAN NORMANDY, TODD NIELSEN, THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, FIREARMS POLICY COALITION, FIREARMS POLICY FOUNDATION, and SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION				
	131415	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
	16 17	WILLIAM WIESE, et al.,	Case No. 2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN			
	18 19 20	Plaintiffs, vs.	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION OF PLAINTIFFS FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER, AND ISSUANCE OF PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION			
	21 22	XAVIER BECERRA, in his official capacity as Attorney General of California, et al., Defendants.	[FRCP 65; E.D. L.R. 231] Date: TBD Time: TBD			
	2324		Courtroom 5 Judge: Hon. William B. Shubb			
	2526	//				
	27	//				
	28	//				

TO THE COURT, AND TO DEFENDANTS, THROUGH THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Fed. Rule of Civ. Pro. 65, and Eastern District Local Rule 231, each of the above-named Plaintiffs, for themselves and in a representative capacity on behalf of the class of individuals they represent, by and through their attorneys of record, will and hereby do move this court, Hon. William B. Shubb presiding, for the immediate grant of a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) and issuance of a preliminary injunction, enjoining the defendants or their agents from enforcing or applying Penal Code § 32310, subdivs. (c) and (d), as now amended, on the following grounds:

- 1. The enforcement of section 32310(c), which as of July 1, 2017 would prohibit the continuing possession of previously lawfully-obtained large-capacity magazines (as that term is defined by statute), which were previously "grandfathered" (exempted) by statute, and which items are inherent working parts of firearms, would violate the Second Amendment of the United States Constitution under *District of Columbia v. Heller*, 554 U.S. 570 (2008);
- 2. The enforcement of section 32310, subdivs. (c) and (d), which as of July 1, 2017 would require plaintiffs Wiese, Morris, Cowley, Macaston, Flores and Dang, and a class of similarly-affected individuals to dispose of, destroy, or "surrender" their constitutionally-protected personal property, would constitute a taking of such property, for which no compensation is or would be provided, and therefore, would violate both the Takings Clause and the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution; and
- 3. The enforcement of section 32310(c) is or should be prohibited because the statute scheme under which it would operate it is constitutionally vague and overbroad, under the due Process Clause, Amend. 14 of the U.S. Constitution.

Unless and until enjoined, through the pendency of this lawsuit and thereafter, Plaintiffs and each of them, individually and on behalf of the class of persons they represent, would suffer irreparable injury arising from the permanent loss of constitutionally-protected liberty interests and loss of irreplaceable personal property.

Case 2:17-cv-00903-WBS-KJN Document 9 Filed 06/12/17 Page 3 of 3

SEILER EPSTEIN ZIEGLER & APPLEGATE LLP

STELN ZIEGLEK & AFFLEGATE Attorneys at Law

			ı
	_	_	

In accordance with Local Rule 231, and the case management/standing orders of Senior Judge William B. Shubb, plaintiffs are submitting this motion for the issuance of a TRO, and issuance of a preliminary injunction, to be heard on such date and time for the hearing of law and motion matters as it may please the court, and pursuant to a briefing schedule as the court deems to be appropriate, as set forth in the proposed order submitted herewith per L.R. 231(c)(7). Given the pending effective enforcement date of July 1, 2017 set forth in the statutes at issue, however, Plaintiffs are, requesting temporary injunctive relief, and/or that this matter be heard by July 1, 2017. (See Lee Decl., ¶¶ 3-7.)

In support of this motion, Plaintiffs will rely upon and submit herewith the supporting memorandum of points and authorities, supporting declarations and exhibits thereto, matters which may be subject to judicial notice, including those matters which are contained within plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice made pursuant to FRE 201, and any other such evidence and argument as the court may consider upon the hearing of this motion.

Pursuant to Eastern Dist. L.R. 231(d), Plaintiffs inform the court that they do not intend to present oral testimony at the hearing of such matter, and anticipate that the hearing on this matter will take approx. one hour.

Dated: June 12, 2017

SEILER EPSTEIN ZIEGLER & APPLEGATE LLP

/s/ George M. Lee George M. Lee

LAW OFFICES OF RAYMOND MARK DIGUISEPPE, PLLC

/s/ Raymond M. DiGuiseppe Raymond M. DiGuiseppe