1	C. D. Michel - S.B.N. 144258 Sean A. Brady - S.B.N. 262007				
2	MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 180 E. Ocean Boulevard, Suite 200				
3	Long Beach, CA 90802 Telephone: 562-216-4444 Facsimile: 562-216-4445				
4	Facsimile: 562-216-4445 Email: cmichel@michellawyers.com				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
6					
7					
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	FRESNO BRANCH COURTHOUSE				
11	BARRY BAUER, STEPHEN WARKENTIN NICOLE FERRY	CASE NO. 1:11-cv-01440-LJO-MJS			
12	WARKENTIN, NICOLE FERRY, LELAND ADLEY, JEFFREY HACKER, NATIONAL RIFLE	STIPULATION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATES AND			
13	ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA, INC., CALIFORNIA RIFLE AND	[PROPOSED] ORDER			
14	PISTOL ASSOCIATION FOUNDATION, HERB BAUER				
15	SPORTING GOODS, INC.,				
16	Plaintiffs				
17	vs.				
18	KAMALA HARRIS, in Her Official Capacity as Attorney General For the				
19	State of California; STEPHEN LINDLEY, in His Official Capacity				
20	Capacity as Attorney General For the State of California; STEPHEN LINDLEY, in His Official Capacity as Acting Chief for the California Department of Justice, and DOES 1-				
21	10,				
22	Defendants.				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		1			
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER				

I.

2 INTRODUCTION

3 The parties, Plaintiffs Barry Bauer, Stephen Warkentin, Nicole Ferry, Leland

Adley, Jeffrey Hacker, National Rifle Association of America, Inc., California Rifle and Pistol Association Foundation, Herb Bauer Sporting Goods, Inc. (collectively "Plaintiffs") and Defendants Attorney General Kamala D. Harris and Chief of the Firearms Bureau Stephen Lindley (collectively "Defendants"), through their respective attorneys of record, hereby jointly stipulate to a 60-day extension of the currently scheduled discovery deadlines as set forth below.

RECITALS/GROUNDS FOR RELIEF

II.

Pursuant to Rule 16, a party may seek modification of a scheduling order, including modification of a discovery cut-off date, "only for good cause and with a judge's consent." Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). "Good cause" exists when a scheduling deadline "cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension." *Schaffner v. Crown Equipment Corporation*, No. C 09-00284 SBA, 2011 WL 6303408, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 16, 2011) (citing *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992). A party may establish good cause by showing

(1) that [he or she] was diligent in assisting the court in creating a workable Rule 16 order; (2) that [his or her] noncompliance with a rule 16 deadline occurred or will occur, notwithstanding [his or her] diligent efforts to comply, because of the development of matters which could not have been reasonably foreseen or anticipated at the time of the Rule 16 scheduling conference; and (3) that [he or she] was diligent in seeking amendment of the Rule 16 order, once it became apparent that he or she could not comply with the order.

with the order.

Hood v. Hartford Life & Accident Ins. Co., 567 F.Supp.2d 1221, 1224 (E.D. Cal. 2008) (citation omitted).

4

5 6

8 9

7

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

WHEREAS the current deadline to complete all non-expert discovery is February 27, 2013.

WHEREAS Plaintiffs requested that Defendants stipulate to extend the written discovery cut-off deadline because Plaintiffs believe that additional time is needed to remedy a good faith misunderstanding between the parties and adequately and fairly complete the discovery process;

WHEREAS the parties originally believed at the time of the Rule 16 scheduling conference that non-expert discovery would be completed by the current discovery cut-off deadline and worked together to prepare a comprehensive proposed scheduling report for the Court's convenience;

WHEREAS the parties encountered a good-faith misunderstanding as to the appropriate scope of non-expert discovery in this case, which has resulted in postponement of depositions and other issues and makes compliance with the current discovery cut-off date unlikely;

WHEREAS the parties are currently and diligently negotiating in good faith to resolve the misunderstanding and are currently in the process of re-evaluating their prospective positions to reach an informal resolution and complete non-expert discovery in light of developments;

WHEREAS the current non-expert discovery deadline is fast approaching and is putting pressure on the parties, thereby creating a situation that may become more adversarial than otherwise need be;

WHEREAS extending the deadline pursuant to this stipulation will allow the parties an opportunity to negotiate informally to complete the discovery process without further involvement with the court;

WHEREAS the parties make this request to extend the written discovery cutoff date almost an entire month prior to its arrival, and only after diligent attempts by both parties to avoid such, but ultimately concluding doing so is not feasible;

1	AND WHEREAS, THE P	ARTIES STIPULATE AND AGREE TO THE	
2	FOLLOWING:		
3	1. To extend the non-expert discovery cut-off deadline 60 days, to		
4	April 29, 2013.		
5	SO STIPULATED.		
6	Dated: January 22, 2013	MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.	
7			
8		/g/C D Mighal	
9		/s/ C. D. Michel C. D. Michel Attorney for Plaintiffs	
10		Attorney for Framitins	
11	Dated: January 22, 2013	Deputy Attorney General	
12			
13		/s/ Anthony R. Hakl	
14 15		Anthony R. Hakl (as approved on January 22, 2013) Attorney for Defendants	
16		Attorney for Defendants	
17	PURSUANT TO THE ST	IPULATION OF THE PARTIES, IT IS SO	
18	ORDERED.		
19			
20	Date:, 2013		
21		Han Michael I Cong	
22		Hon. Michael J. Seng Magistrate Judge United States District Court	
23		Office States District Court	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		4	
	STIPULATI	ON AND PROPOSED ORDER	

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
3	FRESNO BRANCH COURTHOUSE			
4		O-MJS		
5	WARKENTIN, NÍCOLE FERRY,) LELAND ADLEY, JEFFREY) HACKER, NATIONAL RIFLE) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	•		
6		1		
7	_ 11 / /			
8	SPORTING GOODS, INC.			
9	Plaintiffs {			
10	vs. () (KAMALA HARRIS, in Her Official ()			
11	Capacity as Attorney General For the State of California; STEPHEN			
12	LINDLEY, in His Official Capacity as Acting Chief for the California Department of Justice, and DOES 1-			
13	Department of Justice, and DOES 1-			
14				
15				
16	IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT:			
17	I, the undersigned, am a citizen of the United States and am at least eighteen years of age. My business address is 180 E. Ocean Blvd., Suite 200, Long Beach,			
18	California, 90802.	g Deach,		
19	I am not a party to the above-entitled action. I have caused service STIPULATION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATES	of:		
20		AND		
21	on the following party by electronically filing the foregoing with the Cle District Court using its ECF System, which electronically notifies them.	rk of the		
22	Anthony R. Hakl, Deputy Attorney General			
23	Colifornia Donartment of Justice			
24	II Civil Lovy Division			
25	1200 I Street Suite 125			
26	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on January 22, 2013.			
27	/s/ C. D. Michel			
28	C. D. Michel Attorney for Plaintiffs			
	5			

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER