

1 C. D. Michel - SBN 144258  
 Don B. Kates - SBN 39193  
 2 Glenn S. McRoberts - SBN 144852  
 Thomas E. Maciejewski - SBN 222736  
 3 TRUTANICH - MICHEL, LLP  
 180 East Ocean Blvd.  
 4 Suite 200  
 Long Beach, CA 90802  
 5 Telephone: (562) 216-4444  
 Fax: (562) 216-4445  
 6

**ENDORSED  
 FILED**  
 San Francisco County Superior Court

JUN 30 2006

GORDON PARK LI, Clerk  
 BY RONNIE OTERO  
 Deputy Clerk

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Petitioners

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

11 PAULA FISCAL et al.,

CASE NO. CPF-05-505960

12 Plaintiffs and Petitioners,

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT  
 GRANTING PEREMPTORY WRIT OF  
 MANDAMUS**

13 vs.

14 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
 15 FRANCISCO et al.,

**CO COPY**

16 Defendants and Respondents.

17  
 18  
 19 TO: RESPONDENTS' CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO et al., AND THEIR  
 20 ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

21 Notice is hereby given that on June 22, 2006, judgment was entered in the above-entitled  
 22 proceeding. This judgment, which is attached as "Exhibit A" to this notice, granted petitioners Paula  
 23 Fiscal et al., a peremptory writ of mandamus directing respondents not to enforce Proposition H or  
 24 Ordinance No. 55-06, which was enacted on November 8, 2005, and which appears, in Article 36A,  
 25 Sections 3600A and 3601A of the San Francisco Police Code City and County of San Francisco.

26 Dated: June 30, 2006

TRUTANICH - MICHEL, LLP

27   
 28 C. D. Michel  
 Attorney for Plaintiffs and Petitioners

1 C. D. Michel - SBN 144258  
Don B. Kates - SBN 39193  
2 Glenn S. McRoberts - SBN 144852  
Thomas E. Maciejewski - SBN 222736  
3 TRUTANICH • MICHEL, LLP  
180 East Ocean Blvd.  
4 Suite 200  
Long Beach, CA 90802  
5 Telephone: (562) 216-4444  
Fax: (562) 216-4445  
6

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Petitioners

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

11 PAULA FISCAL et al.,

12 Plaintiffs and Petitioners,

14 vs.

15 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
16 FRANCISCO et al.,

17 Defendants and Respondents.  
18

CASE NO. CPF-05-505960


**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT  
GRANTING PEREMPTORY WRIT OF  
MANDAMUS**

19 TO: RESPONDENTS' CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO et al., AND THEIR  
20 ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

21 Notice is hereby given that on June 22, 2006, judgment was entered in the above-entitled  
22 proceeding. This judgment, which is attached as "Exhibit A" to this notice, granted petitioners Paula  
23 Fiscal et al., a peremptory writ of mandamus directing respondents not to enforce Proposition H or  
24 Ordinance No. 55-06, which was enacted on November 8, 2005, and which appears, in Article 36A,  
25 Sections 3600A and 3601A of the San Francisco Police Code City and County of San Francisco.

26 Dated: June 30, 2006

TRUTANICH - MICHEL, LLP

27   
28 C. D. Michel  
Attorney for Plaintiffs and Petitioners

# **EXHIBIT A**

**FILED**  
San Francisco County Superior Court

JUN 22 2006

GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk  
BY: Juliana C. Kagan  
Deputy Clerk

1 C. D. Miche - SBN 144258  
Don B. Kates - SBN 39193  
2 Glenn S. McRoberts - SBN 144852  
Thomas E. Maciejewski - SBN 222736  
3 TRUTANICH • MICHEL, LLP  
180 East Ocean Blvd.  
4 Suite 200  
Long Beach, CA 90802  
5 Telephone: (562) 216-4444  
Fax: (562) 216-4445  
6

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Petitioners

**FILE BY FAX**

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

11 PAULA FISCAL et al.,

CASE NO. CPF-05-505960

12 Plaintiffs and Petitioners,

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT GRANTING  
PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

14 vs.

Hearing Date: February 23, 2006  
Hearing Judge: Hon. James L. Warren  
Time: 9:30 a.m.  
Location: Dept. 301

15 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
16 FRANCISCO et al.,

Date Action Filed: December 29, 2005  
Submission Date: March 20, 2006

17 Defendants and Respondents.  
18

19  
20 This matter came regularly before this Court on February 23, 2006, for hearing in Department  
21 301 of the Superior Court, the Honorable James L. Warren presiding. C.D. Michel and Don B. Kates of  
22 Trutanich•Michel, LLP appeared for Petitioners. Wayne Snodgrass and Vince Chhabria appeared for  
23 the Respondents, the City and County of San Francisco, the San Francisco Police Department, and San  
24 Francisco Chief of Police Heather Fong. Roderick Thompson appeared on behalf of *amicus curiae*  
25 Legal Community Against Violence in support of Petitioners, but did not participate in oral argument at  
26 this Court's direction following objection by Petitioners.

27 ///

28 ///

1 This Court has reviewed and considered the memoranda, declarations, requests for judicial  
 2 notice, and other papers submitted by the parties and/or amici, both in support of and in opposition to  
 3 Petitioners' motion. The Court has also considered the arguments of counsel present at the hearing, and  
 4 has carefully reviewed the law to which the Court has been referred by the parties, as well as that which  
 5 the Court has researched on its own. In light of the foregoing,

6 1. A peremptory writ of mandamus shall issue from the court, commanding respondents not to  
 7 enforce Proposition H or Ordinance No. 55-06, which was enacted on November 8, 2005 and which  
 8 appears in Article 36A, Sections 3600A and 3601A of the San Francisco Police Code.

9 ~~2. The writ shall further command that Proposition H or Ordinance No. 55-06, which was~~  
 10 ~~enacted on November 8, 2005 and which appears in Article 36A, Sections 3600A and 3601A of the San~~  
 11 ~~Francisco Police Code, shall be removed from the list of San Francisco municipal ordinances and shall~~  
 12 ~~not be further published in the municipal codes.~~

13 3. Petitioner shall recover costs in this proceeding, ~~in the amount of~~ per costs memo.

14 4. This Court's jurisdiction over determining Petitioners' entitlement to recover attorneys' fees,  
 15 and if so what amount, shall be retained. Entitlement to and the appropriate amount of attorney's fees  
 16 will be determined on noticed motion to be submitted to the Court by Petitioners within 60 days after  
 17 this judgment becomes final and non-appealable or within 60 days after remittitur from the Court of  
 18 Appeals.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

20  
 21 Date: June 22, 2006

Paul H. Alvarado  
 Judge of the Superior Court  
 Paul Alvarado for Ret. Judge James L. Watkins

22  
 23  
 24 Judgment entered on \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Clerk

25  
 26  
 27  
 28

1 PROOF OF SERVICE

2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA

3 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

4 I, Claudia Ayala, am employed in Long Beach, Los Angeles County, California. I am over the  
5 age eighteen (18) years and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 180 East Ocean  
Blvd., Suite 200, Long Beach, California 90802.

6 On June 30, 2006, I served the foregoing document(s) described as

7 **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT**  
8 **GRANTING PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

9 on the interested parties in this action by placing

10  the original  
 a true and correct copy

thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows:

11 Wayne K. Snodgrass, Deputy City Attorney  
12 Vince Chhabria, Deputy City Attorney  
13 SAN FRANCISCO CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
14 #1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
City Hall, Room 234  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Fax: (415) 554-4699

15 X (BY MAIL) As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and  
16 processing correspondence for mailing. Under the practice it would be deposited with the U.S.  
17 Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Long Beach, California, in  
the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is  
presumed invalid if postal cancellation date is more than one day after date of deposit for  
mailing an affidavit.

18 Executed on June 30, 2006, at Long Beach, California.

19 \_\_\_\_\_ (PERSONAL SERVICE) I caused such envelope to delivered by hand to the offices of the  
addressee.

20 \_\_\_\_\_ (VIA OVERNIGHT MAIL) As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of  
21 collection and processing correspondence for overnight delivery by UPS/FED-EX. Under the  
22 practice it would be deposited with a facility regularly maintained by UPS/FED-EX for receipt  
on the same day in the ordinary course of business. Such envelope was sealed and placed for  
23 collection and delivery by UPS/FED-EX with delivery fees paid or provided for in accordance  
with ordinary business practices.

24 Executed on June 30, 2006, at Long Beach, California.

25 X (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the  
foregoing is true and correct.

26 \_\_\_\_\_ (FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of the member of the bar of this of this  
27 court at whose direction the service was made.

28   
\_\_\_\_\_  
CLAUDIA AYALA