

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

JEFFERSON WAYNE SCHRADER, et al.,	)	
	)	Case No. 11-5352
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
ERIC HOLDER, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

**CONSENT MOTION TO EXTEND BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

COME NOW Appellants, Jefferson Wayne Schrader and the Second Amendment Foundation, Inc. (“SAF”), and respectfully move this Court for an extension of the remaining briefing schedule, extending the deadline for the filing of Appellees’ Brief from May 21 to June 5, 2012, and the deadline for the filing of Appellants’ Reply Brief from June 4 to June 19, 2012.

Counsel for Appellees has consented to this motion.

In support of said motion, Appellants submit:

1. Since the original setting of the briefing schedule, a number of other intervening deadlines and events have appeared on Appellants’ Counsel’s schedule that make a June 4 filing date for the Reply Brief challenging.
2. Following multiple extensions obtained by opposing counsel in *Moore v. Madigan*, 7<sup>th</sup> Cir. No. 12-1269, Appellants’ counsel must prepare a Reply Brief in that case by May 23. Appellants’ counsel has also been retained to file an amicus brief in *Fisher v. University of Texas*, Supreme Court No. 11-345, which is due May 29. Counsel also has due June 1, in the District Court for the District of Columbia, an opposition to the Defendant’s proposed facts in *Libertarian National Committee, Inc. v.*

*Federal Election Commission*, No. 11-567, although a consented-to modification of that briefing schedule is being sought as well.

3. Appellants' counsel is also set to argue *Hightower v. City of Boston*, 1<sup>st</sup> Cir. No. 11-2281, on June 6, and the aforementioned *Moore* appeal before the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit on June 8. On June 11, Appellants' counsel has due a motion for attorney fees and expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 in *Bateman v. Perdue*, E.D.N.C. No. 10-265-H, speaking and CLE teaching obligations in Pennsylvania and Missouri on June 12-14, and a reply brief in *Piszcztoski v. Filko*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. No. 12-1150, due June 15.
4. Granting this motion would not prejudice any party, and would serve the interests of justice.

Wherefore, Appellants request that the motion be granted.

Dated: May 14, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

Alan Gura (D.C. Bar No. 453449)  
Thomas M. Huff (D.C. Bar No. 978294)  
Gura & Possessky, PLLC  
101 N. Columbus Street, Suite 405  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
703.835.9085/Fax 703.997.7665

By: /s/ Alan Gura  
Alan Gura

Attorneys for Appellants

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On May 14, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing motion via the Court's CM/ECF system, which automatically provided notice to all counsel in the case.

/s/ Alan Gura  
Alan Gura