1 PAUL H. NEUHARTH, JR (State Bar # 147073) 1140 Union Street, Suite 102 2 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 231-0401 3 Facsimile: (619) 231-8759 4 5 Attorney for Plaintiff EDWARD PERUTA 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 10 11 EDWARD PERUTA, 12 Plaintiff, 13 VS. 14 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, WILLIAM D. 15

GORE, INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS

Defendants.

CAPACITY AS SHERIFF,

09 OCT 23 AMII: 59

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT O NIST SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALEOLAIA

DEPUTY

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

709 CV 2 37 1 IEG

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1988

COME NOW the Plaintiff Edward Peruta, by and through undersigned counsel, and complaint of Defendants as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Petitioner Edward Peruta (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff/Petitioner") is a natural person and a citizen of the United States and of the State of California, residing in San Diego County, California.
- 2. Defendant San Diego County is a municipal entity organized under the Constitution and laws of the State of California.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3. Defendant William Gore is the Sheriff of San Diego County, and as such, he is responsible for formulating, executing and administering the challenged laws, customs and practices against plaintiffs, and is in fact presently enforcing the challenged laws, customs, and practices against plaintiff. Defendant Gore is sued in both his individual and official capacities.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343, 2201, 2202, and 42 U.S.C. § 1983
- 5. Venue lies in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Background

- 6. The Second Amendment to the United States Constitution provides: "A well regulated Militia being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms shall not be infringed."
- 7. The Second Amendment, by way of the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, prohibits states from depriving law-abiding individuals of their right to keep and bear arms.
- 8. The Second Amendment guarantees the right to carry handguns for self-defense.
- 9. States are allowed to regulate the carrying of handguns, such that states can prohibit the possession of firearms by felons and the mentally ill, or prohibit the carrying of firearms in sensitive places such as schools and government buildings.

10. States are not allowed to completely ban the carrying of handguns for self-defense, or

impose regulations on the right to carry handguns that are inconsistent with the Second

22

25

Amendment. 11. With few exceptions, California has banned the carrying of concealed weapons, (California Penal Code § 12025), and public carrying of loaded handguns, (California Penal Code § 12031).

- 12. California does allow for the issuance of a permit to carry a concealed loaded handgun in public, for self defense, and in small population counties, an individual may obtain a license to carry a loaded and exposed handgun. California Penal Code § 12050(a).
- 13. To obtain a permit to carry a handgun, applicants must pass a criminal background check. as required by California Penal Code §12052, and successfully complete a handgun training course, as required by California Penal Code §12050(a)(1)(E). An applicant must submit an application for a permit to carry a handgun to the county sheriff in which the applicant resides or spends a substantial amount of time in regard to the applicant's principal place of employment or business being located in that county. Before the county sheriff issues a license to carry a concealed weapon, the county sheriff makes a determination as to whether the applicant is of good moral character and has good cause for a license to carry a concealed weapon.
- 14. Even if an applicant successfully completes a background check and the handgun training course, the permit will only be issued if in the discretion of the county sheriff, it is found that the applicant is of good moral character and has shown that there is a good cause for the permit. California Penal Code §§ 12050(a)(1)(A), (B). Because county sheriffs have discretion in determining whether an applicant is of good moral character, and whether an

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

applicant has "good cause" for a permit, there is no continuity in the issuance of handgun carrying permits. In some counties, such as San Diego, applicants are rarely issued a handgun carrying permit, but in other counties, handgun carrying permits are issued to most law-abiding applicants.

- 15. Because county sheriffs have discretion in determining whether an applicant is of good moral character, and whether an applicant has good cause for a permit, the issuance of a license to carry a concealed weapon leads to the disparate treatment of applicants in California applying for a license to carry a concealed weapon. As such, applicants are not protected by the Equal Protection clause of the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 16. Defendants' policy of requiring fulltime residence in San Diego County before issuing a license to carry a concealed weapon, violates the right to travel guaranteed by the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution.

Violations of Plaintiff's Right to Bear Arms

- 17. Plaintiff Edward Peruta maintains several residences across the United States, including but not limited to a residence in San Diego County. Plaintiff maintains a permanent mailing address in San Diego, California, where he and his wife have a room in which they keep a wardrobe and other personal items.
- 18. Plaintiff and his wife have made their motor home their permanent residence, and stay in San Diego for extended period of times. Plaintiff reserved space at Campland on the Bay, in San Diego, California, from November 15, 2008 through April 15, 2009. He had also reserved space at the same place from February, 2007 through April, 2007.

- 19. Plaintiff is the founder, and sole stockholder of American News and Information Services, Inc., a news and information company that operates throughout the United States, and which gathers and provides raw, breaking news video, photographs, and news tips to various mainstream media outlets.
- 20. As part of Plaintiff's media duties, he often enters high crime areas, which puts him at risk of criminal assaults and in need of the ability to defend himself against the real possibility of being the target of violent crimes.
- 21. Plaintiff Peruta and his wife travel extensively throughout the United States in their motor home, carrying large sums of cash, valuables and equipment, which makes them a target for violent crimes.
- 22. As part of Plaintiff's travels, he and his wife often find it necessary to stay in extremely remote rural areas of the United States, including California, which makes them vulnerable physical attacks, due to the fact that they are often limited in their ability to receive immediate assistance from law enforcement or other public safety personnel.
- 23. Plaintiff is a certified National Rifles Association, (N.R.A.), instructor with the authority to train and certify individuals in the N.R.A. Basic Pistol Safety Course.
- 24. Plaintiff has a valid pistol permit issued by the State of Connecticut, and is recognized by the Department of Public Safety to teach the pistol course required to obtain a Connecticut Pistol Permit.
- 25. Plaintiff was assigned as a marine small arms instructor, (rifle and pistol), at the United States Naval Academy, in 1969.
- 26. Plaintiff successfully completed the Connecticut Municipal Training Course, in 1970.

- 27. Plaintiff is a former law enforcement officer from the state of Connecticut. Plaintiff was a law enforcement officer from 1969 to 1971.
- 28. Plaintiff obtained, and provided to the San Diego County Sheriff, the required 8 Hour Firearms Safety and Proficiency Certificate, in accordance with California Penal Code § 12050(E)(i).
- 29. The Firearms Licensing and Permits Unit of the State of California Department of Justice found Plaintiff eligible to possess firearms.
- 30. The Second Amendment right to bear arms, and the inherent right of self-defense and self-preservation it advances, are not considered by Defendant San Diego County to constitute "good cause" for the issuance of a permit to carry a concealed weapon.
- 31. Defendant Sheriff William Gore is continuing San Diego County's "good cause" policy for denying permits to carry a concealed weapon.
- 32. Pursuant to Defendant San Diego County's "good cause" policy, Plaintiff was denied a permit to carry a concealed weapon by Defendant Sheriff William Gore's predecessor, and it is obvious that re-submission of the same application to Defendant Sheriff Gore would be futile.
- 33. But for the lack of a permit to carry a concealed weapon, Plaintiff would carry a concealed weapon for self-defense.
- 34. On November 17, 2008, Plaintiff requested a license to carry a concealed weapon from the San Diego County Sheriff's License Division, at which time he was interviewed by a licensing supervisor to determine whether he satisfied the licensing criteria.
- 35. On February 3, 2009, Plaintiff submitted an application for a license to carry a concealed weapon.

- 36. Plaintiff was denied a license to carry a concealed weapon, because the San Diego County Sheriff's licensing division made a finding that Plaintiff did not have good cause, and was not a resident of San Diego County. It was deemed that Plaintiff did not have good cause, because Plaintiff could not document any specific threat of harm, and primary reasons for desiring a license to carry a concealed weapon were due to the fact that he often carried large amounts of cash, valuables and equipment in his motor home, and also because his duties as a news investigator placed him in high crime areas.
- 37. Defendants have deprived Plaintiff of his right to carry a handgun for self-defense purposes, which is guaranteed by the Second Amendment, because there is a general ban on the exposed carrying of loaded handguns, and because of subjective "good cause" policy.
- 38. Plaintiff has shown that he has "good cause" for the license to carry a concealed weapon. However, the Defendants' arbitrary and capricious application of California Penal Code § 12050's "good cause" requirement has resulted in the denial to Plaintiff of a license to carry a concealed weapon, and violates Plaintiff's right to equal protection of the laws that is guaranteed by the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 39. Plaintiff has shown he is a resident of San Diego County. However, Defendants' application of California Penal Code § 12050's residency requirement, has developed into an irrational and unjustified policy that requires fulltime residency in San Diego County, and violates Plaintiff's right to travel that is guaranteed by the 14th Amendment of the United States Constitution.

- 40. Plaintiff/Petitioner hereby realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 35 above as set forth herein in full.
- 41. Plaintiff is a law abiding individual, competent in the safe handling and operation of handguns, and in need of the ability to defend himself and his wife against violent attacks. Plaintiff is also in need of the ability to defend his valuable property, which he travels throughout California with. Accordingly, there exists no reason to deny Plaintiff a permit to carry a concealed weapon under California Penal Code § 12050.
- 42. By maintaining and enforcing a set of customs, practices, and policies depriving Plaintiff of a permit to carry a concealed weapon, including but limited to requiring subjective "good cause" beyond the interest in self-defense and conditioning the consideration of an application for a permit to carry a concealed weapon on a durational residency requirement, Defendants are propagating customs, policies, and practices that violate the Second and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, damaging Plaintiff's rights in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to permanent injunctive relief against such customs, policies, and practices.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF U.S. CONST., AMEND. XIV-EQUAL PROTECTION, 42 U.S.C. § 1983 AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

43. Paragraphs 1 through 38 are incorporated as though fully stated in herein.

///

45. By maintaining and enforcing a set of customs, practices, and policies arbitrarily denying Plaintiff of a permit to carry handguns based on a subjective determination of their "good cause" for the permit and their length of residence in the county, Defendants are propagating customs, policies, and practices that violate Plaintiff's rights to equal protection of the laws under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, damaging Plaintiff in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to permanent injunctive relief against such customs, policies, and practices.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF U.S. CONST., AMEND. XIV-RIGHT TO TRAVEL, 42 U.S.C. § 1983 AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 46. Paragraphs 1 through 40 are incorporated as though fully stated herein.
- 47. The Fourteenth Amendment guarantees individuals the right to interstate and intrastate travel.
- 48. By demanding that individuals reside fulltime in San Diego County before allowing them to apply for a permit to carry a concealed weapon, Defendants Gore and San Diego County are propagating customs, policies, and practices that violate Plaintiff's right to travel under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, damaging Plaintiff's in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to permanent injunctive relief against such customs, policies and practices.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that judgment be entered in his favor and against Defendants as follows:

- 1. An order permanently enjoining Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the injunction, from enforcing the "good moral character" and "good cause" requirements of California Penal Code §12050 against applicants applying for carrying concealed weapons permits who seek the permit for self-defense and are otherwise qualified to obtain a handgun carrying permit under that section;
- 2. An order permanently enjoining Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with them who receive actual notice of the injunction, from requiring any duration of local residence prior to accepting an application under California Penal Code § 12050;
- 3. Declaratory relief that the "good moral character" and "good cause" provisions of the California Penal Code § 12050 are unconstitutional either on their face and/or as applied to bar applicants who are otherwise legally qualified to possess firearms and who assert self-defense as their "good cause" for seeking a permit to carry a concealed weapon;
- 4. Costs of Suit, including attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988;
- 5. Any other further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 16-22-09

TAUL H. NEUHARTH, JR. Attorney for Plaintiff, PERUTA SS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FO	ORM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	9 OCT 23 AM 11: S	58
PERUTA, EDWARD			COUNTY OF S	AN DIÈGO, WILLIAM	D. GORE,
			INDIVIDUALLY	ANDUN HIS CAPACI	TY AS SHERIFF
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff SAN DIEGO)	County of Residence of	THERN DISTRICT OF CALI	SAN DIEGO
(1	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	•		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	STAIN B
			NOTE: IN I AND	D CONDEMNATION CASES, to Involved.	LEAL E LOCATION OF THE
			A AND I	NVOLVED.	,
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam	e, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (16 kUS)	CV 2371 IE(n _
	(SBN147073) 1140 UNION ST, S	CTC 400	, monteys (it ichown)	O 1 1 1 1 1 1 (² BLM
SAN DIEGO CA 9210	(3BN 147073) 1140 UNION ST, 3 1, TEL. (619) 231-0401	·			- C-148
II. BASIS OF JURISI		±		DINCIDAL DADTIES	
III DASIS OF TORIS	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	111. CI	(For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
D 1 U.S. Government	■ 3 Federal Question		P1	rf def	PTF DET
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State		
	_			of Business In Thi	s State
Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity	Citize	en of Another State	2	
Belendini	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item	n III)		of Business In	Another State
			en or Subject of a 💢	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV NATHDE OF SHI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	For	reign Country		
CONTRACT	TORTS	T RO	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER COLORS
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL		0 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal		0 Other Food & Drug	423 Withdrawal	☐ 410 State Reapportionment
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability		of Property 21 USC 881 0 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgmen	Slander 🗇 368 Asbestos	· ·	0 R.R. & Truck	3 820 Copyrights	470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	D 330 Federal Employers' Injury Pr		0 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations
Student Loans	Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PI		0 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fra	aud 🗇 69	0 Other		☐ 810 Selective Service
of Veteran's Benefits	Liability		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 360 Other Per		0 Fair Labor Standards Act	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	Damage 72	0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Product L Injury	ability 🗍 730	0 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions B91 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PE	TITIONS D 740	0 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions t		Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Environmental Matters
1 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment Sentence ☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corp		l Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	□ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	7.U.S.	Security Act	26 USC 7609	Act
245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare 535 Death Per		IMMIGRATION		☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamt Employment ☐ 550 Civil Rigi		2 Naturalization Application 3 Habeas Corpus -		Under Equal Access to Justice
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 555 Prison Co	ondition	Alien Detainee	• •	☐ 950 Constitutionality of
	Other 440 Other Civit Rights	□ 46:	5 Other Immigration		State Statutes
	Visit Offici Civil Rights		Actions		
V. ORIGIN (Place	on "V" in One Ban Onto				Annual to District
	an "X" in One Box Onty) emoved from	☐ 4 Reins		erred from 6 Multidistr	Appeal to District ict 7 Judge from
	ate Court Appellate Court	Reop		ruisifict Litigation	/ Magistrate Judgment
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which	you are filing (I			sudgment .
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause: VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF	יים בונטום פי-	CAREVO VOVAS E	MINI BUNILCHAN	AND DICHT IO
VII DECHECTED IN	O SUPPON OF TEATH IT	3 KIGITI IV	O BEAR ARIVIS, E	QUAL PROTECTION	AND RIGHT TO
VII. REQUESTED IN		CTION DI	EMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		JURY DEMAND:	☐ Yes ☐ No
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):				
IF ANY	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	AND STORE IS
DATE	SIGNATIDE	OFATRONEY	MARECORTO -		• %
	SIGNATURE	N. CONNECTOR	1//////////////////////////////////////		40° 474
10-22-09		<u>//</u> /	11/1/1	uc.	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	17		.a.?		
RECEIPT # 662/ A	MOUNT 350.00, APPLYING	2 11215	eg" .		2015
AGCENT # WOOL A	MOUNT 30.00, APPLYING	J 1818	JUDGE	MAĞEJUL	/UE
	118 10/23/00				

Court Name: USDC California Southern

Division: 3

Receipt Number: CASO06621 Cashier ID: msweaney

Transaction Date: 10/23/2009 Payer Name: PAUL H NEUHARTH APC

CIVIL FILING FEE

For: PERUTA V COUNTY OF SD

Case/Party: D-CAS-3-09-CV-002371-001

Amount: \$350.00

CHECK

Check/Money Order Num: 2480 Amt Tendered: \$350.00

Total Due: \$350.00

Total Tendered: \$350.00

Change Amt: \$0.00

There will be a fee of \$45.00 charged for any returned check.