for Constitutional Jurisprudence pman University School of Law liversity Drive California 92866 one: (714) 628-2500 lle: (714) 844-4817 one: (714)	DENCE, RSSHIP,		
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
) CASE NO: 09-CV-2	371 IEG (BGS)	
CCLEARY, and CALIFORNIA RIFLE STATE STATES S	APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF		
) SUMMARY JUDG		
ffs,)) Date:	November 15, 2010	
) Time:) Location:	10:30 a.m. Courtroom 1	
) Judge:) Date Action Filed:	Hon. Irma E. Gonzalez October 23, 2009	
lants.))		
)		
.1	_		
	University, Sturm College of Law Denver West Parkway, Suite 400 , Colorado 80401 one: (303) 279-6536 le: (303) 279-4176 ENEYS FOR AMICI CURIAE ENDENCE INSTITUTE, IR FOR CONSTITUTIONAL JURISPRUDRS FOR RESPONSIBLE GUN OWNER AW ENFORCEMENT ALLIANCE OF A IN THE UNITED STATE SOUTHERN DISTRICATION ARD PERUTA, MICHELLE LAXSON, S DODD, DR. LESLIE BUNCHER, CCLEARY, and CALIFORNIA RIFLE PISTOL ASSOCIATION DATION ffs, TY OF SAN DIEGO, WILLIAM D., INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS CITY AS SHERIFF, lants.	for Constitutional Jurisprudence pman University School of Law iversity Drive , California 92866 one: (714) 628-2500 ille: (714) 844-4817 D. B. KOPEL I Professor of Advanced Constitutional Law, University, Sturm College of Law Denver West Parkway, Suite 400 , Colorado 80401 one: (303) 279-6536 le: (303) 279-6536 le: (303) 279-6176 CNEYS FOR AMICI CURIAE ENDENCE INSTITUTE, IR FOR CONSTITUTIONAL JURISPRUDENCE, DRS FOR RESPONSIBLE GUN OWNERSSHIP, AW ENFORCEMENT ALLIANCE OF AMERICA IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COUR SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ARD PERUTA, MICHELLE LAXSON, CASE NO: 09-CV-2 S DODD, DR. LESLIE BUNCHER, CALIFORNIA ARD PERUTA, MICHELLE LAXSON, CASE NO: 09-CV-2 S DODD, DR. LESLIE BUNCHER, CALIFORNIA RIFLE APPLICATION FOR SUMMARY JUDG OFFIS, Date: Time: Time: Time: TY OF SAN DIEGO, WILLIAM D. Location: , INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS CITY AS SHERIFF, Date and californial contents of the contents of	

Through the undersigned counsel, the Independence Institute, the Center for Constitutional Jurisprudence, Doctors for Responsible Gun Ownership, and the Law Enforcement Alliance of America apply to this Court for leave to file a brief *amicus curiae* in this case. The brief is attached to this motion.

The brief complies will rules of this Court, including page limit. Plaintiffs have consented to the filing of this brief. As of the time of filing this application we had been unable to reach counsel for the County to request consent to file this brief. So defendants have not consented to the filing of this brief.

The Independence Institute is one of the oldest of our nation's state level think tanks. The Institute has filed many *amicus curiae* briefs in federal and state cases. The Institute's briefs were cited in the U.S. Supreme Court opinions in *District of Columbia v. Heller* and *McDonald v. Chicago* (under the name of lead amicus the International Law Enforcement Educators & Trainers Association, ILEETA).

The Institute's scholarship has been cited by the Ninth Circuit and by California state courts. *Silveira v. Lockyer*, 328 F.3d 567, 585 n. 92 (9th Cir. 2003) (Kleinfeld, J., dissenting from denial of petition for rehearing en banc); *Kasler v. Lockyer*, 23 Cal.4th 472, 510, 97 Cal.Rptr.2d 334, 360 (Cal. 2000) (Brown, J., concurring); *Kasler v. Lungren*, 72 Cal.Rptr.2d 260, 265 (Cal. App. 1998).

The Center for Constitutional Jurisprudence, headed by Professor John Eastman, has also participated as *amicus curiae* briefs in many federal and state cases.

The instant brief seeks to provide this Court with a broader perspective on the relevant constitutional issues. In particular, while the parties have squabbled over standard of review, the *amicus* brief explains how the case can be easily resolved without need to pick a standard of review.

Further, the brief explains how defendants have misunderstood and misapplied the "reasonableness" standard which they seek to have applied.

The brief also carefully analyzes the implications from *Heller* of the state law cases which the *Heller* Court described as providing the correct interpretation of the right to bear arms, and the

1 implications from McDonald of the Court's examination of the anti-constitutional abuses in the 2 South which the Fourteenth Amendment was intended to remedy. 3 The Law Enforcement Alliance of America is a civic organization consisting law 4 enforcement officers, crime victims, and concerned citizens. Doctors for Responsible Gun 5 Ownership (which like the Center for Constitutional Justice is a project of the Claremont Institute, a think tank in the neighboring Central District) is a nationwide network of physicians, allied 6 7 health professionals, and others who support the safe and lawful use of firearms. 8 The Law Enforcement Alliance of America and Doctors for Responsible Gun Ownership 9 seek to briefly provide this Court, via their brief, with concise information refuting the 10 fear-mongering and misleading information which has been presented about the supposed dangers 11 of lawful firearms carrying by citizens who have been granted permits after passing thorough 12 background checks and safety training. 13 In conclusion, the aforesaid amici respectfully request this Court with leave to file their 14 brief amici curiae. 15 Respectfully submitted, 16 17 /s/ John C. Eastman John C. Eastman 18 Center for Constl. Jurisprudence c/o Chapman University School of Law 19 One University Drive Orange, California 92866 20 Telephone: (714) 628-2500 21 David B. Kopel 13952 Denver West Parkway, Suite 400 22 Golden, Colo. 80401 (303) 279-6536 23 Counsel of Amici Curiae 24 25 26 27 28

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
3					
4	EDWARD PERUTA, MICHELLE LAXSON,) JAMES DODD, DR. LESLIE BUNCHER,)	CASE NO: 09-CV-2371 IEG (BGS)			
5	MARK CLEARY, and CALIFORNIA RIFLE) AND PISTOL ASSOCIATION	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
6	FOUNDATION)				
7	Plaintiffs,) v.)				
8	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, WILLIAM D.				
9	GORE, INDIVIDUALLY AND IN HIS (CAPACITY AS SHERIFF,				
10	Defendants.				
11					
12	IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT:				
13	I, the undersigned, am a citizen of the United States and am at least eighteen years of age. My business address is One University Drive, Orange, California, 92866.				
14	I am not a party to the above-entitled action. I have caused service of:				
15 16	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT				
17	on the following party by electronically filing the foregoing with the Clerk of the District Court using its ECF System, which electronically notifies them.				
18	SEE SERVICE LIST ATTACHED				
19	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on				
20	October 18, 2010.				
21		/s/ John C. Eastman John C. Eastman, Declarant			
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

1	SERVICE LIST	
2	James M. Chapin	
3	Office of County Counsel	
4	San Diego, CA 92101-2469	
5	Fax: (619-531-6005	
6	james.chapin@sdcounty.ca.gov	
7	PAUL NEUHARTH IR APC	
8	1140 Union Street, Suite 102 San Diego, CA 92101	
9	Telephone: (619) 231-0401 Facsimile: (619) 231-8759	
10	pneuharth@sbcglobal.net	
11	C. D. Michel	
12	180 E. Ocean Blvd., Suite 200	
13 14	(562) 216-4444	
15	cmichel@michellawyers.com	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
II	-5-	