Case: 10-56971 05/22/2012 ID: 8186780 DktEntry: 85 Page: 1 of 4

C.A. No. 10-56971

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

EDWARD PERUTA, et al.,

Plaintiffs/Appellants,

v.

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, et al.,

Defendants/Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of California

Honorable Irma E. Gonzalez, District Judge (DC No. CV-09-2371-IEG(BGS), Southern California, San Diego)

APPELLEE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM STAY

THOMAS E. MONTGOMERY, County Counsel County of San Diego

By JAMES M. CHAPIN, Senior Deputy (Bar No. 118530) 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355 San Diego, California 92101-2469 Telephone: (619) 531-5244 Attorneys for Defendant/Appellee William Gore

Case: 10-56971 05/22/2012 ID: 8186780 DktEntry: 85 Page: 2 of 4

Ι

THE NORDYKE DECISION MAY VERY WELL ADDRESS ISSUES RELEVANT TO THIS CASE

This case involves significant Second Amendment issues which have been addressed by this Court in its prior opinions in *Nordyke v. King*. It appears to be likely that the *en banc* panel in *Nordyke* will decide issues that will be highly relevant to the *Peruta* case. The scope of the Second Amendment after *District of Columbia v. Heller*, 554 U.S. 570 (2008), and the standard of scrutiny to be applied in Second Amendment cases are issues that are likely to be decided or addressed by this Court in *Nordyke*, and are fundamental issues in this appeal.

On January 24, 2012, this Court denied Appellants' previous motion seeking to lift the stay order in this case stating: "The en banc decision in *Nordyke v. King*, No. 07-15763 may contain legal analysis that would assist in the resolution of this appeal."

Appellants' present motion is based entirely on their interpretation of comments from the *en banc* panel and counsel at oral argument in *Nordyke*.

Appellants suggest that the controversy in *Nordyke* is moot, yet the parties apparently don't agree with that assessment and mediation was unsuccessful. The premise of the mootness argument is the claim of *Nordyke* counsel at oral argument that the County had never previously asserted that an exception was available to the gun show. In fact, on summary judgment, the trial judge made a

Case: 10-56971 05/22/2012 ID: 8186780 DktEntry: 85 Page: 3 of 4

finding that the exception is broad enough to encompass the show, a finding that was read into the record at the *en banc* argument.

In any event, the case remains before the *en banc* panel which will render an opinion of some nature. Even a remand order may offer guidance. All interests in judicial economy certainly discourage leaping to conclusions about what a court might do in a case of this nature, when any action may well affect the argument and deliberation in this appeal.

It is therefore requested that this appeal remain stayed until *Nordyke* is decided.

DATED: May 22, 2012 THOMAS E. MONTGOMERY, County Counsel

By: s/ JAMES M. CHAPIN, Senior Deputy Attorneys for Defendant/Appellee William Gore E-mail: james.chapin@sdcounty.ca.gov Case: 10-56971 05/22/2012 ID: 8186780 DktEntry: 85 Page: 4 of 4

Edward Peruta, et al. v. County of San Diego, et al. U.S. Court of Appeals Docket Number(s): 10-56971

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the following document(s): **Appellee's Opposition To Motion For Relief From Stay** with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on May 22, 2012.

I certify that the following participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system:

Paul H. Neuharth, Jr., Esq. Law Offices of Paul H Neuharth 1140 Union Street, Suite 102 San Diego, California 92101

T: (619) 231-0401 F: (619) 231-8759

E-mail: pneuharth@sbcglobal.net (Attorney for Plaintiff/Appellant)

C. D. Michael, Esq.

Michael & Associates, P.C.

180 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 200

Long Beach, California 90802

T: (562) 216-4444 F: (562) 216-4445

E-mail:

cmichael@michaellawers.com
(co-counsel for Plaintiff/Appellant)

I certify that some of the participants in the case are not registered CM/ECF users. I have mailed the foregoing document(s) by First-Class Mail, postage prepaid, or have dispatched it to a third party comercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days, to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

By: s/ JAMES M. CHAPIN

E-mail: james.chapin@sdcounty.ca.gov