

1 DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669  
 City Attorney  
 2 WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137  
 CHRISTINE VAN AKEN, State Bar #241755  
 3 Deputy City Attorneys  
 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
 4 City Hall, Room 234  
 San Francisco, California 94102-4682  
 5 Telephone: (415) 554-4633  
 Facsimile: (415) 554-4699  
 6 E-Mail: christine.van.aken@sfgov.org

7 Attorneys for Defendants  
 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,  
 8 THE MAYOR OF SAN FRANCISCO and  
 THE CHIEF OF THE SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT  
 9

10  
 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 13

14 ESPANOLA JACKSON, PAUL COLVIN,  
 THOMAS BOYER, LARRY BARSETTI,  
 15 DAVID GOLDEN, NOEMI MARGARET  
 ROBINSON, NATIONAL RIFLE  
 16 ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA, INC., and  
 SAN FRANCISCO VETERAN POLICE  
 17 OFFICERS ASSOCIATION,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 vs.

20 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
 FRANCISCO, THE MAYOR OF SAN  
 21 FRANCISCO, and THE CHIEF OF THE SAN  
 FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT, in  
 22 their official capacities,

23 Defendants.  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

Case No. C09-2143 RS

**DECLARATION OF CHRISTINE VAN AKEN  
 IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND  
 [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING  
 BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON PLAINTIFF'S  
 MOTION FOR PARTIAL JUDGMENT ON  
 THE PLEADINGS**

**[Local Rule 6-2]**

1 I, Christine Van Aken, declare as follows:

2 1. I am a Deputy City Attorney for the City and County of San Francisco and an attorney of  
3 record for defendants City and County of San Francisco, the Mayor of San Francisco, and the Chief of  
4 the San Francisco Police Department. The matters within this declaration are true of my personal  
5 knowledge or, where stated otherwise, upon information and belief.

6 2. The parties to this case are submitting a stipulated request for an order modifying the  
7 briefing schedule on Plaintiffs' motion for partial judgment on the pleadings. Pursuant to the  
8 stipulated request, the City's opposition brief would be due on June 7, 2012, and Plaintiffs' reply brief  
9 in support of its motion would be due on June 21, 2012. The motion is set to be heard on July 12,  
10 2012, at 1:30 p.m., and no party requests a modification of that hearing date.

11 3. This request is made for good cause to enable the parties to provide better briefing to assist  
12 the Court in resolving this Motion. The parties stipulate to this request.

13 4. I was assigned to this case in February 2012. Based on my review of the electronic files  
14 that the City maintains for this case, the previous modifications of time in this case are as follows:

15 a. On August 27, 2009, the Court stayed this case pending a determination by  
16 appellate courts concerning whether the Second Amendment is incorporated against the States.

17 b. On September 13, 2010, the Court lifted the stay of proceedings. Doc. 37.

18 c. On September 27, 2010, the Court extended the time for the City to respond to  
19 the amended complaint, upon the parties' stipulation. Doc. 53.

20 d. On November 29, 2010, the Court extended the time for the City to respond to  
21 the amended complaint, upon the City's motion. Doc. 42.

22 e. On December 16, 2010, the Court set a deadline of January 27, 2011 for  
23 Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. Doc. 56.

24 f. On January 28, 2011, the Court endorsed the parties' stipulation extending the  
25 time for Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. Doc. 60.

26 g. On April 8, 2011, the Court continued a hearing on Defendants' motion to  
27 dismiss the First Amended Complaint to April 28, 2011. Doc. 69.

28

