	Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document	8 Filed 01/10/11 Page 1 of 16
1	Donald E.J. Kilmer, Jr., (SBN: 179986) LAW OFFICES OF DONALD KILMER	
2	A Professional Corporation 1645 Willow Street, Suite 150	
3	San Jose, California 95125 Telephone: 408/264-8489	
4	Facsimile: 408/264-8487 E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com	
5	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		CASE NO.: 2:10-CV-02911-JAM-EFB
11	RICHARD ENOS, JEFF BASTASINI, LOUIE MERCADO, WALTER GROVES,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
12	MANUEL MONTEIRO, EDWARD ERIKSON, VERNON NEWMAN, JEFF	AND REQUEST FOR INJUNCTIVE/DECLARATORY RELIEF
13	LOUGHRAN and WILLIAM EDWARDS,	VIOLATIONS OF THE FIRST, SECOND,
14	Plaintiffs,	FIFTH, and TENTH AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION
15	VS.	18 U.S.C. § 921 et seq.
16	ERIC HOLDER, as United States Attorney	18 U.S.C. § 922 et seq.
17	General, and ROBERT MUELLER, III, as	18 U.S.C. § 925A
18	Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation,	28 U.S.C. § 2412
19 20	Defendants.	42 U.S.C. § 1988
20 21		
21	PAR	RTIES
23		lual who is a citizen/resident of the State of
24	California. ENOS lives in San Joaquin (	
25		dual who is a citizen/resident of the State of
26	California.	
27	3. Plaintiff LOUIE MERCADO, is an indiv	vidual who is a citizen/resident of the State of
28	California.	

Donald Kilmer<br/>Attorney at Law<br/>1645 Willow St.<br/>Suite 15028CalifornSan Jose, CA 95125<br/>Vc: 408/264-8489<br/>Fx: 408/264-8487Enos v. Holder

# Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 8 Filed 01/10/11 Page 2 of 16

1	4.	Plaintiff WALTER GROVES, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of
2		California.
3	5.	Plaintiff MANUEL MONTEIRO, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of
4		California.
5	6.	Plaintiff EDWARD ERIKSON, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of
6		California.
7	7.	Plaintiff VERNON NEWMAN, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of
8		California.
9	8.	Plaintiff JEFF LOUGHRAN, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of
10		North Dakota.
11	9.	Plaintiff WILLIAM EDWARDS, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of
12		California.
13	10.	Defendant ERIC HOLDER is the United States Attorney General and is charged with
14		interpretation and enforcement of 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 et seq. and 922 et seq.
15	11.	Defendant ROBERT MUELLER, III is the Director of the Federal Bureau of
16		Investigation and is charged with interpretation and enforcement of 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 et
17		seq. and 922 et seq.
18		JURISDICTION AND VENUE
19	12.	This Court has jurisdiction over the lawsuit because the action arises under 18 U.S.C. §§
20		921 et seq., 922 et seq. and 925A.
21	13.	As this action arises under the United States Constitution this Court also has jurisdiction
22		pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
23	14.	As the Plaintiffs are seeking declaratory relief, this Court has jurisdiction over this action
24		pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
25	15.	Venue for this action is properly in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.
26	16.	All conditions precedent, including exhaustion of administrative remedies where
27		required, have been performed, have occurred, are futile or unnecessary where the
28		government infringes on a fundamental right.

2       17. The State of California works in conjunction with the Federal Government to interpret         3       statutes and implementing regulations that restrict the "right to keep and bear arms" of         4       people convicted of Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence.         5       18. The Federal Government's definition of Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence is         6       found at 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33):         7       (33) (A) Except as provided in subparagraph (C), the term "misdemeanor         8       crime of domestic violence" means an offense that         (i) is a misdemeanor under Federal or State law; and         9       (ii) has, as an element, the use or attempted use of physical force,         10       or the threatened use of a deadly weapon, committed by a current or         11       former spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim, by a person with whom         12       has cohabited with the victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a         13       person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.         14       (i) the person shall not be considered to have been convicted of         15       such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § § 921 et seq.],         16       (i) the case was tried, either         17       (aa) the case was tried by a jury, or         18       paragraph for which a person was entitled t	1		<u>FACTS</u>
<ul> <li>people convicted of Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence.</li> <li>The Federal Government's definition of Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence is</li> <li>found at 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33):</li> <li>(33) (A) Except as provided in subparagraph (C), the term "misdemeanor crime of domestic violence" means an offense that <ul> <li>(i) is a misdemeanor under Federal or State law; and</li> <li>(ii) has, as an element, the use or attempted use of physical force,</li> <li>or the threatened use of a deadly weapon, committed by a current or</li> <li>former spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim, by a person with whom</li> <li>the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabiting with or</li> <li>has cohabited with the victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, of the victim.</li> <li>(B) (i) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of</li> <li>such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § § 921 et seq.], unless</li> <li>(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case; and</li> <li>(II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this</li> <li>paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction</li> <li>in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(a) the case was tried, either</li> <li>(a) the person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § 921 et seq.] if the conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the person handwingly and intelligently waived the right to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2	17.	The State of California works in conjunction with the Federal Government to interpret
<ul> <li>18. The Federal Government's definition of Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence is found at 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33):</li> <li>(33) (A) Except as provided in subparagraph (C), the term "misdemeanor crime of domestic violence" means an offense that <ul> <li>(i) is a misdemeanor under Federal or State law; and</li> <li>(ii) has, as an element, the use or attempted use of physical force,</li> <li>or the threatened use of a deadly weapon, committed by a current or</li> <li>former spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim, by a person with whom</li> <li>the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabiting with or</li> <li>has cohabited with the victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a</li> <li>person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.</li> <li>(B) (i) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of</li> <li>such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § § 921 et seq.],</li> <li>unless</li> <li>(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case; and</li> <li>(II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this</li> <li>paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction</li> <li>in which the case was tried by a jury, or</li> <li>(b) the person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense of a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense of purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the person has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the person has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the person has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li></ul>	3		statutes and implementing regulations that restrict the "right to keep and bear arms" of
6       found at 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33):         7       (33) (A) Except as provided in subparagraph (C), the term "misdemeanor crime of domestic violence" means an offense that <ul> <li>(i) is a misdemeanor under Federal or State law; and</li> <li>(ii) has, as an element, the use or attempted use of physical force,</li> <li>or the threatened use of a deadly weapon, committed by a current or</li> <li>former spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim, by a person with whom</li> <li>the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabiting with or</li> <li>has cohabited with the victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a</li> <li>person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.</li> <li>(B) (i) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of</li> <li>such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § § 921 et seq.],</li> <li>unless</li> <li>(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case; and</li> <li>(II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this</li> <li>paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction</li> <li>in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(aa) the case was tried by a jury, or</li> <li>(bb) the person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> </ul> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <ul> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an</li></ul>	4		people convicted of Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence.
<ul> <li>(33) (A) Except as provided in subparagraph (C), the term "misdemeanor crime of domestic violence" means an offense that <ul> <li>(i) is a misdemeanor under Federal or State law; and</li> <li>(ii) has, as an element, the use or attempted use of physical force,</li> <li>or the threatened use of a deadly weapon, committed by a current or</li> <li>former spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim, by a person with whom</li> <li>the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabiting with or</li> <li>has cohabited with the victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a</li> <li>person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.</li> <li>(B) (i) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of</li> <li>such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § § 921 et seq.],</li> <li>unless</li> <li>(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case; and</li> <li>(II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this</li> <li>paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction</li> <li>in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(a) the case was tried by a jury, or</li> <li>(b) the person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	5	18.	The Federal Government's definition of Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence is
<ul> <li>crime of domestic violence" means an offense that <ul> <li>(i) is a misdemeanor under Federal or State law; and</li> <li>(ii) has, as an element, the use or attempted use of physical force, or the threatened use of a deadly weapon, committed by a current or former spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim, by a person with whom the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabiting with or has cohabited with the victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.</li> <li>(B) (i) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § 921 et seq.], unless</li> <li>(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case; and</li> <li>(II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(a) the case was tried by a jury, or</li> <li>(bb) the person knowingly and intelligently waived the right to have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or</li> </ul>	6		found at 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33):
<ul> <li>(i) is a misdemeanor under Federal or State law; and</li> <li>(ii) has, as an element, the use or attempted use of physical force,</li> <li>or the threatened use of a deadly weapon, committed by a current or</li> <li>former spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim, by a person with whom</li> <li>the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabiting with or</li> <li>has cohabited with the victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a</li> <li>person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.</li> <li>(B) (i) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of</li> <li>such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § 921 et seq.],</li> <li>unless</li> <li>(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case; and</li> <li>(II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this</li> <li>paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction</li> <li>in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(a) the case was tried by a jury, or</li> <li>(bb) the person knowingly and intelligently waived the right to</li> <li>have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> </ul>	7		
<ul> <li>(ii) has, as an element, the use or attempted use of physical force, or the threatened use of a deadly weapon, committed by a current or former spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim, by a person with whom the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabiting with or has cohabited with the victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.</li> <li>(B) (i) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § 921 et seq.], unless</li> <li>(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case; and (II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(iii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § 921 et seq.], unless</li> <li>(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case; and (II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction in which the case was tried by a jury, or (bb) the person knowingly and intelligently waived the right to have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or</li> </ul>	8		
10or the threatened use of a deadly weapon, committed by a current or former spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim, by a person with whom the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabiting with or has cohabited with the victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.12(B) (i) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § 921 et seq.], unless16(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case, or knowingly and intelligently waived the right to counsel in the case; and (II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction in which the case was tried, either20(aa) the case was tried, either21(i) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or	9		
11the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabiting with or12has cohabited with the victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a13person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.14(B) (i) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of15such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § § 921 et seq.],16(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case, or17knowingly and intelligently waived the right to counsel in the case; and18paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction19in which the case was tried, either20(aa) the case was tried by a jury, or21have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.22(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such23an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the24conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the25the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an26offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights	10		
<ul> <li>has cohabited with the victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a</li> <li>person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.</li> <li>(B) (i) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of</li> <li>such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § § 921 et seq.],</li> <li>unless</li> <li>(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case, or</li> <li>knowingly and intelligently waived the right to counsel in the case; and</li> <li>(II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this</li> <li>paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction</li> <li>in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(aa) the case was tried by a jury, or</li> <li>(bb) the person knowingly and intelligently waived the right to</li> <li>have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> </ul>	11		
<ul> <li>person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.</li> <li>(B) (i) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § 921 et seq.], unless</li> <li>(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case, or knowingly and intelligently waived the right to counsel in the case; and</li> <li>(II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(aa) the case was tried by a jury, or</li> <li>(bb) the person knowingly and intelligently waived the right to have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or</li> </ul>	12		
<ul> <li>such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § § 921 et seq.],</li> <li>unless</li> <li>(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case, or</li> <li>knowingly and intelligently waived the right to counsel in the case; and</li> <li>(II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this</li> <li>paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction</li> <li>in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(aa) the case was tried by a jury, or</li> <li>(bb) the person knowingly and intelligently waived the right to</li> <li>have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> </ul>	13		
<ul> <li>unless</li> <li>(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case, or</li> <li>knowingly and intelligently waived the right to counsel in the case; and</li> <li>(II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this</li> <li>paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction</li> <li>in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(aa) the case was tried by a jury, or</li> <li>(bb) the person knowingly and intelligently waived the right to</li> <li>have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> </ul>	14		
<ul> <li>(I) the person was represented by counsel in the case, or</li> <li>knowingly and intelligently waived the right to counsel in the case; and</li> <li>(II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this</li> <li>paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction</li> <li>in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(aa) the case was tried by a jury, or</li> <li>(bb) the person knowingly and intelligently waived the right to</li> <li>have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> </ul>	15		
<ul> <li>(II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this</li> <li>paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction</li> <li>in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(aa) the case was tried by a jury, or</li> <li>(bb) the person knowingly and intelligently waived the right to</li> <li>have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> </ul>	16		
<ul> <li>paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction</li> <li>in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(aa) the case was tried by a jury, or</li> <li>(bb) the person knowingly and intelligently waived the right to</li> <li>have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> <li>expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or</li> </ul>	17		
<ul> <li>in which the case was tried, either</li> <li>(aa) the case was tried by a jury, or</li> <li>(bb) the person knowingly and intelligently waived the right to</li> <li>have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> <li>expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or</li> </ul>	18		
<ul> <li>(bb) the person knowingly and intelligently waived the right to</li> <li>have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> </ul>	19		
<ul> <li>have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.</li> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> <li>expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or</li> </ul>	20		
<ul> <li>(ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such</li> <li>an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the</li> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> <li>expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or</li> </ul>	21		
<ul> <li>conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the</li> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> <li>expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or</li> </ul>	22		
<ul> <li>person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of</li> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> <li>expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or</li> </ul>	23		
<ul> <li>the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an</li> <li>offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights</li> <li>expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or</li> </ul>	24		
expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or	25		
	26		
	27		
28 ////	28	////	

**Donald Kilmer** Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St. Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487

-----

#### Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 8 Filed 01/10/11 Page 4 of 16

19. 1 It is federal criminal offense for any person to sell or otherwise dispose of any firearm to 2 a person who has been convicted of a Misdemeanor Crime of Domestic Violence. 18 3 U.S.C. § 922(d)(9). 4 20. It is federal criminal offense for any person who has been convicted of a Misdemeanor 5 Crime of Domestic Violence to possess a firearm. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(9). 21. 6 Thus Federal Law imposes a lifetime ban on the "right to keep and bear arms" for persons 7 convicted of Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence, subject to the individual states' 8 power to restore this fundamental civil right under state law. 9 22. Federal Law also provides a means for felons to have their "right to keep and bear arms" 10 restored under procedures promulgated and implemented by the Attorney General. 18 11 U.S.C. § 925(c). 12 23. California Penal Code § 12021(c)(1) sets forth a list of specific crimes that subject a 13 person convicted of certain misdemeanors to a ten (10) year prohibition against owning, possessing and purchasing firearms (and ammunition). This list includes, but is not 14 15 limited to the following Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence: 16 Battery Against a Spouse/Cohabitant. CA Penal Code § 243(e). a. 17 b. Corporal Injury to Spouse/Cohabitant. CA Penal Code § 273.5. 24. Pursuant to Penal Code § 12021(c)(1), the State of California has clearly and 18 19 unequivocally set forth a policy of limiting firearms prohibitions, for persons convicted of 20 Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence, to a ten (10) year period such that by the passage of time the misdemeanants' "right to keep and bear arms" is restored, without 21 22 qualification, by operation of law. 23 25. California Penal Code § 12021(c)(3) also provides the means for a person convicted of a 24 Misdemeanor Crime of Domestic Violence, prior to the date the state law went into 25 effect, to have a Superior Court Judge restore the fundamental "right to keep and bear 26 arms" on a case by case basis. 27 26. Even though The State of California has a policy of restoring the "right to keep and bear 28 arms" through a hearing process and by operation of law (through the passage of time),

### Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 8 Filed 01/10/11 Page 5 of 16

1		the Fee	deral Government refuses to recognize California's restoration of rights and
2		rehabil	litation policies.
3	27.	As a d	irect consequence of the Federal Government's refusal to recognize California's
4		restora	tion and rehabilitation policies Plaintiffs herein (and all other persons similarly
5		situate	d) continue to be subject to a lifetime prohibition of the "right to keep and bear
6		arms"	under Federal Law.
7	28.	This in	nterpretation of the law by the Federal Government results in five (5) wrongful and
8		uncons	stitutional consequences:
9		a.	Plaintiffs (and all other persons similarly situated) are subject to federal criminal
10			prosecution if they attempt to exercise their fundamental "right to keep and bear
11			arms" after the State of California has restored their rights.
12		b.	Plaintiffs (and all other persons similarly situated) cannot lawfully purchase a
13			firearm to exercise their fundamental "right to keep and bear arms" because they
14			cannot pass the background check required by state and federal law.
15		c.	Plaintiffs (and all other persons similarly situated) are denied a federal statutory
16			remedy to restore their "right to keep and bear arms" resulting in a denial of their
17			right to petition their government for redress of grievances.
18		d.	Plaintiffs, as misdemeanants, (and all other persons similarly situated) are denied
19			a federal statutory remedy to restore their "right to keep and bear arms" even as
20			convicted felons have a statutory right to restore their rights under 18 U.S.C. §
21			925(c), thus resulting in a <i>de jure</i> denial of equal protection of the law.
22		e.	The federal government has usurped the power retained by the State of California,
23			and the people, for defining a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence and
24			defining the procedures for restoration of the "right to keep and bear arms"
25			following a conviction for a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence.
26	29.	Plainti	ff ENOS:
27		a.	On or about July 15, 1991, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS plead nolo contendere and
28			was convicted of a misdemeanor violation of California Penal Code § 273.5 (a).

**Donald Kilmer** Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St. Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487

# Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 8 Filed 01/10/11 Page 6 of 16

-		
1	b.	In 1993 the California Legislature amended Penal Code § 12021 and added Penal
2		Code § 273.5 to the list of misdemeanors which prohibit a person from
3		acquiring/possessing a firearm for 10 years after the date of conviction.
4	с.	On September 13, 1994, the Congress passed the Violence Against Women Act,
5		and in 1996 Congress amended the act to impose a lifetime prohibition against the
6		acquisition/possession of firearms by misdemeanants convicted of Domestic
7		Violence. See: 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 et seq.
8	d.	In March of 1999, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS, petitioned for a record clearance
9		under Penal Code § 1203.4.
10	e.	On May 25, 1999, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS's petition was granted by the
11		Honorable Ray E. Cunningham, Superior Court Judge. Plaintiff's plea of guilty
12		was withdrawn, a plea of not guilty was entered and the court dismissed the case.
13	f.	On May 12, 2000, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS filed a PETITION FOR
14		RESTORATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS (FIREARM POSSESSION).
15	g.	On Jun 16, 2000, the PETITION FOR RESTORATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS
16		(FIREARM POSSESSION) was granted by the Honorable Thang N. Barrett.
17	h.	In February of 2001, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS caused a letter to be sent to the
18		California Department of Justice referencing the order by Judge Barrett restoring
19		his rights. At that point in time the State of California had cleared RICHARD
20		ENOS to own/possess firearms.
21	i.	In August of 2004, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS was denied a firearm purchase and
22		advised by the State of California that the denial was being maintained by U.S.
23		Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, National Instant Criminal
24		Background Check System.
25	j.	As of October 25, 2010, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS is permitted to acquire and
26		possess firearms under the laws of the State of California.
27	k.	As of October 25, 2010, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS is prohibited from acquiring
28		and possessing firearms due to threat of criminal prosecution under federal law.

		Case 2:10-cv	v-02911-JAM-EFB Document 8 Filed 01/10/11 Page 7 of 16
	1	1.	But for Defendants' wrongful interpretation of the federal laws regulating firearm
	2		possession and purchase by domestic violence misdemeanants, Plaintiff
	3		RICHARD ENOS would acquire, keep and bear arms for, among other lawful
	4		purposes, self-defense in his home.
	5	30. Plainti	ffs BASTASINI, MERCADO, GROVES and MONTEIRO:
	6	a.	Plead or were convicted of misdemeanors under California Penal Code § 273.5.
	7		i. Plaintiff BASTASINI plead no contest (and/or guilty) to a misdemeanor
	8		charge of California Penal Code § 273.5 on March 25, 1991. He was not
	9		represented by counsel.
	10		ii. Plaintiff LOUIE MERCADO plead no contest (and/or guilty) to a
	11		misdemeanor charge of California Penal Code § 273.5 on December 17,
	12		1990. He was represented by counsel.
	13		iii. Plaintiff WALTER GROVES plead no contest (and/or guilty) to a
	14		misdemeanor charge of California Penal Code § 273.5 on January 12,
	15		1990. He was represented by counsel.
	16		iv. Plaintiff MANUEL MONTEIRO plead no contest (and/or guilty) to a
	17		misdemeanor charge of California Penal Code § 273.5 on May 27, 1992.
	18	b.	In 1993 the California Legislature amended Penal Code § 12021 and added Penal
	19		Code § 273.5 to the list of misdemeanors which prohibit a person from
	20		acquiring/possessing a firearm for 10 years after the date of conviction.
	21	с.	On September 13, 1994, Congress passed the Violence Against Women Act, and
	22		in 1996 Congress amended the act to impose a lifetime ban on the
	23		acquisition/possession of firearms by misdemeanants convicted of Domestic
	24		Violence. 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 et seq.
	25	d.	Plaintiffs JEFF BASTASINI, LOUIE MERCADO, WALTER GROVES and
	26		MANUEL MONTEIRO have <u>not</u> availed themselves of the relief provisions
	27		under California Penal Code § 12021(c)(3) as this would be a futile act based
Donald Kilmer Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St.	28		upon the passage of ten (10) years and upon the Federal Government's current
Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487		Enos v. Holder	Page 7 of 16 1 <sup>st</sup> Amended Complaint/Decl Relief

		Case 2:10-cv	v-02911-JAM-EFB Document 8 Filed 01/10/11 Page 8 of 16
	1		interpretation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 et seq.
	2	e.	Plaintiffs JEFF BASTASINI, LOUIE MERCADO, WALTER GROVES and
	3		MANUEL MONTEIRO have availed themselves of the provisions of California
	4		Penal Code § 1203.4. Their petitions were granted.
	5	f.	As of October 25, 2010, Plaintiffs JEFF BASTASINI, LOUIE MERCADO,
	6		WALTER GROVES and MANUEL MONTEIRO are permitted to acquire and
	7		possess firearms under the laws of the State of California.
	8	g.	As of October 25, 2010, Plaintiffs JEFF BASTASINI, LOUIE MERCADO,
	9		WALTER GROVES and MANUEL MONTEIRO are prohibited from acquiring
	10		and possessing firearms due to a credible threat of criminal prosecution under
	11		federal law.
	12	h.	But for Defendants' wrongful interpretation of the federal laws regulating firearm
	13		possession and purchase by domestic violence misdemeanants, Plaintiffs JEFF
	14		BASTASINI, LOUIE MERCADO, WALTER GROVES and MANUEL
	15		MONTEIRO would acquire, keep and bear arms for, among other lawful
	16		purposes, self-defense in their homes.
	17	31. Plainti	ffs EDWARD ERIKSON and VERNON NEWMAN:
	18	a.	Plead or were convicted of domestic violence misdemeanors.
	19		i. Plaintiff ERIKSON's date of conviction was 1996.
	20		ii. Plaintiff NEWMANS's date of conviction was 1997.
	21	b.	In 1993 the California Legislature amended Penal Code § 12021 to create a list of
	22		domestic violence misdemeanors which prohibit a person from
	23		acquiring/possessing a firearm for 10 years after the date of conviction.
	24	с.	On September 13, 1994, Congress passed the Violence Against Women Act, and
	25		in 1996 Congress amended the act to impose a lifetime ban on the
	26		acquisition/possession of firearms by misdemeanants convicted of Domestic
	27		Violence. 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 et seq.
Donald Kilmer Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St.	28	d.	Plaintiffs ERIKSON and NEWMAN cannot avail themselves of the relief
Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487		Enos v. Holder	Page 8 of 16 1 <sup>st</sup> Amended Complaint/Decl Relief

	Case 2:10-c	v-02911-JAM-EFB Document 8 Filed 01/10/11 Page 9 of 16
1		provisions under California Penal Code § 12021(c)(3) by the terms of that statute.
2		(i.e., their convictions occurred after 1993.)
3	e.	Plaintiffs ERIKSON and NEWMAN have availed themselves of the provisions of
4		California Penal Code § 1203.4. Their petitions were granted.
5	f.	As of October 25, 2010, Plaintiffs ERIKSON and NEWMAN are permitted to
6		acquire and possess firearms under the laws of the State of California.
7	g.	As of October 25, 2010, Plaintiffs ERIKSON and NEWMAN are prohibited from
8		acquiring and possessing firearms due to a threat of criminal prosecution under
9		federal law.
10	h.	But for Defendants' wrongful interpretation of the federal laws regulating firearm
11		possession and purchase by domestic violence misdemeanants, Plaintiffs
12		ERIKSON and NEWMAN would acquire, keep and bear arms for, among other
13		lawful purposes, self-defense in their homes.
14	32. Plainti	iff JEFF LOUGHRAN was convicted of a misdemeanor, California Penal Code §
15	242, o	n May 26, 1992.
16	a.	In 1993 the California Legislature amended Penal Code § 12021 to create a list of
17		misdemeanors which prohibit a person from acquiring/possessing a firearm for 10
18		years after the date of conviction.
19	b.	On September 13, 1994, Congress passed the Violence Against Women Act, and
20		in 1996 Congress amended the act to impose a lifetime ban on the
21		acquisition/possession of firearms by misdemeanants convicted of Domestic
22		Violence. 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 et seq.
23	с.	On May 26, 1994, Plaintiff LOUGHRAN was granted relief under California
24		Penal Code § 1203.4.
25	d.	On November 23, 1998, Plaintiff LOUGHRAN was granted a motion to vacate
26		his 1992 judgment of conviction pursuant to a Writ of Error Coram Nobis.
27	e.	Plaintiff LOUGHRAN has <u>not</u> availed himself of the relief provisions under
28		California Penal Code § 12021(c)(3) as this would be a futile act based upon

**Donald Kilmer** Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St. Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487

### Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 8 Filed 01/10/11 Page 10 of 16

1			passage of 10 years time and the Federal Government's current interpretation of
2			18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 et seq.
3		f.	As of October 25, 2010, Plaintiff LOUGHRAN is permitted to acquire and
4			possess firearms under the laws of California and North Dakota.
5		g.	As of October 25, 2010, Plaintiff LOUGHRAN is prohibited from acquiring and
6			possessing firearms due to a threat of criminal prosecution under federal law.
7		h.	But for Defendants' wrongful interpretation of the federal laws regulating firearm
8			possession and purchase by domestic violence misdemeanants, Plaintiff
9			LOUGHRAN would acquire, keep and bear arms for, among other lawful
10			purposes, self-defense in his home.
11	33.	Plaint	iff WILLIAM EDWARDS:
12		a.	Plaintiff EDWARDS suffered a conviction for Penal Code § 415 (Disturbing the
13			Peace) on or about July 15, 2005.
14		b.	Since that date, Plaintiff EDWARDS has been denied the right to own, possess or
15			purchase firearms.
16		c.	Plaintiff Plaintiff EDWARDS is not prohibited under California Law from
17			possessing or purchasing firearms.
18		d.	Penal Code § 415 [Disturbing the Peace] states:
19			Any of the following persons shall be punished by imprisonment in the
20			county jail for a period of not more than 90 days, a fine of not more than four hundred dollars (\$400), or both such imprisonment and fine:
21			(1) Any person who unlawfully fights in a public place or
22			challenges another person in a public place to fight.
23			(2) Any person who maliciously and willfully disturbs another person by loud and unreasonable noise.
24			<ul><li>(3) Any person who uses offensive words in a public place</li></ul>
25			which are inherently likely to provoke an immediate violent
26		Α	reaction. California Penal Code § 415 is not a crime of domestic violence under 18 U.S.C.
27		e.	§ 921 and/or 922 <i>et seq</i> .
28			8 921 and/01 922 et sey.

	Case 2	2:10-cv	-02911-JAM-EFB Document 8 Filed 01/10/11 Page 11 of 16
1		f.	California does have specific vigorously enforced Domestic Violence statutes:
2			i. Penal Code § 243(e).
3			ii. Penal Code § 273.5.
4		g.	Plaintiff EDWARDS has been denied the right to purchase or possess firearms
5			solely based on the Federal Government's wrongful application and/or
6			interpretation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 et seq.
7		h.	But for Defendants' wrongful interpretation of the federal laws defining domestic
8			violence misdemeanants, Plaintiff EDWARDS would acquire, keep and bear arms
9			for, among other lawful purposes, self-defense in his home.
10			
11			FIRST CLAIM - Declaratory Relief
12	34.	Paragr	aphs 1 through 33 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
13	35.	Pursua	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS has not been convicted of
14		a crim	e of domestic violence under any one of three possible theories:
15		a.	RICHARD ENOS was not apprized of the possibility of losing his firearm rights
16			when he plead no contest to a misdemeanor crime of Domestic Violence back in
17			1991, as there was no federal or state law prohibiting Domestic Violence
18			misdemeanants from acquiring/possessing firearms upon conviction. Therefore
19			he could not make a knowing/intelligent waiver of his right to a trial.
20		b.	RICHARD ENOS applied for and was granted a restoration of his rights under
21			California Penal Code § 12021(c)(3) by a Superior Court Judge in Santa Clara
22			County California.
23		c.	And finally, the State of California restores the right to possess firearms for
24			Domestic Violence misdemeanants 10 years after conviction, by operation of law.
25	36.	Defend	dants have misinterpreted 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) so as to deprive Plaintiff
26		RICHA	ARD ENOS of the "right to keep and bear arms" he would otherwise enjoy if the
27		Defend	dants correctly applied the law.
28	////		

**Donald Kilmer** Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St. Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487

## Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 8 Filed 01/10/11 Page 12 of 16

1

Donald Kilmer Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St. Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489

Fx: 408/264-8487

#### **SECOND CLAIM – Declaratory Relief**

1		SECOND CLAIM Declaratory rener
2	37.	Paragraphs 1 through 33 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
3	38.	Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) Plaintiffs JEFF BASTASINI, LOUIE MERCADO,
4		WALTER GROVES and MANUEL MONTEIRO have not been convicted of a crime of
5		domestic violence under any one of two possible theories:
6		a. JEFF BASTASINI, LOUIE MERCADO, WALTER GROVES and MANUEL
7		MONTEIRO were not apprized of the possibility of losing their firearm rights
8		when they plead no contest to a misdemeanor crime of Domestic Violence as
9		there was no federal or state law prohibiting Domestic Violence misdemeanants
10		from acquiring/possessing firearms upon conviction. Therefore they could not
11		make a knowing/intelligent waiver of their right to a trial.
12		b. And, the State of California restores the right to possess firearms for Domestic
13		Violence misdemeanants 10 years after conviction, by operation of law.
14	39.	Defendants have misinterpreted 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) so as to deprive Plaintiffs JEFF
15		BASTASINI, LOUIE MERCADO, WALTER GROVES and MANUEL MONTEIRO of
16		the "right to keep and bear arms" they would otherwise enjoy if the Defendants correctly
17		applied the law.
18		
19		<u>THIRD CLAIM – Declaratory Relief</u>
20	40.	Paragraphs 1 through 33 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
21	41.	Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) Plaintiffs EDWARD ERIKSON and VERNON
22		NEWMAN have not been convicted of a crime of domestic violence because the State of
23		California restores the right to possess firearms for Domestic Violence misdemeanants 10
24		years after conviction, by operation of law.
25	42.	Defendants have misinterpreted 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) so as to deprive Plaintiffs
26		EDWARD ERIKSON and VERNON NEWMAN of the "right to keep and bear arms"
27		they would otherwise enjoy if the Defendants correctly applied the law.
28	////	
	Enos v.	Holder Page 12 of 16 1 <sup>st</sup> Amended Complaint/Decl Relief
	11	

### Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 8 Filed 01/10/11 Page 13 of 16

1

#### **FOURTH CLAIM – Declaratory Relief**

1		TOORTH CEANA Declaratory Relief		
2	43.	Paragraphs 1 through 33 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.		
3	44.	Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) Plaintiff JEFF LOUGHRAN has not been convicted		
4		of a crime of domestic violence under any one of three possible theories:		
5		a. LOUGHRAN was not apprized of the possibility of losing his firearm rights when		
6		he plead no contest to a misdemeanor crime of Domestic Violence as there was no		
7		federal or state law prohibiting Domestic Violence misdemeanants from		
8		acquiring/possessing firearms upon conviction. Therefore he could not make a		
9		knowing/intelligent waiver of his right to a trial.		
10		b. LOUGHRAN applied for and was granted an order vacating his original judgment		
11		of conviction pursuant to a writ of error coram nobis.		
12		c. And finally, the State of California restores the right to possess firearms for		
13		Domestic Violence misdemeanants 10 years after conviction, by operation of law.		
14	45.	Defendants have misinterpreted 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) so as to deprive Plaintiff		
15		LOUGHRAN of the "right to keep and bear arms" he would otherwise enjoy if the		
16		Defendants correctly applied the law.		
17				
18		<b>FIFTH CLAIM - Declaratory Relief</b>		
19	46.	Paragraphs 1 through 33 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.		
20	47.	Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) Plaintiff EDWARDS has not been convicted of a		
21		crime of domestic violence, so has to prohibit him from acquiring/possessing firearms		
22		because the crime of disturbing the peace does not contain as an element of the crime:		
23		a. The use or attempted use of physical force, or the threatened use of a		
24		deadly weapon, committed by a current or former spouse, parent, or		
25		guardian of the victim, by a person with whom the victim shares a child in		
26		common, by a person who is cohabiting with or has cohabited with the		
27		victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a person similarly situated		
28		to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.		

Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St. Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487

1	48.	Defendants have misinterpreted 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) so as to deprive Plaintiff
2		EDWARDS of the "right to keep and bear arms" he would otherwise enjoy if the
3		Defendants correctly applied the law.
4		
5		<u>SIXTH CLAIM – Second Amendment</u>
6	49.	Paragraphs 1 through 48 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
7	50.	The Second Amendment to the United States Constitution provides in part that: "the
8		right of the people to keep and bear Arms shall not be infringed."
9	51.	On its face, 18 U.S.C. §§ 921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) violates the Second
10		Amendment to the United States Constitution because it imposes a lifetime ban on the
11		exercise of a fundamental constitutional "right to keep and bear arms" after conviction of
12		a minor crime.
13	52.	As applied to the facts of this case, the Defendants' interpretations of 18 U.S.C. §§
14		921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) violates the Second Amendment to the United States
15		Constitution because it imposes a lifetime ban on the exercise of a fundamental
16		constitutional "right to keep and bear arms" after conviction of a minor crime.
17		
18		<u>SEVENTH CLAIM – First Amendment</u>
19	53.	Paragraphs 1 through 48 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
20	54.	The First Amendment to the United States Constitution provides in part that: Congress
21		shall make no lawabridging the right of the peopleto petition the Government for a
22		redress of grievances.
23	55.	On its face, 18 U.S.C. §§ 921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) violates the rights of the
24		Plaintiffs under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, because it
25		imposes a lifetime ban on the exercise of a fundamental constitutional right for a minor
26		crime without providing a statutory remedy to petition their government for restoration of
27		that right.
28	56.	As applied to the facts of this case, Defendants' interpretations of 18 U.S.C. §§

921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) violates the rights of the Plaintiffs under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, because it imposes a lifetime ban on the exercise of a fundamental constitutional "right to keep and bear arms" after conviction for a minor crime without providing a statutory remedy to petition their government for restoration of that right.

#### 

7		<b>EIGHTH CLAIM – Tenth Amendment</b>
8	57.	Paragraphs 1 through 48 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
9	58.	The Tenth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides: "The powers not
10		delegated to the Unites States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the
11		States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."
12	59.	On its face, 18 U.S.C. §§ 921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) violates the Tenth
13		Amendment to the United States Constitution because it usurps the States' powers to
14		define and provide for the rehabilitation of minor public offenses.
15	60.	As applied to the facts of this case, the Defendants' interpretations of 18 U.S.C. §§
16		921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) violates the Tenth Amendment to the United States
17		Constitution because it usurps the States' powers to define and provide for the
18		rehabilitation of minor public offenses.
19	61.	This usurpation of power by the federal government is directly responsible for the
20		infringement of the Plaintiffs' fundamental "right to keep and bear arms."
21		
22		<u>NINTH CLAIM – Fifth Amendment "Due Process"</u>
23	62.	Paragraphs 1 through 48 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
24	63.	The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides in part that: "No person
25		shall be deprived of life, liberty or property, without due process of law"
26	64.	Part of the protection afforded Plaintiffs under the Fifth Amendment Due Process Clause
27		against the federal government is the guarantee of "equal protection" under the law.
28	65.	On its face, 18 U.S.C. §§ 921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) violates the Fifth
;		

Donald Kilmer Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St. Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489

Fx: 408/264-8487

1

2

3

4

5

6

# Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 8 Filed 01/10/11 Page 16 of 16

1		Amendment to the United States Constitution because it imposes a lifetime ban on the		
2		exercise of a fundamental constitutional right for a minor crime without providing a		
3		statutory remedy for restoration of that right, even though a provision exists in federal law		
4		for the restoration of rights by felons. See 18 U.S.C. § 925(c).		
5	66.	As applied to the facts of this case, the Defendants' interpretations of 18 U.S.C. §§		
6		921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) violates the Fifth Amendment to the United States		
7		Constitution because it imposes a lifetime ban on the exercise of a fundamental		
8		constitutional right for a minor crime without providing a statutory remedy for restoration		
9		of that right, even though a provision exists in federal law for the restoration of rights by		
10		felons. See 18 U.S.C. § 925(c).		
11				
12		PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
13	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays that this Court will enter judgment as follows:			
14	А.	Declaratory and injunctive relief that all Plaintiffs are not subject to the prohibitions set		
15		forth in 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9).		
16	В.	Declaratory and injunctive relief that 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) are		
17		unconstitutional on their face and as applied to all Plaintiffs.		
18	C.	Award Plaintiffs their reasonable attorney fees and costs under 28 U.S.C. § 2412, 42		
19		U.S.C. § 1988 and/or 18 U.S.C. § 925A.		
20	D.	Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.		
21		Respectfully Submitted on January 10, 2011,		
22				
23		LAW OFFICES OF DONALD KILMER A Professional Corporation		
24		1645 Willow Street, Suite 150 San Jose, California 95125-3030		
25		Telephone: 408/264-8489 Facsimile: 408/264-8487		
26		E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com		
27		Attorney for Plaintiffs		
28				
	<u>Enos v.</u>	Holder Page 16 of 16 1 <sup>st</sup> Amended Complaint/Decl Relief		

Donald Kilmer

Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St. Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487