Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 1 Filed 10/29/10 Page 1 of 16 Donald E.J. Kilmer, Jr., (SBN: 179986) LAW OFFICES OF DONALD KILMER 2 A Professional Corporation 1645 Willow Street, Suite 150 3 San Jose, California 95125 Telephone: 408/264-8489 Facsimile: 408/264-8487 4 E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com 5 Attorney for Plaintiffs 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 CASE NO.: 11 RICHARD ENOS, JEFF BASTASINI, COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR LOUIE MERCADO, WALTER GROVES, INJUNCTIVE/DECLARATORY RELIEF 12 MANUEL MONTEIRO, EDWARD ERIKSON, VERNON NEWMAN, JEFF 13 VIOLATIONS OF THE FIRST, SECOND, LOUGHRAN and WILLIAM EDWARDS, FIFTH, and TENTH AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION 14 Plaintiffs, 15 18 U.S.C. § 921 et seq. VS. 18 U.S.C. § 922 et seg. 16 ERIC HOLDER, as United States Attorney 17 18 U.S.C. § 925A General, and ROBERT MUELLER, III, as Director of the Federal Bureau of 18 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1988 Investigation, 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 **PARTIES** 23 Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of 1. 24 California. ENOS lives in San Joaquin County. Plaintiff JEFF BASTASINI, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of 25 2. 26 California. 27 3. Plaintiff LOUIE MERCADO, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of 28 California.

Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 1 Filed 10/29/10 Page 2 of 16

- 4. Plaintiff WALTER GROVES, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of California.
- 3 | 5. Plaintiff MANUEL MONTEIRO, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of California.
 - 6. Plaintiff EDWARD ERIKSON, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of California.
- 7 7. Plaintiff VERNON NEWMAN, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of California.
- 9 8. Plaintiff JEFF LOUGHRAN, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of North Dakota.
- Plaintiff WILLIAM EDWARDS, is an individual who is a citizen/resident of the State of California.
- 13 10. Defendant ERIC HOLDER is the United States Attorney General and is charged with interpretation and enforcement of 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 *et seq.* and 922 *et seq.*
 - 11. Defendant ROBERT MUELLER, III is the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and is charged with interpretation and enforcement of 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 et seq. and 922 et seq.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 19 12. This Court has jurisdiction over the lawsuit because the action arises under 18 U.S.C. §§
 20 921 et seq., 922 et seq. and 925A.
- 21 | 13. As this action arises under the United States Constitution this Court also has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- As the Plaintiffs are seeking declaratory relief, this Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.
- 25 15. Venue for this action is properly in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.
 - 16. All conditions precedent, including exhaustion of administrative remedies where required, have been performed, have occurred, are futile or unnecessary where the government infringes on a fundamental right.

Donald Kilmer Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St. Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487 1

2

5

6

15

16

17

18

26

27

28

3

2

45

67

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

//

FACTS

- 17. The State of California works in conjunction with the Federal Government to interpret statutes and implementing regulations that restrict the "right to keep and bear arms" of people convicted of Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence.
- 18. The Federal Government's definition of Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence is found at 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33):
 - (33) (A) Except as provided in subparagraph (C), the term "misdemeanor crime of domestic violence" means an offense that--
 - (i) is a misdemeanor under Federal or State law; and
 - (ii) has, as an element, the use or attempted use of physical force, or the threatened use of a deadly weapon, committed by a current or former spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim, by a person with whom the victim shares a child in common, by a person who is cohabiting with or has cohabited with the victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a person similarly situated to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.
 - (B) (i) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS § § 921 et seq.], unless--
 - (I) the person was represented by counsel in the case, or knowingly and intelligently waived the right to counsel in the case; and
 - (II) in the case of a prosecution for an offense described in this paragraph for which a person was entitled to a jury trial in the jurisdiction in which the case was tried, either
 - (aa) the case was tried by a jury, or
 - (bb) the person knowingly and intelligently waived the right to have the case tried by a jury, by guilty plea or otherwise.
 - (ii) A person shall not be considered to have been convicted of such an offense for purposes of this chapter [18 USCS §§ 921 et seq.] if the conviction has been expunged or set aside, or is an offense for which the person has been pardoned or has had civil rights restored (if the law of the applicable jurisdiction provides for the loss of civil rights under such an offense) unless the pardon, expungement, or restoration of civil rights expressly provides that the person may not ship, transport, possess, or receive firearms.

Enos v. Holder

Donald Kilmer

Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St.

Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 1 Filed 10/29/10 Page 4 of 16

- 19. It is federal criminal offense for any person to sell or otherwise dispose of any firearm to 2 a person who has been convicted of a Misdemeanor Crime of Domestic Violence. 18 3 U.S.C. § 922(d)(9).
 - 20. It is federal criminal offense for any person who has been convicted of a Misdemeanor Crime of Domestic Violence to possess a firearm. 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(9).
 - 21. Thus Federal Law imposes a lifetime ban on the "right to keep and bear arms" for persons convicted of Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence, subject to the individual states' power to restore this fundamental civil right under state law.
 - 22. Federal Law also provides a means for felons to have their "right to keep and bear arms" restored under procedures promulgated and implemented by the Attorney General. 18 U.S.C. § 925(c).
 - 23. California Penal Code § 12021(c)(1) sets forth a list of specific crimes that subject a person convicted of certain misdemeanors to a ten (10) year prohibition against owning, possessing and purchasing firearms (and ammunition). This list includes, but is not limited to the following Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence:
 - Battery Against a Spouse/Cohabitant. CA Penal Code § 243(e). a.
 - h. Corporal Injury to Spouse/Cohabitant. CA Penal Code § 273.5.
 - 24. Pursuant to Penal Code § 12021(c)(1), the State of California has clearly and unequivocally set forth a policy of limiting firearms prohibitions, for persons convicted of Misdemeanor Crimes of Domestic Violence, to a ten (10) year period such that by the passage of time the misdemeanants' "right to keep and bear arms" is restored, without qualification, by operation of law.
 - 25. California Penal Code § 12021(c)(3) also provides the means for a person convicted of a Misdemeanor Crime of Domestic Violence, prior to the date the state law went into effect, to have a Superior Court Judge restore the fundamental "right to keep and bear arms" on a case by case basis.
 - 26. Even though The State of California has a policy of restoring the "right to keep and bear arms" through a hearing process and by operation of law (through the passage of time),

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

the Federal Government refuses to recognize California's restoration of rights and rehabilitation policies.

- 27. As a direct consequence of the Federal Government's refusal to recognize California's restoration and rehabilitation policies Plaintiffs herein (and all other persons similarly situated) continue to be subject to a lifetime prohibition of the "right to keep and bear arms" under Federal Law.
- 28. This interpretation of the law by the Federal Government results in five (5) wrongful and unconstitutional consequences:
 - Plaintiffs (and all other persons similarly situated) are subject to federal criminal a. prosecution if they attempt to exercise their fundamental "right to keep and bear arms" after the State of California has restored their rights.
 - b. Plaintiffs (and all other persons similarly situated) cannot lawfully purchase a firearm to exercise their fundamental "right to keep and bear arms" because they cannot pass the background check required by state and federal law.
 - Plaintiffs (and all other persons similarly situated) are denied a federal statutory c. remedy to restore their "right to keep and bear arms" resulting in a denial of their right to petition the their government for redress of grievances.
 - d. Plaintiffs, as misdemeanants, (and all other persons similarly situated) are denied a federal statutory remedy to restore their "right to keep and bear arms" even as convicted felons have a statutory right to restore their rights under 18 U.S.C. § 925(c), thus resulting in a *de facto* denial of equal protection of the law.
 - The federal government has usurped the power retained by the State of California, e. and the people, for defining a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence and defining the procedures for restoration of the "right to keep and bear arms" following a conviction for a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence.

29. Plaintiff ENOS:

Enos v. Holder

On or about July 15, 1991, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS plead nolo contendere and a. was convicted of a misdemeanor violation of California Penal Code § 273.5 (a).

- b. In 1993 the California Legislature amended Penal Code § 12021 and added Penal Code § 273.5 to the list of misdemeanors which prohibit a person from acquiring/possessing a firearm for 10 years after the date of conviction.
- c. On September 13, 1994, the Congress passed the Violence Against Women Act, and in 1996 Congress amended the act to impose a lifetime prohibition against the acquisition/possession of firearms by misdemeanants convicted of Domestic Violence. See: 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 et seq.
- d. In March of 1999, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS, petitioned for a record clearance under Penal Code § 1203.4.
- e. On May 25, 1999, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS's petition was granted by the Honorable Ray E. Cunningham, Superior Court Judge. Plaintiff's plea of guilty was withdrawn, a plea of not guilty was entered and the court dismissed the case.
- f. On May 12, 2000, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS filed a PETITION FOR RESTORATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS (FIREARM POSSESSION).
- g. On Jun 16, 2000, the PETITION FOR RESTORATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS (FIREARM POSSESSION) was granted by the Honorable Thang N. Barrett.
- h. In February of 2001, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS caused a letter to be sent to the California Department of Justice referencing the order by Judge Barrett restoring his rights. At that point in time the State of California had cleared RICHARD ENOS to own/possess firearms.
- In August of 2004, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS was denied a firearm purchase and advised by the State of California that the denial was being maintained by U.S.
 Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, National Instant Criminal Background Check System.
- j. As of October 25, 2010, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS is <u>permitted</u> to acquire and possess firearms under the laws of the State of California.
- k. As of October 25, 2010, Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS is <u>prohibited</u> from acquiring and possessing firearms due to threat of criminal prosecution under federal law.

- 30. Plaintiffs BASTASINI, MERCADO, GROVES and MONTEIRO:
 - a. Plead or were convicted of misdemeanors under California Penal Code § 273.5.
 - Plaintiff BASTASINI plead no contest (and/or guilty) to a misdemeanor charge of California Penal Code § 273.5 on March 25, 1991. He was not represented by counsel.
 - ii. Plaintiff LOUIE MERCADO plead no contest (and/or guilty) to a misdemeanor charge of California Penal Code § 273.5 on December 17, 1990. He was represented by counsel.
 - iii. Plaintiff WALTER GROVES plead no contest (and/or guilty) to a misdemeanor charge of California Penal Code § 273.5 on January 12, 1990. He was represented by counsel.
 - iv. Plaintiff MANUEL MONTEIRO plead no contest (and/or guilty) to a misdemeanor charge of California Penal Code § 273.5 on May 27, 1992.
 - b. In 1993 the California Legislature amended Penal Code § 12021 and added Penal Code § 273.5 to the list of misdemeanors which prohibit a person from acquiring/possessing a firearm for 10 years after the date of conviction.
 - c. On September 13, 1994, Congress passed the Violence Against Women Act, and in 1996 Congress amended the act to impose a lifetime ban on the acquisition/possession of firearms by misdemeanants convicted of Domestic Violence. 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 *et seq*.
 - d. Plaintiffs JEFF BASTASINI, LOUIE MERCADO, WALTER GROVES and MANUEL MONTEIRO have <u>not</u> availed themselves of the relief provisions under California Penal Code § 12021(c)(3) as this would be a futile act based upon the passage of ten (10) years and upon the Federal Government's current interpretation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 *et seq*.
 - e. Plaintiffs JEFF BASTASINI, LOUIE MERCADO, WALTER GROVES and MANUEL MONTEIRO have availed themselves of the provisions of California Penal Code § 1203.4. Their petitions were granted.

- 32. Plaintiff JEFF LOUGHRAN was convicted of a misdemeanor, California Penal Code § 242, on May 26, 1992.
 - a. In 1993 the California Legislature amended Penal Code § 12021 to create a list of misdemeanors which prohibit a person from acquiring/possessing a firearm for 10 years after the date of conviction.
 - b. On September 13, 1994, Congress passed the Violence Against Women Act, and in 1996 Congress amended the act to impose a lifetime ban on the acquisition/possession of firearms by misdemeanants convicted of Domestic Violence. 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 *et seq*.
 - On May 26, 1994, Plaintiff LOUGHRAN was granted relief under California
 Penal Code § 1203.4.
 - d. On November 23, 1998, Plaintiff LOUGHRAN was granted a motion to vacate his 1992 judgment of conviction pursuant to a *Writ of Error Coram Nobis*.
 - e. Plaintiff LOUGHRAN has <u>not</u> availed himself of the relief provisions under California Penal Code § 12021(c)(3) as this would be a futile act based upon passage of 10 years time and the Federal Government's current interpretation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 *et seq*.
 - f. As of October 25, 2010, Plaintiff LOUGHRAN is <u>permitted</u> to acquire and possess firearms under the laws of California and North Dakota.
 - g. As of October 25, 2010, Plaintiff LOUGHRAN is <u>prohibited</u> from acquiring and possessing firearms due to a threat of criminal prosecution under federal law.

33. Plaintiff WILLIAM EDWARDS:

- a. Plaintiff EDWARDS suffered a conviction for Penal Code § 415 (Disturbing the Peace) on or about July 15, 2005.
- b. Since that date, Plaintiff EDWARDS has been denied the right to own, possess or purchase firearms.
- c. Plaintiff Plaintiff EDWARDS is not prohibited under California Law from possessing or purchasing firearms.

27

28

d. Penal Code § 415 [Disturbing the Peace] states:

Any of the following persons shall be punished by imprisonment in the county jail for a period of not more than 90 days, a fine of not more than four hundred dollars (\$400), or both such imprisonment and fine:

- (1) Any person who unlawfully fights in a public place or challenges another person in a public place to fight.
- (2) Any person who maliciously and willfully disturbs another person by loud and unreasonable noise.
- (3) Any person who uses offensive words in a public place which are inherently likely to provoke an immediate violent reaction.
- e. California Penal Code § 415 is not a crime of domestic violence under 18 U.S.C. § 921 and/or 922 *et seq*.
- f. California does have specific vigorously enforced Domestic Violence statutes:
 - i. Penal Code § 243(e).
 - ii. Penal Code § 273.5.
- g. Plaintiff EDWARDS has been denied the right to purchase or possess firearms solely based on the Federal Government's wrongful application and/or interpretation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 921 and 922 *et seq*.
- h. But for this wrongful interpretation, Plaintiff EDWARDS would exercise his "right to keep and bear arms."

FIRST CLAIM - Declaratory Relief

- 34. Paragraphs 1 through 31 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 35. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) Plaintiff RICHARD ENOS has not been convicted of a crime of domestic violence under any one of three possible theories:
 - a. RICHARD ENOS was not apprized of the possibility of losing his firearm rights when he plead no contest to a misdemeanor crime of Domestic Violence back in 1991, as there was no federal or state law prohibiting Domestic Violence misdemeanants from acquiring/possessing firearms upon conviction. Therefore

28

applied the law.

Case	2:10-c	v-02911-JAM-EFB Document 1 Filed 10/29/10 Page 12 of 16						
		THIDD CLAIM Declaratory Deliaf						
	THIRD CLAIM – Declaratory Relief							
40.	Parag	Paragraphs 1 through 31 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.						
41.	Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) Plaintiffs EDWARD ERIKSON and VERNO							
	NEW	MAN have not been convicted of a crime of domestic violence because the State of						
	fornia restores the right to possess firearms for Domestic Violence misdemeanants 10							
	years after conviction, by operation of law.							
42.	Defe	ndants have misinterpreted 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) so as to deprive Plaintiffs						
	EDWARD ERIKSON and VERNON NEWMAN of the "right to keep and bear arms"							
	they	they would otherwise enjoy if the Defendants correctly applied the law.						
		FOURTH CLAIM - Declaratory Relief						
43.	Paragraphs 1 through 31 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.							
44.	Pursu	nant to 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) Plaintiff JEFF LOUGHRAN has not been convicted						
	of a c	erime of domestic violence under any one of three possible theories:						
	a.	LOUGHRAN was not apprized of the possibility of losing his firearm rights when						
		he plead no contest to a misdemeanor crime of Domestic Violence as there was no						
		federal or state law prohibiting Domestic Violence misdemeanants from						
		acquiring/possessing firearms upon conviction. Therefore he could not make a						
		knowing/intelligent waiver of his right to a trial.						
	b.	LOUGHRAN applied for and was granted an order vacating his original judgment						
		of conviction pursuant to a writ of error coram nobis.						

- c. And finally, the State of California restores the right to possess firearms for
 Domestic Violence misdemeanants 10 years after conviction, by operation of law.
- 45. Defendants have misinterpreted 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) so as to deprive Plaintiff LOUGHRAN of the "right to keep and bear arms" he would otherwise enjoy if the Defendants correctly applied the law.

///

FIFTH CLAIM - Declaratory Relief

2

46. Paragraphs 1 through 31 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3 4 47. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) Plaintiff EDWARDS has not been convicted of a crime of domestic violence, so has to prohibit him from acquiring/possessing firearms

5

because the crime of disturbing the peace does not contain as an element of the crime:

6

The use or attempted use of physical force, or the threatened use of a a. deadly weapon, committed by a current or former spouse, parent, or

7 8

guardian of the victim, by a person with whom the victim shares a child in

9

common, by a person who is cohabiting with or has cohabited with the

10

victim as a spouse, parent, or guardian, or by a person similarly situated

11

to a spouse, parent, or guardian of the victim.

12

48.

Defendants have misinterpreted 18 U.S.C. § 921(a)(33) so as to deprive Plaintiff EDWARDS of the "right to keep and bear arms" he would otherwise enjoy if the

13

Defendants correctly applied the law.

14

15

SIXTH CLAIM - Second Amendment

16 17

49. Paragraphs 1 through 46 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

18

50.

51.

52.

The Second Amendment to the United States Constitution provides in part that: "the

19

right of the people to keep and bear Arms shall not be infringed."

20 21

violates the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution because it imposes a

As currently written and enforced, 18 U.S.C. §§ 921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9)

22

lifetime ban on the exercise of a fundamental constitutional "right to keep and bear arms"

23

after conviction of a minor crime.

24

As applied to the facts of this case, the Defendants' interpretations of 18 U.S.C. §§

25

921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) violates the Second Amendment to the United States

26

Constitution because it imposes a lifetime ban on the exercise of a fundamental constitutional "right to keep and bear arms" after conviction of a minor crime.

27

onald Kilmer

SEVENTH CLAIM – First Amendment

2 3

54.

55.

56.

- 53. Paragraphs 1 through 46 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4
- shall make no law...abridging... the right of the people...to petition the Government for a

As currently written and enforced, 18 U.S.C. §§ 921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9)

violates the rights of the Plaintiffs under the First Amendment to the United States

constitutional right for a minor crime without providing a statutory remedy for restoration

Constitution, because it imposes a lifetime ban on the exercise of a fundamental

As applied to the facts of this case, Defendants' interpretations of 18 U.S.C. §§

a minor crime without providing a statutory remedy for restoration of that right.

EIGHTH CLAIM – Tenth Amendment

The Tenth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides: "The powers not

delegated to the Unites States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the

As currently written and enforced, 18 U.S.C. §§ 921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9)

violates the Tenth Amendment to the United States Constitution because it usurps the

States' powers to define and provide for the rehabilitation of minor public offenses.

As applied to the facts of this case, the Defendants' interpretations of 18 U.S.C. §§

921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) violates the Tenth Amendment to the United States

States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people."

Paragraphs 1 through 46 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) violates the rights of the Plaintiffs under the First

Amendment to the United States Constitution, because it imposes a lifetime ban on the

exercise of a fundamental constitutional "right to keep and bear arms" after conviction for

The First Amendment to the United States Constitution provides in part that: Congress

- 5
- redress of grievances.

of that right.

- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16

17

- 18 57.

58.

59.

60.

- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

- 27
- nald Kilmer 28

408/264-8487

Constitution because it usurps the States' powers to define and provide for the

rehabilitation of minor public offenses.

	Case
1	61.
2	
3	
4	
5	62.
6	63.
7	
8	64.
9	
10	65.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	66.
16	
17	
	II

61. This usurpation of power by the federal government is directly responsible for the infringement of the Plaintiffs' fundamental "right to keep and bear arms."

NINTH CLAIM - Fifth Amendment "Due Process"

- 62. Paragraphs 1 through 46 are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 63. The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides in part that: "No person shall... be deprived of life, liberty or property, without due process of law..."
- Part of the protection afforded Plaintiffs under the Fifth Amendment Due Process Clause against the federal government is the guarantee of "equal protection" under the law.
- As currently written and enforced, 18 U.S.C. §§ 921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) violates the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution because it imposes a lifetime ban on the exercise of a fundamental constitutional right for a minor crime without providing a statutory remedy for restoration of that right, even though a provision exists in federal law for the restoration of rights by felons. See 18 U.S.C. § 925(c).
- As applied to the facts of this case, the Defendants' interpretations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 921(a)(33), 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) violates the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution because it imposes a lifetime ban on the exercise of a fundamental constitutional right for a minor crime without providing a statutory remedy for restoration of that right, even though a provision exists in federal law for the restoration of rights by felons. See 18 U.S.C. § 925(c).

21

22

23

24

25

26

18

19

20

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays that this Court will enter judgment as follows:
- A. Declaratory and injunctive relief that all Plaintiffs are not subject to the prohibitions set forth in 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9).
- B. Declaratory and injunctive relief that 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(d)(9) and 922(g)(9) are unconstitutional on their face and as applied to all Plaintiffs.

2728

////

	Enos v. Holder		Page 16	of 16	Complaint/Decl Relief
28					
27					
26					
2425					
23					
22					
21					
20					
19					
18					
1617					
15					
14					
13					
12					
11	Attorney for I	Plaintiffs			
10	E-Mail:	0	awOffice.com		
9	Telephone: Facsimile:	408/264-84 408/264-84	87		
8	1645 Willow San Jose, Cal	ifornia 95125	5-3030		
7	LAW OFFIC A Profession	ES OF DON. al Corporatio	ALD KILMER n		
6	Donald E.J. k	/s/ Kilmer, Jr., (S	BN: 179986)		
5		, ,			
4	Respectfully	Submitted on	October 25, 20	10,	
3	D. Such other an	nd further reli	ef as this Court	deems just and pro	per.
2	and/or 18 U.S	S.C. § 925A.			
1	C. Award Plaint	iffs their reas	onable attorney	fees and costs und	er 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1988
	Case 2:10-cv-0291	1-JAM-EFB	Document 1	Filed 10/29/10	Page 16 of 16
ı	Ī				

Donald Kilmer Attorney at Law 1645 Willow St. Suite 150 San Jose, CA 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 1-1 Filed 10/29/10 Page 1 of 2

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE PORM.)	DEFEN	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)			
	of First Listed Plaintiff XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Address, and Telephone Number)	NOT				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSI	HIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTI	ES(Place an "Y" in One Roy for Plaintiff		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Citizen of This State	Cases Only) PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF or Principal Place		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State 2			
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)					
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persor Liability PERSONAL PROPI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendi 380 Other Personal Property Dama Property Dama	y - George Control of Property 2 George Control of Propert	\$\frac{1}{2} \text{ Appeal 28 USC 158}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 423 Withdrawal} \text{ 28 USC 157}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 420 Copyrights} \text{ 360 Copyrights}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 830 Patent}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 840 Trademark}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 840 Trademark}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 861 HIA (1395ff)}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 862 Black Lung (923)}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405())}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 865 RSI (405(g))}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 871 IRS—Third Party}\$ \$\frac{2}{2} \text{ USC 7609}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 470 USC 7609}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 423 Withdrawal}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 423 Withdrawal}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 420 Copyrights}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 840 Trademark}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 840 Trademark}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 862 Black Lung (923)}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405())}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 865 RSI (405(g))}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 865 RSI (405(g))}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)}\$ \$\frac{1}{2} \text{ 871 IRS—Third Party}\$ \$\frac{2}{2} \text{ USC 7609}\$	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act		
□ 1 Original □ 2 Re	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened	(specify) Litiga	ntion Judgment		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you	are filing (Do not cite j	urisdictional statutes unless diversit	ty):		
vii chest of heli	Brief description of cause:					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ON DEMAND \$	CHECK YES o JURY DEMA	only if demanded in complaint: ND: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	·	JUDGE MAG	S. JUDGE		

Case 2:10-cv-02911-JAM-EFB Document 1-1 Filed 10/29/10 Page 2 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- **II. Jurisdiction**. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity**. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.