CALENDARED 1 AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P. R.D. KIRWAN (SBN 46259) 2 ROBERT N. TAFOYA (SBN 194444) 2029 Century Park East, Suite 2400 3 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 229-1000 4 Facsimile: (310) 229-1001 RENZULLI & RUTHERFORD 5 JOHN F. RENZULLI JOHN J. MCCARTHY, III 300 East 42nd Street 7 New York, NY 10017 (212) 599-5533 8 Attorneys for Defendant 9 H&R 1871, INC. 10 AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 12 13 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION CALIFORNIA, by and through San PROCEEDING NO. 4095 Francisco City Attorney Louise H. Renne. 14 Berkeley City Attorney Manuela Case No. 303753 15 Albuquerque, Sacramento City Attorney Samuel L. Jackson, and San Mateo County Counsel Thomas F. Casey, III, Oakland City) Attorney Jayne W. Williams, and East Palo 16 DEFENDANT H&R 1871, INC.'S FURTHER SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND Alto City Attorney Michael S. Lawson; JOE) 17 RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET SERNA, JR., Mayor of Sacramento, the OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF CITY OF BERKELEY, the CITY OF 18 DOCUMENTS (Dated October 1, 2001) OAKLAND, the CITY OF EAST PALO ALTO and the COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, 19 on behalf of the general public. 20 Plaintiffs. 21 VS. 22 ARCADIA MACHINE & TOOL, INC., BRYCO ARMS, INC., DAVIS 23 INDUSTRIES, INC., ÉXCELL INDUSTRIES, INC., LORCIN 24 ENGINEERING CO., INC., CHINA NORTH INDUSTRIES, PHOENIX ARMS, 25 SUNDANCE INDUSTRIES, INC., BERETTA U.S.A. CORP., PIETRO 26 BERETTA Sp. A., BROWNING ARMS CO., CARL WALTER, GmbH, CHARTER 27 ARMS, INC., COLT'S MANUFACTURING CO., INC., FORJAS 10/1/2007 28 TAURUS, S.A. TAURUS DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

H & R 1871, Inc's Further Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents

120609.0001 Los Angeles 497909 v1

CC_

SO

1 INTERNATIONAL MANUFACTURING, INC., GLOCK, INC., GLOCK GmbH. 2 H&R 1871 INC., HECKLER & KOCH, INC., KEL-TEC CNC INDUSTRIES, INC., 3 MKS SUPPLY INC., NAVEGAR, INC., NORTH AMERICAN ARMS, INC. SIGARMS, INC., SMITH AND WESSON 4 CORP., S.W. DANIELS, INC., STURM RUGER & COMPANY, INC., AMERICAN SHOOTING SPORTS 6 COUNCIL, INC., NATIONAL SHOOTING SPORTS FOUNDATION, INC. SPORTING ARMS AND AMMUNITION 7 MANUFACTURERS' INSTITUTE, INC., B.L. JENNINGS, INC., ELLETT BROTHERS INC., INTERNATIONAL ARMAMENT CORP., RSR WHOLESALE GUNS, INC., SOUTHERN OHIO GUN DISTRIBUTORS, TRADERS SPORTS. 10 INC., and DOES 1-200, 11 Defendants. 12 RESPONDING PARTY: DEFENDANT, H&R 1871, INC. 13 PROPOUNDING PARTY: PLAINTIFFS, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF 14 CALIFORNIA, by and through San Francisco City Attorney 15 Louise H. Renne, Berkeley City Attorney Manuela Albuquerque, 16 Sacramento City Attorney Samuel L. Jackson, and San Mateo 17 County Counsel Thomas F. Casey, III, Oakland City Attorney 18 Jayne W. Williams, and East Palo Alto City Attorney Michael S. 19 Lawson; JOE SERNA, JR., Mayor of Sacramento, the CITY OF 20 BERKELEY, the CITY OF OAKLAND, the CITY OF EAST 21 PALO ALTO and the COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, on behalf of 22 the general public 23 **SET NUMBER:** TWO (2) 24 25 26 27 28

2029 CENTURY PARK EAST

OS ANGELES, CA GOOG7

TO PLAINTIFFS AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Pursuant to Section 2030 of the Code of Civil Procedure, Defendant H&R 1871, INC. ("Defendant") responds as follows to Plaintiffs' special interrogatories. H&R 1871, INC. provides these supplemental responses subject to the following general objections ("General Objections").

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

This Defendant objects to the plaintiffs' Requests for Production in that they are not limited to a reasonable and relevant time period and objects to the plaintiffs' time period of January 1, 1975 to the present. Defendant further objects to the plaintiffs' requests to the extent that they seek documents and/or information which have no relevance to the issues in this case and/or have no relationship to actions taken or statements made within the state of California. Defendant objects to this discovery to the extent it seeks documents or information protected as commercially sensitive, trade secrets or proprietary information. To the extent that Defendant has agreed herein to produce any documents or information that contain or reflect trade secret or proprietary information, any such production will be made only after the entry of an appropriate protective order. Defendant further objects on the grounds that this discovery is intentionally harassing, burdensome and improperly and politically designed to burden the Defendant with litigation expense in defending claims that have no legal or factual merit.

Defendant further objects to this discovery to the extent it seeks documents or information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the consulting expert exemption privilege, joint defense privilege or any other applicable privilege or protection. Defendant objects to this discovery to the extent it is vague and ambiguous and makes response impossible without speculation. Defendant objects to this discovery to the extent it seeks documents or information protected by a self-evaluation or self-analysis privilege. Defendant objects to this discovery to the extent it seeks documents or information not within Defendant's possession, custody or control. Defendant objects to this discovery to the extent it attempts to impose obligations beyond those required under the California Code of

DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

Civil Procedure. Defendant objects to this discovery to the extent it assumes facts which are incorrect or do not exist. Defendant objects to this discovery insofar as it seeks documents or information in the public domain and thus equally available to plaintiffs. Defendant also objects to these requests to the extent they seek information within the possession, custody or control of plaintiffs. Defendant objects to the extent that this discovery seeks documents created in the exercise of Defendant's First Amendment rights to freedom of speech, freedom of assembly and to petition the government for redress of grievances. Defendant also objects to the extent this discovery seeks information protected from disclosure by California Civil Code § 3295.

The responses herein are based upon the knowledge or information and belief acquired by Defendant to the present date and upon the current state of their preparation in connection with this action. Accordingly, Defendant reserves the right to modify, amend or add to these responses and objections. By submitting these responses and objections, Defendant does not waive any objections as to the relevancy, materiality and/or admissibility of any request, response, document, or information contained therein, nor does Defendant waive any right to produce in the future any information or documents once an appropriate confidentiality agreement or protective order is in place. Defendant objects to this discovery to the extent it seeks documents or information that may impair or abrogate the privacy rights of Defendants or third parties.

Each of these objections is incorporated by this reference into each of the responses below. By asserting an objection, Defendant does not concede that it possesses documents responsive to any particular inspection demand.

SUPPLEMENTAL GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Defendant objects to these requests on the grounds that they are irrelevant since H&R 1871, Inc. ceased designing, manufacturing, marketing, distributing, advertising, supplying and selling handguns in November, 1999.

OS ANGELES, CA 90087

18

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20 21

22

24

23

25 26

27 28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

GENERAL OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

This answering Defendant objects to the plaintiffs'-definitions and instructions on the basis that they are overly broad, burdensome, oppressive and vague and subject to numerous interpretations. These definitions include, but are not limited to, plaintiffs' definitions of the terms "ADVERTISEMENT(S)", "ADVERTISING", "AFFILIATED ENTITIES", "COMMUNICATION(S)", "COMPLAINT", "CONSUMER", "DISTRIBUTOR(S)", "DOCUMENT(S)" (to the extent it violates or differs from the California Code of Civil Procedure and the California Evidence Code), "EMPLOYEE(S)", "FIREARM(S)", "FIREARM SAFETY FEATURE(S)", "FIREARM SAFETY RESEARCH", "FIREARM SAFETY RISK(S)", "HANDGUN(S)", "IDENTIFY" or "IDENTIFICATION", "MARKETING", "PERSONALIZED GUN SYSTEM", "TRACE", "TRAINING", "UNINTENTIONAL FIRING", "UNINTENTIONAL DISCHARGE", "UNINTENTIONAL SHOOTING", "YOU" and "YOUR". Defendant also objects to the plaintiffs' definitions and instructions in that they purport to impose obligations beyond those required by the California Code of Civil Procedure. Each of these objections is incorporated by this reference into each of the responses below.

DOCUMENTS REQUESTED

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

ALL DOCUMENTS that YOU produced during the course of discovery to ANY party in Hamilton, et al. v. Accu-Tek et al., United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, Civil No. CV-95-0049 (JBW) AND Young v. Bryco Arms, et al., No. 98-L-6684 (Cook County Circuit Court, Ill.), including, but not limited to, written responses to interrogatories, written responses to requests for admissions, written responses to requests for production of documents, AND documents responsive to requests for production of documents.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Objection. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad and

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks privileged and/or commercially sensitive information. Additionally, Defendant objects to the extent that it seeks documents that are the subject of a prior confidentiality order from the Hamilton Court. Finally, Defendant objects to the extent that the factual, liability and damage issues in the instant case are different from the claims in the cases of Hamilton, et al. v. Accu-Tek, et al. and Young v. Bryco Arms, et al.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to testimony given by YOU regarding FIREARMS, including, but not limited to, deposition testimony OR trial testimony in Hamilton, et al. v. Accu-Tek et al., United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, Civil No. CV-95-0049 (JBW) AND Young v. Bryco Arms, et al., No. 98-L-6684 (Cook County Circuit Court, Ill.).

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Objection. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague and ambiguous and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks privileged and/or commercially sensitive information. Additionally, Defendant objects to the extent that it seeks documents that are the subject of a prior confidentiality order from the Hamilton Court. Finally, Defendant objects to the extent that the factual, liability and damage issues in the

ANGELES, CA 90087

instant case are different from the claims in the cases of Hamilton, et al. v. Accu-Tek, et al. and Young v. Bryco Arms, et al.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the petitions submitted by the Center to Prevent Handgun Violence OR Steve Teret, Jon Vernick, OR Garen Wintemute to the United States Federal Trade Commission on OR about February 14, 1996, OR ANY other petition submitted to the United States Federal Trade Commission regarding FIREARMS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague and ambiguous and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it has no documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

ALL DOCUMENTS provided to OR received, from ANY law enforcement agency, including, but not limited to, the ATF, the United States Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Alameda Sheriff's Department, the Berkeley Police Department, the California Highway Patrol, the East Palo Alto Police Department, the Oakland Police Department, the Oakland Police Service Agency, the Sacramento Police Department, the San Francisco Police Department, OR the San Mateo Sheriff's Department, regarding the CRIMINAL USE of ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague, ambiguous, assumes facts not in evidence and seeks irrelevant information which is not

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

OS ANGELES, CA 90067

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that should the plaintiffs decide to limit this request to the factual, liability and damages allegations in the consolidated complaints, and upon permission of the BATF and the identified law enforcement agencies, and after the entry of an appropriate protective order, Defendant will review its objections and, if appropriate, provide a supplemental response to the nonobjectionable portions of this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and ANY law enforcement agency, including, but not limited to, the ATF, the United States Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Alameda Sheriff's Department, the Berkeley Police Department, the California Highway Patrol, the East Palo Alto Police Department, the Oakland Police Department, the Oakland Police Service Agency, the Sacramento Police Department, the San Francisco Police Department, OR the San Mateo Sheriff's Department, regarding the CRIMINAL USE of ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague and ambiguous, assumes facts not in evidence and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested.

28

2029 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 2400 LOS ANGELES, CA GOORY

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that should the plaintiffs decide to limit this request to the factual, liability and damages allegations in the consolidated complaints, and upon permission of the BATF and the identified law enforcement agencies, and after the entry of an appropriate protective order, Defendant will review its objections and, if appropriate, provide a supplemental response to the non-objectionable portions of this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

ALL ADVERTISEMENTS for ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague, ambiguous and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested. Defendant also objects to the plaintiffs' definition of "ADVERTISEMENTS" as being overly broad.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to the advertisements previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections and Responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery) Exhibit "A" (HR00001-HR00012), which are copies of advertisements and promotions publicizing Defendant's safety features, such as the safety transfer bar and lockable hard plastic storage box.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY civil OR criminal complaints filed in ANY federal OR state court OR lodged with ANY

DOCUMENT PREPARED

OS ANGELES, CA 90067

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2029 CENTURY PARK EAST

administrative body that RELATE directly OR indirectly to FIREARMS in which YOU are OR were named as a party.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague and ambiguous, assumes facts not in evidence and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers the Plaintiff to the documents Bates numbered HR00209 through HR00749, which are copies of the following lawsuits: City of Chicago v. Beretta U.S.A., et al., Penelas v. Arms Technology, Inc., et al., White v. Smith & Wesson Corp., et al., McNamara v. Arms Techology, Inc., Archer v. Arms Technology, Inc., et al., City of Cincinnati v. Beretta U.S.A. Corp., City of St. Louis v. Cernicek, et al., Camden County Board of Chosen Freeholders v. Beretta U.S.A. Corp., Mayor Sharpe James v. Arcadia Machine & Tool, District of Columbia, et al. v. Beretta U.S.A. Corp., City of New York v. B.L. Jennings, Inc., et al., City of Garv v. Smith & Wesson Corp., et al. Defendant further responds that the following lawsuits were dismissed and are on appeal: City of Chicago v. Beretta U.S.A., et al., Penelas v. Arms Technology, Inc., et al., City of Cincinnati v. Beretta U.S.A. Corp., Camden County Board of Chosen Freeholders v. Beretta U.S.A. Corp. and City of Gary v. Smith & Wesson Corp., et al.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY testimony given by YOU to ANY member OR committee of ANY legislative body including, but not limited to, the United States Senate, United States House of Representatives, ANY state legislature, OR ANY political subdivision of ANY state OR territory, regarding FIREARMS.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague and ambiguous and seeks irrelevant information which not is reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that after a diligent search it has been unable to locate any documents responsive to the non-objectionable portions of this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the gross yearly revenue that YOU derive from the sale of FIREARMS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased selling handguns in November, 1999, and thus derives no gross yearly revenue from the sale of handguns.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the number of FIREARMS sold, produced, AND possessed in inventory OR other capacity by YOU identified by MAKE, model, AND caliber.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous, assumes facts not in evidence and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased producing and selling handguns in November, 1999. Defendant further responds that it has no inventory of handguns.

FURTHER SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers the Plaintiffs to the documents Bates numbered HR00750 through HR01365, which are comprehensive lists of firearms sold and distributed by H&R 1871, Inc. for the years 1995 through 1999.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to YOUR yearly gross dollar sales AND unit sales for California, Nevada, AND Arizona.

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

OS ANGELES, CA BOOR?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, not limited in scope, vague and ambiguous and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased manufacturing and selling handguns in November, 1999, and thus derives no gross yearly revenue from the sale of handguns in California, Nevada or Arizona.

FURTHER SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, and limiting the response to unit sales as per Judge DiFiglia's May, 3 2001 Order addressing the scope of this request, Defendant refers the Plaintiff to the documents Bates numbered HR00750 through HR01365, which are comprehensive lists of firearms sold and distributed by H&R 1871, Inc. for the years 1995 through 1999, including firearms sold and distributed to California, Nevada and Arizona.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to YOUR profits derived from FIREARM sales for California, Nevada, AND Arizona.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and information that may be

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

OS ANGELES, CA 90067

protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased selling handguns in November, 1999, and thus derives no profits from the sale of handguns in California, Nevada or Arizona.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

ALL DOCUMENTS, including, but not limited to, contracts, that IDENTIFY ANY DISTRIBUTOR, DEALER, RETAILER, OR SELLER to whom YOU sell FIREARMS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased selling handguns in November, 1999. During the time it did manufacture handguns, it only manufactured revolvers. Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections and Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery) Exhibit "B" (HR00013-HR00016), which is a copy of Defendant's Distributor/Dealer Agreement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

20

22 23

25

24

26 27 28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Defendant further responds that during the time period it manufactured revolvers, it complied with all Federal, State and local laws, regulations and ordinances concerning the sale of revolvers and any other applicable conditions of sale with approved purchasers. Defendant sold its revolvers to established and reputable wholesale distributors who are well known in the firearms industry. Defendant also sold its revolvers to established and reputable firearms purchasing syndicates such as Sports, Inc., National Buying Syndicate (NBS) and World Wide Distributors. Defendant required its wholesale distributors to be federally licensed and to be members in good standing with the National Association of Sporting Goods Wholesalers (NASGW). In addition, prior to a sale to a new wholesale distributor, Defendant obtained a Dunn & Bradstreet report of the wholesale distributor. Defendant did not sell its products to any individual Federal Firearms License holders. Defendant did not sell its products to retail dealers or consumers.

The distributor agreement entered into by Defendant and its wholesale distributors forbade the distributor from selling to any individual or entity which was a mass merchandiser or which owned and operated a store whose principle business was not sporting goods. Defendant maintained frequent contact with its wholesale distributors and occasionally made personal visits to its wholesale distributors at their facilities. Additionally, Defendant met with its wholesale distributors and firearms purchasing syndicates at trade shows and other industrysponsored events.

Defendant's requirements and procedures remained essentially the same during the relevant time period it manufactured revolvers. Defendant would not sell any of its products to any entity that did not follow its requirements and procedures.

FURTHER SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers the Plaintiff to the documents Bates numbered HR 20000 through HR 26786 and HR00750 through HR01365, which are copies of H&R 1871, Inc.'s customers' FFLs and comprehensive lists of firearms sold and distributed by H&R 1871, Inc. for the years 1995 through 1999.

2029 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 2400 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and ANY DISTRIBUTOR, DEALER, RETAILER, OR SELLER of FIREARMS manufactured by YOU, including, but not limited to, COMMUNICATIONS regarding ATF TRACE REQUESTS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous, assumes facts not in evidence and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased manufacturing and selling handguns in November, 1999. During the time it did manufacture handguns, it only manufactured revolvers. Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery) Exhibit "B" (HR00013-HR00016), which is a copy of Defendant's Distributor/Dealer Agreement. Defendant maintained frequent contact with its wholesale distributors and occasionally made personal visits to its wholesale distributors at their facilities. Additionally, Defendant met with its wholesale distributors and firearms purchasing syndicates at trade shows and other industry-sponsored events.

2029 CENTURY PARK EAST SUIFE 2400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90087 Defendant further responds that it fully cooperates with all directives and communications from the BATF or other law enforcement agencies, including trace requests. In May, 1996, Defendant was the first firearms manufacturer to establish a direct online computer modem link with the BATF. The computer system, called "Access 2000," enables the BATF to obtain trace information directly from Defendant's database. The system is operational 24 hours a day, 7 days a week and reduces the time it takes the BATF to trace a gun to less that one minute. The BATF does not contact Defendant to conduct the trace and does not advise the Defendant that the trace was conducted. Defendant is not provided with any information from the BATF concerning trace requests.

Prior to implementation of "Access 2000," the BATF either faxed trace requests or made the request over the telephone. Defendant would promptly obtain the requested information from its records and respond to the trace request. When Defendant received a faxed trace request, it provided the requested information on the facsimile form and transmitted the information via facsimile to the BATF. If the request was made over the telephone, Defendant orally provided the information to the BATF. Defendant did not maintain any records pertaining to these trace requests.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY contracts between ANY DISTRIBUTOR, DEALER, RETAILER, OR SELLER who sells ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

which information is requested. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased manufacturing and selling handguns in November, 1999. During the time it did manufacture handguns, it manufactured only revolvers. Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery) Exhibit "B" (HR00013-HR00016), which is a copy of Defendant's Distributor/Dealer Agreement.

Defendant further responds that the distributor agreement entered into by Defendant and its wholesale distributors forbade the distributor from selling to any individual or entity which is a mass merchandiser or which owns and operates a store whose principle business is not sporting goods. Defendant maintained frequent contact with its wholesale distributors and occasionally made personal visits to its wholesale distributors at their facilities. Additionally, Defendant met with its wholesale distributors and firearms purchasing syndicates at trade shows and other industry-sponsored events.

Defendant's requirements and procedures remained essentially the same during the relevant time period it manufactured revolvers. Defendant would not sell any of its products to any entity that did not follow its requirements and procedures.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY INVENTORY CONTROL YOU employ OR have employed regarding FIREARMS manufactured by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and information that may be

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

ANGELES, CA 90087 So 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information. Defendant further objects to the plaintiffs' definition of "INVENTORY CONTROL" as overly broad.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased manufacturing handguns in November, 1999, and thus has no inventory of handguns.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the SUPERVISION of DISTRIBUTORS, DEALERS, RETAILERS, OR SELLERS of FIREARMS manufactured by YOU, including, but not limited to, the detection of INAPPROPRIATE PURCHASERS, FIREARM SAFETY RISKS, FIREARM SAFETY FEATURES, SAFETY DESIGNS, SAFETY DEVICES, SECURE GUN STORAGE DEVICES, training purchasers of FIREARMS, investigating purchasers' level of FIREARM skill OR knowledge, frequency of FIREARM purchases, STRAW PURCHASES, sales to KITCHEN TABLE DEALERS, MULTIPLE SALES, OR responsible distribution.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, vague and ambiguous, assumes facts not in evidence and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to the following documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery): (1) Exhibit "A" (HR00001-HR00012), advertisements and promotions publicizing Defendant's safety features, such as the safety transfer bar and lockable hard plastic storage box: (2) Exhibit "B" (HR00013-HR00016), a copy of Defendant's Distributor/Dealer Agreement; (3) Exhibit "C" (HR00017-HR00032), instruction manuals for Defendant's R92 & R93 Revolvers, Model 949 Western Target Revolver, Sportsman 999 Revolver, and R22, R73, R92, Standard and Ultra Revolvers, each including instructions and warnings regarding the safe and proper use, handling, cleaning and storage of the subject revolver; (4) Exhibit "D" (HR00033), Defendant's "Firearms Safety Program Responsibility Contract" which promotes understanding between parents and children regarding the safe treatment, handling and storage of firearms; (5) Exhibit "E" (HR00034-HR00191), Defendant's catalogs featuring the patented transfer safety bar and including "Safe-Tips" on each page providing prospective purchasers with further safety information; and (6) Exhibit "F" (HR00192-HR00193), correspondence regarding Defendant's contributions to various hunter education and safety courses.

Defendant further responds and refers to Exhibit "G" (HR00194-HR00205) attached hereto, which is a copy of Defendant's 2000 catalogue featuring the patented transfer safety bar and which includes no handguns for purchase.

Defendant further responds that during the time period it manufactured revolvers, it complied with all Federal, State and local laws, regulations and ordinances concerning the sale of revolvers and any other applicable conditions of sale with approved purchasers. Defendant sold its revolvers to established and reputable wholesale distributors who are well known in the firearms industry. Defendant also sold its revolvers to established and reputable firearms purchasing syndicates such as Sports, Inc., National Buying Syndicate (NBS) and World Wide Distributors. Defendant required its wholesale distributors to be federally licensed and to be members in good standing with the National Association of Sporting Goods Wholesalers

(NASGW). In addition, prior to a sale to a new wholesale distributor, Defendant obtained a Dunn & Bradstreet report of the wholesale distributor. Defendant did not sell its products to any individual Federal Firearms License holders. Defendant did not sell its products to retail dealers or consumers.

The distributor agreement entered into by Defendant and its wholesale distributors forbade the distributor from selling to any individual or entity which was a mass merchandiser or which owned and operated a store whose principle business was not sporting goods. Defendant maintained frequent contact with its wholesale distributors and occasionally made personal visits to its wholesale distributors at their facilities. Additionally, Defendant met with its wholesale distributors and firearms purchasing syndicates at trade shows and other industry-sponsored events.

Defendant's requirements and procedures remained essentially the same during the relevant time period it manufactured revolvers. Defendant would not sell any of its products to any entity that did not follow its requirements and procedures.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY discounts, financing, or payment options, including, but not limited to bulk discounts, that YOU offer to DISTRIBUTORS, DEALERS, RETAILERS, OR SELLERS who sell FIREARMS manufactured by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague and ambiguous, assumes facts not in evidence and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant will produce responsive documents, if any, after the entry of an appropriate protective order.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to DISTRIBUTORSHIPS, DEALERSHIPS, RETAILERS, OR SELLERS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, harassing, vague and ambiguous and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections and Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery) Exhibit "B" (HR00013-HR00016), which is a copy of Defendant's Distributor/Dealer Agreement.

Defendant further responds that during the time period it manufactured revolvers, it complied with all Federal, State and local laws, regulations and ordinances concerning the sale of revolvers and any other applicable conditions of sale with approved purchasers. Defendant sold its revolvers to established and reputable wholesale distributors who are well known in the firearms industry. Defendant also sold its revolvers to established and reputable firearms purchasing syndicates such as Sports, Inc., National Buying Syndicate (NBS) and World Wide Distributors. Defendant required its wholesale distributors to be federally licensed and to be

members in good standing with the National Association of Sporting Goods Wholesalers (NASGW). In addition, prior to a sale to a new wholesale distributor, Defendant obtained a Dunn & Bradstreet report of the wholesale distributor. Defendant did not sell its products to any individual Federal Firearms License holders. Defendant did not sell its products to retail dealers or consumers.

The distributor agreement entered into by Defendant and its wholesale distributors forbade the distributor from selling to any individual or entity which was a mass merchandiser or which owned and operated a store whose principle business was not sporting goods. Defendant maintained frequent contact with its wholesale distributors and occasionally made personal visits to its wholesale distributors at their facilities. Additionally, Defendant met with its wholesale distributors and firearms purchasing syndicates at trade shows and other industry-sponsored events.

Defendant's requirements and procedures remained essentially the same during the relevant time period it manufactured revolvers. Defendant would not sell any of its products to any entity that did not follow its requirements and procedures.

FURTHER SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers the Plaintiff to the documents Bates numbered HR 20000 through 26786, which are copies of H&R 1871, Inc.'s customers' FFLs.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the termination of business dealings with DISTRIBUTOR SHIPS, DEALERSHIPS, RETAILERS, AND SELLERS of FIREARMS manufactured by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague and ambiguous and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to the

LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

discovery of admissible evidence and information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine. Defendant also objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad with respect to the time period from which information is requested. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery) Exhibit "B" (HR00013-HR00016), which is a copy of Defendant's Distributor/Dealer Agreement.

Defendant further responds that during the time period it manufactured revolvers, it complied with all Federal, State and local laws, regulations and ordinances concerning the sale of revolvers and any other applicable conditions of sale with approved purchasers. Defendant sold its revolvers to established and reputable wholesale distributors who are well known in the firearms industry. Defendant also sold its revolvers to established and reputable firearms purchasing syndicates such as Sports, Inc., National Buying Syndicate (NBS) and World Wide Distributors. Defendant required its wholesale distributors to be federally licensed and to be members in good standing with the National Association of Sporting Goods Wholesalers (NASGW). In addition, prior to a sale to a new wholesale distributor, Defendant obtained a Dunn & Bradstreet report of the wholesale distributor. Defendant did not sell its products to any individual Federal Firearms License holders. Defendant did not sell its products to retail dealers or consumers.

The distributor agreement entered into by Defendant and its wholesale distributors forbade the distributor from selling to any individual or entity which was a mass merchandiser or which owned and operated a store whose principle business was not sporting goods.

Defendant maintained frequent contact with its wholesale distributors and occasionally made personal visits to its wholesale distributors at their facilities. Additionally, Defendant met with

LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

its wholesale distributors and firearms purchasing syndicates at trade shows and other industrysponsored events.

Defendant's requirements and procedures remained essentially the same during the relevant time period it manufactured revolvers. Defendant would not sell any of its products to any entity that did not follow its requirements and procedures.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

ALL DOCUMENTS that IDENTIFY ANY person employed, contracted with, OR retained by YOU to sell FIREARMS from January 1, 1990, to the present.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague and ambiguous, and seeks irrelevant information that is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the undefined phrases "contracted with" and "retained by". Defendant objects to the time period of January 1, 1990 to the present. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

Defendant further objects to the identified time period in that H&R 1871, Inc. was not incorporated until 1991.

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery) Exhibit "B" (HR00013-HR00016), which is a copy of Defendant's Distributor/Dealer Agreement.

Defendant further responds that should the plaintiffs decide to limit this request to the factual, liability, and damages allegations in the consolidated complaints and after the entry of an appropriate protective order, Defendant will review its objections and, if appropriate, seasonably supplement its response to this request.

OS ANGELES, CA GOOG?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the sale, promotion, design, manufacture, or distribution of FIREARMS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, not limited in scope or geographic region and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information and/or information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to the following documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery): (1) Exhibit "A" (HR00001-HR00012), advertisements and promotions publicizing Defendant's safety features, such as the safety transfer bar and lockable hard plastic storage box; (2) Exhibit "B" (HR00013-HR00016), a copy of Defendant's Distributor/Dealer Agreement; (3) Exhibit "C" (HR00017-00032), instruction manuals for Defendant's R92 & R93 Revolvers, Model 949 Western Target Revolver, Sportsman 999 Revolver, and R22, R73, R92, Standard and Ultra Revolvers, each including instructions and warnings regarding the safe and proper use, handling, cleaning and storage of the subject revolver; (4) Exhibit "D" (HR00033), Defendant's "Firearms Safety Program Responsibility Contract" which promotes understanding between parents and children regarding the safe treatment, handling and storage of firearms; (5) Exhibit "E" (HR00034-HR00191), Defendant's catalogs featuring the patented transfer safety bar and including "Safe-Tips" on each page providing prospective purchasers with further safety information; and (6) Exhibit "F" (HR00192-HR00193), correspondence regarding Defendant's contributions to various hunter education and safety courses.

LOS ANGELES, CA 9008

17 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

27

28

120609.0001 Los Angeles 497909 vI

26

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Defendant further responds and refers to the exhibits attached hereto: (1) Exhibit "G" (HR00194-HR00205), which is a copy of Defendant's 2000 catalogue featuring the patented transfer safety bar and which includes no handguns for purchase; and (2) Exhibit "H" (HR00206- HR00207), Defendant's current Federal Firearms License and Massachusetts Firearms License.

Defendant further responds that should the plaintiffs decide to limit this request to the factual, liability, and damages allegations in the consolidated complaints and after the entry of an appropriate protective order, Defendant will review its objections and, if appropriate, seasonably supplement its response to this request.

FURTHER SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers the Plaintiff to the documents Bates numbered HR 20000 through 26786, which are copies of H&R 1871, Inc.'s customers' FFLs.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and ANY COMPETITOR regarding FIREARMS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, not limited in scope or geographic region and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information and/or information that may be protected by the attorney-client, attorney work product or joint defense privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it has no documents responsive to the non-objectionable portions of this request.

LOS ANGELES, CA 9006

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and Davis Industries, Inc. OR Sundance Industries, Inc.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, not limited in scope or geographic region and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client, attorney work product and/or joint defense privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it has no documents responsive to the non-objectionable portions of this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and ANY FIREARMS trade organization, including, but not limited to. the American Shooting Sports Council, Inc., the Hunting and Shooting Sports Heritage Fund, the National Alliance of Stocking Gun Dealers, the National Rifle Association, the National Shooting Sports Foundation, Inc., AND the Sporting Arms and Ammunition Manufacturers' Institute, Inc.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, not limited in scope or geographic region and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client, attorney work product and/or

joint defense privileges and Noerr-Pennington and the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY press releases, COMMUNICATIONS with the MEDIA, OR public statements made OR issued by YOU regarding FIREARMS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, not limited in scope or geographic region and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to this request to the extent that it seeks information and documents that are publicly available or equally accessible to the plaintiffs.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and ANY purchaser of ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU, including, but not limited to, DOCUMENTS regarding SAFETY DESIGNS, SAFETY DEVICES, SECURE GUN STORAGE DEVICES, FIREARM SAFETY RISKS, AND FIREARM SAFETY FEATURES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, not limited in geographic region and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. This request is vague and ambiguous in that the term "purchaser" is undefined. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information and/or information that may be protected by the attorney client and/or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to the following documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery): (1) Exhibit "A" (HR00001-HR00012), advertisements and promotions, publicizing Defendant's safety features such as the safety transfer bar and lockable hard plastic storage box; (2) Exhibit "C" (HR00017-HR00032), instruction manuals for Defendant's R92 & R93 Revolvers, Model 949 Western Target Revolver, Sportsman 999 Revolver, and R22, R73, R92, Standard and Ultra Revolvers, each including instructions and warnings regarding the safe and proper use, handling, cleaning and storage of the subject revolver; (3) Exhibit "D" (HR00033), Defendant's "Firearms Safety Program Responsibility Contract" which promotes understanding between parents and children regarding the safe treatment, handling and storage of firearms; (4) Exhibit "E" (HR00034-HR00191), Defendant's catalogs featuring the patented transfer safety bar and including "Safe-Tips" on each page providing prospective purchasers with further safety information; and (5) Exhibit "F" (HR00192-HR00193), correspondence regarding Defendant's contributions to various hunter education and safety courses.

Defendant further responds and refers to Exhibit "G" (HR00194-HR00205) attached hereto, which is a copy of Defendant's 2000 catalogue featuring the patented transfer safety bar and which includes no handguns for purchase

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and ANY victim of a SHOOTING OR the relative of ANY victim of a SHOOTING.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, not limited in scope or geographic region and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent this request

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it has no documents responsive to the non-objectionable portions of this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the sale of FIREARMS at GUN SHOWS, including, but not limited to, COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and ANY PERSON who sells FIREARMS manufactured by YOU at GUN SHOWS AND ALL DOCUMENTS regarding the sale of FIREARMS manufactured by YOU at GUN SHOWS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, not limited in scope or geographic region, assumes facts not in evidence and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to plaintiffs' definition of the phrase "GUN SHOWS" in that it is overly broad and subject to numerous interpretations.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it has no documents responsive to the non-objectionable portions of this request. Defendant further responds that it ceased selling handguns in November, 1999, and never sold handguns at gun shows.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the sale of FIREARMS manufactured by YOU.

28

SUITE 2400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90087

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, not limited in scope or geographic region and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information and/or information that may be protected by the attorney-client, attorney work product or joint defense privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased manufacturing and selling handguns in November, 1999. During the time it did manufacture handguns, it manufactured only revolvers. Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery): (1) Exhibit "A" (HR00001-HR00012), advertisements and promotions publicizing Defendant's safety features, such as the safety transfer bar and lockable hard plastic storage box; (2) Exhibit "B" (HR00013-HR00016), a copy of Defendant's Distributor/Dealer Agreement; (3) Exhibit "C" (HR00017-HR00032), instruction manuals for Defendant's R92 & R93 Revolvers, Model 949 Western Target Revolver, Sportsman 999 Revolver, and R22, R73, R92, Standard and Ultra Revolvers, each including instructions and warnings regarding the safe and proper use, handling, cleaning and storage of the subject revolver; (4) Exhibit "D" (HR00033), Defendant's "Firearms Safety Program Responsibility Contract" which promotes understanding between parents and children regarding the safe treatment, handling and storage of firearms; (5) Exhibit "E" (HR00034-HR00191), Defendant's catalogs featuring the patented transfer safety bar and including "Safe-Tips" on each page providing prospective purchasers with further safety information; and (6) Exhibit "F" (HR00192-HR00193), correspondence regarding Defendant's contributions to various hunter education and safety courses.

Defendant further responds and refers to the exhibits attached hereto: (1) Exhibit "G" (HR00194-HR00205), a copy of Defendant's 2000 catalogue featuring the patented transfer safety bar and which includes no handguns for purchase; and (2) Exhibit "H" (HR000207), Defendant's current Federal Firearms License and Massachusetts Firearms License.

Defendant further responds that should the plaintiffs decide to limit this request to the factual, liability, and damages allegations in the consolidated complaints and after the entry of an appropriate protective order, Defendant will review its objections and, if appropriate, seasonably supplement its response to this request.

<u>FURTHER SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO.</u> <u>30:</u>

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers the Plaintiff to the documents Bates numbered HR 20000 through HR 26786 and HR00750 through HR01365, which are copies of H&R 1871, Inc.'s customers' FFLs and comprehensive lists of firearms sold and distributed by H&R 1871, Inc. for the years 1995 through 1999.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY MARKET RESEARCH regarding FIREARMS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, not limited in scope or geographic region and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information and/or information that may be protected by the attorney-client, attorney work product or joint defense privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it has no responsive documents since it does not conduct any formal market research.

COMPT, JINAUSS, TAUER WITELL, 2029 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 2400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to NEW PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT, including, but not limited to, ANY new product design OR feature.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 32:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague and ambiguous, not limited in scope or geographic region and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information and/or information that may be protected by the attorney-client, attorney work product or joint defense privileges.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to YOUR DOCUMENT CONTROL.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague, and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 33:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it fully complies with regulations of the BATF, IRS and other agencies regarding document retention.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

ALL organizational charts AND other DOCUMENTS IDENTIFYING YOUR owners, officers, OR directors.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome and seeks irrelevant information that is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 34:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to the document attached hereto at Exhibit "I" (HR00208), which is a listing of Defendant's directors and officers.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

ALL BROCHURES for ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad and is not limited to a reasonable and relevant time period.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 35:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased manufacturing handguns in November, 1999. During the time it did manufacture handguns, it manufactured only revolvers. Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery): (1) Exhibit "C" (HR00017-00032), instruction manuals for Defendant's R92 & R93 Revolvers, Model 949 Western Target Revolver, Sportsman 999 Revolver, and R22, R73, R92, Standard and Ultra Revolvers, each including instructions and warnings regarding the safe and proper use, handling, cleaning and storage of the subject revolver; and (2) Exhibit "E" (HR00034-HR00191), Defendant's catalogs featuring the patented transfer safety bar and including "Safe-Tips" on each page providing prospective purchasers with further safety information.

2029 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 2400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90097 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant further responds and refers to Exhibit "G" (HR00194-HR00205) attached hereto, which is a copy of Defendant's 2000 catalogue featuring the patented transfer safety bar and which includes no handguns for purchase.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the safe use AND storage of FIREARMS, including, but not limited to, DOCUMENTS regarding CHAMBER LOADED INDICATORS, MAGAZINE DISCONNECT SAFETIES, PERSONALIZED GUN SYSTEMS, SAFETY DESIGNS, SAFETY DEVICES, AND SECURE GUN STORAGE DEVICES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client and/or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 36:

Defendant further objects to this request on the grounds that the plaintiffs have not produced any evidence that the presence or absence of these features caused or contributed to the purported damages alleged in the plaintiffs' complaints.

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery): (1) Exhibit "A" (HR00001-HR00012), advertisements and promotions publicizing Defendant's safety features, such as the safety transfer bar and lockable hard plastic storage box; (2) Exhibit "C" (HR00017-HR00032), instruction manuals for Defendant's R92 & R93 Revolvers, Model 949 Western Target Revolver, Sportsman 999 Revolver, and R22, R73, R92, Standard and Ultra Revolvers, each including instructions and warnings regarding the safe and proper use, handling, cleaning and storage of the subject revolver; (3) Exhibit "D" (HR00033), Defendant's "Firearms Safety Program Responsibility Contract" which promotes understanding between

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

- 36 -

parents and children regarding the safe treatment, handling and storage of firearms; (4) Exhibit "E" (HR00034-HR00191), Defendant's catalogs featuring the patented transfer safety bar and including "Safe-Tips" on each page providing prospective purchasers with further safety information; and (5) Exhibit "F" (HR00192-HR00193), correspondence regarding Defendant's contributions to various hunter education and safety courses.

Defendant further responds and refers to Exhibit "G" (HR00194-HR00205) attached hereto, which is a copy of Defendant's 2000 catalogue featuring the patented transfer safety bar and which includes no handguns for purchase.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the estimated OR actual production cost in total AND per FIREARM for CHAMBER LOADED INDICATORS, MAGAZINE DISCONNECT SAFETIES, PERSONALIZED GUN SYSTEMS, SAFETY DESIGNS, AND SAFETY DEVICES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad and seeks irrelevant information that is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 37:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased manufacturing handguns in November, 1999. During the time it did manufacture handguns, it manufactured only revolvers which were not able to utilize a loaded chamber indicator or magazine disconnect safeties. Ultimately, the only effective manner in which to determine if a revolver is loaded is to visually examine the cylinder. In any event, it would be impossible to calculate the estimated or actual costs of Defendant's firearms safety features because activities related to firearms safety features are integral to the entire design of

Defendant's firearms, which were evaluated on an ongoing basis upon, among other things, industry standards.

Furthermore, Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery) as evidence of it firm commitment to safety: (1) Exhibit "A" (HR00001-HR00012), advertisements and promotions publicizing Defendant's safety features, such as the safety transfer bar and lockable hard plastic storage box; (2) Exhibit "C" (HR00017-HR00032), instruction manuals for Defendant's R92 & R93 Revolvers, Model 949 Western Target Revolver, Sportsman 999 Revolver, and R22, R73, R92, Standard and Ultra Revolvers, each including instructions and warnings regarding the safe and proper use, handling, cleaning and storage of the subject revolver; (3) Exhibit "D" (HR00033), Defendant's "Firearms Safety Program Responsibility Contract" which promotes understanding between parents and children regarding the safe treatment, handling and storage of firearms; (4) Exhibit "E" (HR00034-HR00191), Defendant's catalogs featuring the patented transfer safety bar and including "Safe-Tips" on each page providing prospective purchasers with further safety information; and (5) Exhibit "F" (HR00192-HR00193), correspondence regarding Defendant's contributions to various hunter education and safety courses.

Defendant further responds and refers to Exhibit "G" (HR00194-HR00205) attached hereto, which is a copy of Defendant's 2000 catalogue featuring the patented transfer safety bar and which includes no handguns for purchase.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY injuries or deaths caused by the use of ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, assumes facts not in evidence, harassing and vexatious and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to

2029 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 2400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90087 1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 38:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to its prior response in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery), wherein it responded that it has no documents related to deaths or injuries in the State of California caused by H&R 1871, Inc.'s revolvers.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the UNINTENTIONAL FIRING of ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU, including, but not limited to, complaints regarding malfunctions OR accidental DISCHARGES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 39:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, assumes facts not in evidence, harassing and vexatious, assumes facts not in evidence and is not limited to a reasonable and relevant time period. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client and/or attorney work product privileges.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the illegal sale OR use of ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU OR ANY COMPETITOR, including, but not limited to, ANY CRIMINAL USE of a FIREARM.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, harassing and vexatious, assumes facts not in evidence and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 40:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased manufacturing and selling handguns in November, 1999. During the time period it manufactured revolvers, it complied with all Federal, State and local laws, regulations and ordinances concerning the sale of revolvers and any other applicable conditions of sale with approved purchasers. Defendant sold its revolvers to established and reputable wholesale distributors who are well known in the firearms industry. Defendant also sold its revolvers to established and reputable firearms purchasing syndicates such as Sports, Inc., National Buying Syndicate (NBS) and World Wide Distributors. Defendant required its wholesale distributors to be federally licensed and to be members in good standing with the National Association of Sporting Goods Wholesalers (NASGW). In addition, prior to a sale to a new wholesale distributor, Defendant obtained a Dunn & Bradstreet report of the wholesale distributor. Defendant did not sell its products to any individual Federal Firearms License holders.

The distributor agreement entered into by Defendant and its wholesale distributors forbade the distributor from selling to any individual or entity which was a mass merchandiser or which owned and operated a store whose principle business was not sporting goods. Defendant maintained frequent contact with its wholesale distributors and occasionally made personal visits to its wholesale distributors at their facilities. Additionally, Defendant met with its wholesale distributors and firearms purchasing syndicates at trade shows and other industry-sponsored events.

Defendant's requirements and procedures remained essentially the same during the relevant time period it manufactured revolvers. Defendant would not sell any of its products to any entity that did not follow its requirements and procedures.

Additionally, during the time it did manufacture revolvers, Defendant responds that it fully cooperates with all directives and communications from the BATF or other law

enforcement agencies, including trace requests. In May, 1996, Defendant was the first firearms manufacturer to establish a direct online computer modem link with the BATF. The computer system, called "Access 2000," enables the BATF to obtain trace information directly from Defendant's database. The system is operational 24 hours a day, 7 days a week and reduces the time it takes the BATF to trace a gun to less that one minute. The BATF does not contact Defendant to conduct the trace and does not advise the Defendant that the trace was conducted. Defendant is not provided with any information from the BATF concerning trace requests.

Prior to implementation of "Access 2000," the BATF either faxed trace requests or made the request over the telephone. Defendant would promptly obtain the requested information from its records and respond to the trace request. When Defendant received a faxed trace request, it provided the requested information on the facsimile form and transmitted the information via facsimile to the BATF. If the request was made over the telephone, Defendant orally provided the information to the BATF. Defendant did not maintain any records pertaining to these trace requests.

Defendant further responds that should the plaintiffs decide to limit this request to the factual, liability and damages allegations in the consolidated complaints, and upon permission of the BATF, and after the entry of an appropriate protective order, Defendant will review its objections and, if appropriate, provide a supplemental response to the non-objectionable portions of this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the SECONDARY MARKET for FIREARMS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague, and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to plaintiffs' definition of the terms "DOCUMENT", "SECONDARY MARKET" and "FIREARM" in that they are overly broad

LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

and subject to numerous interpretations. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 41:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to its prior response in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery), wherein it responded that it has no documents responsive to the non-objectionable portions of this request.

Defendant further responds that it ceased manufacturing and selling handguns in November, 1999. During the time it did manufacture handguns, it manufactured only revolvers. Defendant reiterates that it fully cooperated with all directives and communications from the BATF or other law enforcement agencies, including trace requests. In May, 1996, Defendant was the first firearms manufacturer to establish a direct online computer modem link with the BATF. The computer system, called "Access 2000," enables the BATF to obtain trace information directly from Defendant's database. The system is operational 24 hours a day, 7 days a week and reduces the time it takes the BATF to trace a gun to less that one minute. The BATF does not contact Defendant to conduct the trace and does not advise the Defendant that the trace was conducted. Defendant is not provided with any information from the BATF concerning trace requests.

Prior to implementation of "Access 2000," the BATF either faxed trace requests or made the request over the telephone. Defendant would promptly obtain the requested information from its records and respond to the trace request. When Defendant received a faxed trace request, it provided the requested information on the facsimile form and transmitted the information via facsimile to the BATF. If the request was made over the telephone, Defendant orally provided the information to the BATF. Defendant did not maintain any records pertaining to these trace requests.

28

27

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the SCREENING of potential purchasers of FIREARMS, including, but not limited to, POLICIES regarding the training of purchasers, DISTRIBUTORS, DEALERS, RETAILERS, AND SELLERS of FIREARMS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 42:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery) Exhibit "B" (HR00013-HR00016), which is a copy of Defendant's Distributor/Dealer Agreement.

Defendant further responds that during the time period it manufactured revolvers, it complied with all Federal, State and local laws, regulations and ordinances concerning the sale of revolvers and any other applicable conditions of sale with approved purchasers. Defendant sold its revolvers to established and reputable wholesale distributors who are well known in the firearms industry. Defendant also sold its revolvers to established and reputable firearms purchasing syndicates such as Sports, Inc., National Buying Syndicate (NBS) and World Wide Distributors. Defendant required its wholesale distributors to be federally licensed and to be members in good standing with the National Association of Sporting Goods Wholesalers (NASGW). In addition, prior to a sale to a new wholesale distributor, Defendant obtained a Dunn & Bradstreet report of the wholesale distributor. Defendant did not sell its products to any individual Federal Firearms License holders. Defendant did not sell its products to retail dealers or consumers.

The distributor agreement entered into by Defendant and its wholesale distributors forbade the distributor from selling to any individual or entity which was a mass merchandiser or which owned and operated a store whose principle business was not sporting goods.

Defendant maintained frequent contact with its wholesale distributors and occasionally made personal visits to its wholesale distributors at their facilities. Additionally, Defendant met with its wholesale distributors and firearms purchasing syndicates at trade shows and other industry-sponsored events.

Defendant's requirements and procedures remained essentially the same during the relevant time period it manufactured revolvers. Defendant would not sell any of its products to any entity that did not follow its requirements and procedures.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the use of FIREARMS by INAPPROPRIATE PURCHASERS, including, but not limited to, UNDERAGE PERSONS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad and assumes facts not in evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request is oppressive, harassing and vexatious. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 43:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Documents (Limited Discovery) Exhibit "B" (HR00013-HR00016), which is a copy of Defendant's Distributor/Dealer Agreement. Defendant further responds and refers to Exhibit "H" (HR00206 - HR00207) attached hereto, which are Defendant's current Federal Firearms License and Massachusetts Firearms License.

2020 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 2400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 Defendant further responds that during the time period it manufactured revolvers, it complied with all Federal, State and local laws, regulations and ordinances concerning the sale of revolvers and any other applicable conditions of sale with approved purchasers. Defendant sold its revolvers to established and reputable wholesale distributors who are well known in the firearms industry. Defendant also sold its revolvers to established and reputable firearms purchasing syndicates such as Sports, Inc., National Buying Syndicate (NBS) and World Wide Distributors. Defendant required its wholesale distributors to be federally licensed and to be members in good standing with the National Association of Sporting Goods Wholesalers (NASGW). In addition, prior to a sale to a new wholesale distributor, Defendant obtained a Dunn & Bradstreet report of the wholesale distributor. Defendant did not sell its products to any individual Federal Firearms License holders. Defendant did not sell its products to retail dealers or consumers.

The distributor agreement entered into by Defendant and its wholesale distributors forbade the distributor from selling to any individual or entity which was a mass merchandiser or which owned and operated a store whose principle business was not sporting goods. Defendant maintained frequent contact with its wholesale distributors and occasionally made personal visits to its wholesale distributors at their facilities. Additionally, Defendant met with its wholesale distributors and firearms purchasing syndicates at trade shows and other industry-sponsored events.

Defendant's requirements and procedures remained essentially the same during the relevant time period it manufactured revolvers. Defendant would not sell any of its products to any entity that did not follow its requirements and procedures.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to SHOOTING GAMES.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 44:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly

DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

burdensome, seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence and beyond the scope of the allegations in the complaint. Defendant further objects to plaintiffs' definition of the term "SHOOTING GAMES" in that it is overly broad and subject to numerous interpretations. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request is oppressive, harassing and vexatious.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to YOUR marketing of FIREARMS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague, ambiguous, and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 45:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to its prior response in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery), wherein it responded that it conducts no formal marketing surveys or studies.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY distribution agreement for the sale of FIREARMS, including, but not limited to, ANY agreement to sell transfer, trade, OR supply ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

burdensome and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information. Defendant objects to the extent that this request seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or attorney work product doctrine.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 46:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery) Exhibit "B" (HR00013-HR00016), which is a copy of Defendant's Distributor/Dealer Agreement.

Defendant further responds that during the time period it manufactured revolvers, it complied with all Federal, State and local laws, regulations and ordinances concerning the sale of revolvers and any other applicable conditions of sale with approved purchasers. Defendant sold its revolvers to established and reputable wholesale distributors who are well known in the firearms industry. Defendant also sold its revolvers to established and reputable firearms purchasing syndicates such as Sports, Inc., National Buying Syndicate (NBS) and World Wide Distributors. Defendant required its wholesale distributors to be federally licensed and to be members in good standing with the National Association of Sporting Goods Wholesalers (NASGW). In addition, prior to a sale to a new wholesale distributor, Defendant obtained a Dunn & Bradstreet report of the wholesale distributor. Defendant did not sell its products to any individual Federal Firearms License holders. Defendant did not sell its products to retail dealers or consumers.

The distributor agreement entered into by Defendant and its wholesale distributors forbade the distributor from selling to any individual or entity which was a mass merchandiser or which owned and operated a store whose principle business was not sporting goods.

Defendant maintained frequent contact with its wholesale distributors and occasionally made personal visits to its wholesale distributors at their facilities. Additionally, Defendant met with

SUITE 2400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 its wholesale distributors and firearms purchasing syndicates at trade shows and other industry-sponsored events.

Defendant's requirements and procedures remained essentially the same during the relevant time period it manufactured revolvers. Defendant would not sell any of its products to any entity that did not follow its requirements and procedures.

Defendant further responds that should the plaintiffs decide to limit this request to the factual, liability, and damages allegations in the consolidated complaints and after the entry of an appropriate protective order, Defendant will review its objections and, if appropriate, seasonably supplement its response to this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY design OR production method that would reduce tampering with serial numbers on a FIREARM.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague, ambiguous, and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information. Defendant objects to this request in that it assumes facts not in evidence and is oppressive, harassing and vexatious. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 47:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased manufacturing handguns in November, 1999. Defendant further responds that during the time it did manufacture handguns, it manufactured only revolvers. Defendant responds that it complied with all Federal, State and local laws, rules, regulations and ordinances, to which Plaintiffs have equal access, concerning the serialization of its products.

DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

FURTHER SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO.

<u>47:</u>

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it complied with all Federal, State and local laws, rules, regulations and ordinances, to which Plaintiffs have equal access, concerning the serialization of all its firearms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48:

ALL price lists or other DOCUMENTS which REFLECT the wholesale OR suggested retail price for ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 48:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that should it possess any documentation responsive to the non-objectionable portions of this request, it will be provided upon the entry of an appropriate protective order.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the naming OR the renaming of ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU OR RELATE to the design OR features of ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information. Defendant objects to this request in that it assumes facts not in evidence and is oppressive, harassing and vexatious. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 49:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased designing and manufacturing handguns in November, 1999. Defendant further responds that during the time it manufactured handguns, it manufactured only revolvers.

Defendant further responds and refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections and Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery): (1) Exhibit "A" (HR00001-HR00012), advertisements and promotions; (2) Exhibit "C" (HR00017-HR00032), instruction manuals for Defendant's R92 & R93 Revolvers, Model 949 Western Target Revolver, Sportsman 999 Revolver, and R22, R73, R92, Standard and Ultra Revolvers; (3) Exhibit "E" (HR00034-HR00191), Defendant's catalogs.

Defendant further responds and refers to Exhibit "G" (HR00194-HR00205) attached hereto, which is a copy of Defendant's 2000 catalogue.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to YOUR ability OR YOUR COMPETITORS' ability to manufacture fingerprint resistant FIREARMS OR UNDETECTABLE FIREARMS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague, ambiguous, and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects in that this request is improperly

28

LOS ANGELES, CA 90083

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

addressed to the answering Defendant. Defendant objects to this request in that it assumes facts not in evidence and is oppressive, harassing and vexatious.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 50:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it has no documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY FIREARM with a THREADED BARREL.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 51:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it has no documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the use of ANY ARMOR PIERCING AMMUNITION with ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU OR ANY COMPETITOR.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects in that this request is improperly addressed to the answering Defendant. Defendant objects to this request in that it assumes facts not in evidence and is oppressive, harassing and vexatious.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

ANGELES, CA 90067

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 52:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it has no documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to the CONCEALABILITY OR the barrel length of ANY FIREARM.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 53:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery), which refer to the barrel length of its products: (1) Exhibit "A" (HR00001-HR00012), advertisements; (2) Exhibit "C" (HR00017-HR00032), instruction manuals for Defendant's R92 & R93 Revolvers, Model 949 Western Target Revolver, Sportsman 999 Revolver, and R22, R73, R92, Standard and Ultra Revolvers; and (3) Exhibit "E" (HR00034-HR00191), Defendant's catalogs.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY SELECT FIRE FIREARM manufactured by YOU OR ANY COMPETITOR.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad and seeks

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects in that this request assumes facts not in evidence, is not properly addressed to the answering Defendant and is oppressive, harassing and vexatious.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 54:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it has no documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY SEMI-AUTOMATIC FIREARM manufactured by YOU OR ANY COMPETITOR which can be CONVERTED to an AUTOMATIC FIREARM.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects in that this request assumes facts not in evidence, is not properly addressed to the answering Defendant and is oppressive, harassing and vexatious.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 55:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it has no documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY method of distribution of ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU, including, but not limited to, POLICIES regarding the DISTRIBUTORS, DEALERS, RETAILERS, AND SELLERS to whom you supply ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU AND POLICIES regarding the number of FIREARMS YOU furnish to ANY DISTRIBUTOR, DEALER, RETAILER, OR SELLER.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 56:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to its previous response and related documents produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery) Exhibit "B" (HR00013-HR00016), which is a copy of Defendant's Distributor/Dealer Agreement.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY offer of monetary OR other incentives to DISTRIBUTORS, DEALERS, RETAILERS, OR SELLERS.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 57:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant will produce responsive documents, if any, after the entry of an appropriate protective order.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY return of FIREARMS manufactured by YOU.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague, ambiguous, seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence and beyond the scope of the allegations in the complaint. Defendant further objects to plaintiffs' definition of the term "DOCUMENT" in that it is overly broad and subject to numerous interpretations.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 58:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that ceased manufacturing handguns in November, 1999. Defendant further responds that should the plaintiffs decide to limit this request to the factual, liability, and damages allegations in the consolidated complaints and after the entry of an appropriate protective order, Defendant will review its objections and, if appropriate, seasonably supplement it response to the nonobjectionable portions of this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59:

ALL DOCUMENTS which CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY insurance policy OR indemnification agreement that may provide coverage to YOU for ANY of the claims OR causes of action asserted in this action OR that may provide reimbursement for payments made in defense of this action, including, but not limited to, ANY primary insurance policy, excess insurance policy, AND joint insurance policy.

28

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 59:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that its insurers have taken the position that there is no insurance coverage for defense or indemnification of this consolidated action.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60:

ALL DOCUMENTS that REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY sale, trade, OR transfer of ANY FIREARM OR FIREARM MAGAZINE manufactured by YOU to ANY federal, state, OR local law enforcement agency.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague and ambiguous, unduly burdensome and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks information that may be protected by the attorney-client or attorney work product privileges.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 60:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it has no documents responsive to the non-objectionable portions of this request.

2029 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 2400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90087

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 61:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE OR are included in the packaging for ANY FIREARM manufactured by YOU, including, but not limited to, ANY instruction manual, warning, box, or insert.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 61:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, vague and ambiguous. Defendant further objects to plaintiffs' definition of the terms "DOCUMENT" and "FIREARM" in that they are overly broad and subject to numerous interpretations.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 61:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant responds that it ceased manufacturing handguns in November, 1999. During the time it did manufacture handguns, it manufactured only revolvers. Defendant refers to the documents previously produced in Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.'s Objections And Responses To Plaintiffs' First Set of Requests for Production of Documents (Limited Discovery): (1) Exhibit "C"(HR00017-HR00032), instruction manuals for Defendant's R92 & R93 Revolvers, Model 949 Western Target Revolver, Sportsman 999 Revolver, and R22, R73, R92, Standard and Ultra Revolvers, each including instructions and warnings regarding the safe and proper use, handling, cleaning and storage of the subject revolver; and (2) Exhibit "D" (HR00033), Defendant's "Firearms Safety Program Responsibility Contract" which promotes understanding between parents and children regarding the safe treatment, handling and storage of firearms.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62:

ALL DOCUMENTS which CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY federal FIREARMS license AND ANY proof of federal FIREARMS license held by YOU OR ANY DEALER, DISTRIBUTOR, RETAILER, or SELLER who sells FIREARMS manufactured by YOU.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

- 57 -

LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to this request to the extent it is not properly directed to the answering Defendant and seeks information and documents that are publicly available or equally accessible to the plaintiffs.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62:

Defendant further objects to this request to the extent it seeks the disclosure of documents which may infringe upon the rights of third-parties.

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendants responds and refers to Exhibit "H" (HR00206) attached hereto, which are Defendant's current Federal Firearms License and Massachusetts Firearms License.

FURTHER SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 62:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers the Plaintiff to the documents Bates numbered HR 20000 through HR 26786, which are copies of H&R 1871, Inc.'s customers' FFLs.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 63:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to ANY COMMUNICATIONS between YOU and ANY PERSON relating to compliance OR non-compliance with ANY law, regulation, statute, OR ordinance relating to FIREARM sales, manufacture, OR distribution.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 63:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant objects to the extent this request seeks

information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and/or attorney work product doctrine. Defendant further objects to the extent that this request is oppressive, harassing and vexatious.

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 63:

Without waiving and/or limiting the foregoing objections, Defendant refers to Exhibit "H" (HR00206 - HR00207) attached hereto, which are Defendant's current Federal Firearms License and Massachusetts Firearms License.

Defendant further responds that it ceased manufacturing and selling handguns in November, 1999. During the time it did manufacture handguns, it manufactured only revolvers. Defendant reiterates that it fully cooperates with all directives and communications from the BATF or other law enforcement agencies, including trace requests. In May, 1996, Defendant was the first firearms manufacturer to establish a direct online computer modem link with the BATF. The computer system, called "Access 2000," enables the BATF to obtain trace information directly from Defendant's database. The system is operational 24 hours a day, 7 days a week and reduces the time it takes the BATF to trace a gun to less that one minute. The BATF does not contact Defendant to conduct the trace and does not advise the Defendant that the trace was conducted. Defendant is not provided with any information from the BATF concerning trace requests.

Prior to implementation of "Access 2000," the BATF either faxed trace requests or made the request over the telephone. Defendant would promptly obtain the requested information from its records and respond to the trace request. When Defendant received a faxed trace request, it provided the requested information on the facsimile form and transmitted the information via facsimile to the BATF. If the request was made over the telephone, Defendant orally provided the information to the BATF. Defendant did not maintain any records pertaining to these trace requests.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

25

26

27

28

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 64:

ALL DOCUMENTS that CONSTITUTE, REFLECT, REFER to, OR RELATE to
ANY of YOUR year-end financial statements, audited OR unaudited, including, but not limited
to, ANY statement of assets and liabilities.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 64:

Defendant incorporates by reference all General Objections as though fully set forth herein. Defendant objects to this request on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome and seeks irrelevant information which is not reasonably calculated to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant further objects to the extent this request seeks privileged trade secrets and/or commercially sensitive information and/or information that may be protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product.

Dated: October 1, 2001

AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER & FELD, L.L.P. R.D. Kirwan

Robert N. Tafoya

RENZULLI & RUTHERFORD, L.L.P.

John F. Renzulli John J. McCarthy, III

By Kringi W. Takya/KUP for Robert N. Tafova

Attorneys for Defendant H&R 1871, Inc.

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 2029 Century Park East, #2600, Los Angeles, California 90067. On October 1, 2001, I served the foregoing document(s) described as:

DEFENDANT H&R 1871, INC.'S FURTHER SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFFS' FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS (Dated October 1, 2001)

on interested parties in this action by placing \boxtimes the original (to plaintiffs), and \boxtimes true copy(ies) (other parties) thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes \boxtimes as follows: \boxtimes as stated on the attached mailing list:

[SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST]

BY MAIL (C.C.P. § 1013(a)) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing with the U.S. postal service. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. The envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date following ordinary business practices.

(STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on October 1, 2001, at Los Angeles, California.

SO	PHI	[Δ]	f 1	F	Œ
		_			

[Print Name Of Person Executing Proof]

Signaturel

2029 CENTURY PARK EAST

OS ANGELES, CA 90067

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

OCUMENT PREPARE 27 N RECYCLED PAPER 28

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et. al. v. ARCADIA MACHINE & TOOL, et. al.

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4095 San Francisco Superior Court Case No. 303753 Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 210894 Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC214794

FIREARMS CASES

SERVICE LIST

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

Owen J. Clements, Chief of Special Litigation San Francisco City Attorney's Office Fox Plaza 1390 Market Street, 6th Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408

Tel: (415) 554-3800 Fax: (415) 554-3837

Robert J. Nelson*

LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

275 Battery Street, 38th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-9339

Tel: (415) 956-1000 Fax: (415) 956-1008

Paulina do Amaral

LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

780 Third Ave., 48th Fl New York, NY 10017 Tel: (212) 355-9500 Fax: (212) 355-9592

Alan M. Caplan

BUSHNELL, CAPLAN & FIELDING, LLP

221 Pine Street, Suite 600

San Francisco, California 94104-2715

Tel: (415) 217-3800 Fax: (415) 217-3820

Charles McCue

LAW OFFICES OF CHARLES McCUE

600 West Broadway, Suite 930 San Diego, California 92101

Tel: (619) 260-0636 Fax: (619) 260-0018 * Co-Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs

Samuel L. Jackson, Sacramento City Attorney 980 9th Street 10th Floor

Sacramento, California 95814

Tel: (916) 264-5346 Fax: (916) 264-7455

Brian J. Siebel

BRADY CENTER TO PREVENT HANDGUN VIOLENCE

Legal Action Project 1250 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 802 Washington, D.C. 20005

Tel: (202) 289-7319 Fax: (202) 408-9748

Michael J. Dowd*

MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD HYNES & LERACH, LLP

600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, California 92101

Tel: (619) 231-1058 Fax: (619) 231-7423

Ex Kano S. Sams II

MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD HYNES & LERACH, LLP

100 Pine Street; Suite 2600 San Francisco, California 94111

Tel: (415) 288-4545 Fax: (415) 288-4534

Kent Jonas

THELEN REID & PRIEST, LLP

101 Second Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, California 94105-3601

Tel: (415) 371-1200 Fax: (415) 371-1211

Shawn M. Hays

LAW OFFICE OF SHAWN M. HAYS

3555 Fourth Avenue

San Diego, California 92103

Tel: (619) 298-1881 Fax: (619) 298-1661

Richard S. Lewis

COHEN MILSTEIN HAUSFIELD & TOLL, P.L.L.C.

1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 500

Washington, D.C. 20005 Tel: (202) 408-4600 Fax: (202) 408-4699 * Co-Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs

Steven J. Toll

COHEN MILSTEIN HAUSFELD & TOLL, P.L.L.C.

999 Third Street, Suite 3600

Seattle, WA 98104

Tel: (206) 521-0080

Fax: (206) 521-0166

Matthew J. Orebic, Deputy City Attorney Berkeley City Attorney's Office 2180 Milvia Street, Fourth Floor Berkeley, California 94704

Tel:

(510) 981-6950

Fax: (510) 981-6960

David Kairys 1719 North Broad Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19122 Tel: (215) 204-8959

R. Manuel Fortes Oakland City Attorney's Office One Frank Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor Oakland, California 94612

Tel:

(510) 238-3601

Fax: (510) 238-6500

Thomas F. Casey III San Mateo County Counsel 400 County Center Redwood City, California 94063

Tel: (650) 363-4760 Fax: (650) 363-4034

Kristen J. Thorsness, Deputy County Counsel Office of Alameda County Counsel 1221 Oak Street, Room 463 Oakland, California 94612-4296

Tel: (510) 272-6700 Fax: (510) 272-5020

Michael S. Lawson
East Palo Alto City Attorney
THOMPSON, LAWSON LLP
1600 Broadway, Suite 250
Oakland, California 94612

Tel: (510) 835-1600 Fax: (510) 835-2077

Don Kass Los Angeles City Attorney's Office 200 North Main Street 1600 City Hall East Los Angeles, California 90012

Tel: (213) 485-4515 Fax: (213) 847-3014

Celia Francisco
Compton City Attorney's Office
P.O. Box 5118
205 South Willowbrook Avenue
Compton, California 90220

Tel: (310) 605-5582 Fax: (310) 763-0895

Charles E. Dickerson, III Inglewood City Attorney One Manchester Blvd., Suite 860 Inglewood, California 90301

Tel: (310) 412-5372 Fax: (310) 412-8865

Sayre Weaver Educational Fund to Stop Gun Violence 1023 15th Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005

Tel: (562) 266-1831 Fax: (562) 266-1731

Lawrence Lee Hafetz Los Angeles County Counsel 500 West Temple Street, Suite 648 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Tel: (213) 974-1876 Fax: (213) 626-2105

Susanne Caballero

McCUTCHEON, DOYLE, BROWN & ENERSEN, LLP

Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067

Tel: (415) 393-2200 Fax: (415) 393-2286

Theresa J. Fuentes

COOLEY GODWARD LLP

One Maritime Plaza, 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111

Tel: (415) 693-2000 Fax: (415) 951-3699

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS

Harold Mayberry, Jr.

MAYBERRY LAW FIRM

2010 Corporate Ridge, Seventh Floor

McLean, Virginia 22102 Tel: (703) 714-1554

Fax: (703) 783-8532

Susan Caldwell*

KOLETSKY, MANCINI, FELDMAN & MORROW

3460 Wilshire Boulevard, 8th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010-2228

Tel: (213) 427-2350 Fax: (213) 427-2366

Gregory D. Bistline BISTLINE & COHOON

2500 E. Colorado Blvd., Suite 340 Pasadena, California 91107-3766

Tel: (626) 440-0591 Fax: (626) 440-0691

Chuck Michel

TRUTANICH-MICHEL

407 No. Harbor Boulevard San Pedro, California 90731

Tel: (310) 548-0410 Fax: (310) 548-4813

Lawrence S. Greenwald

GORDON, FEINBLATT, ROTHMAN, HOFFBERGER & HOLLANDER, LLC

The Garret Building 223 East Redwood Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Tel: (410) 576-4000 Fax: (410) 576-4246

Craig Livingston

SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS LLP

601 California Street, Suite 1200 San Francisco, California 94108-2817

Tel: (415) 364-6700 Fax: (415) 364-6766 Attorneys for American Shooting Sports Council, Inc.

Attorneys for American Shooting Sports Council, Inc., National Shooting Sports Foundation, Inc. and Sporting Arms and Ammunition Manufacturers' Institute, Inc.

(* Liaison Counsel for Defendant Trade Associations)

Attorneys for Andrews Sporting Goods, Inc. dba Turners Outdoorsman and S.G. Distributing Co.

Attorneys for B&B Group, Inc.

Attorneys for Beretta U.S.A. Corp. and Fabbrica d'Armi Pietro Beretta S.p.A.

Attorneys for Beretta U.S.A. Corp. and Fabbrica d'Armi Pietro Beretta S.p.A.

Jeff G. Harmeyer.

MCATEE HARMEYER LLP

401 West "A" Street, Suite 1850

San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 231-9800

(619) 234-3800 Fax:

William M. Griffin III

FRIDAY, ELDREDGE & CLARK

2000 Regions Center 400 West Capitol

Little Rock, Arkansas 72201-3493

(501) 376-2011 (501) 376-2147 Fax:

Attorneys for Beretta U.S.A. Corp. and Fabbrica d'Armi Pietro Beretta S.p.A.

Attorneys for Browning Arms Company

Michael C. Hewitt

BRUINSMA & HEWITT

25431 Cabot Road

Laguna Hills, California 92653

Tel:

(949) 597-1551 (949) 597-1661 Tel:

Robert Wright

WRIGHT & L'ESTRANGE

701 "B" Street, Suite 1550 San Diego, California 92101

Tel:

(619) 231-4844 Fax: (619) 231-6710

Stephen H. Zell

MADORY, ZELL & PLEISS
Meredith Financial Centre
17822 East 17th Street, Suite 205
Tustin, California 92780-2183

Tel: (714) 832-3772 Fax: (714) 832-7163

E. Gordon Haesloop

BARTLETT, MCDONOUGH, BASTONE

& MONAGHAN LLP

300 Old Country Road, Suite 301

Mineola, New York 11501

Tel:

(516) 877-2900

Fax:

(516) 877-0732

Attorneys for Bryco Arms and

B.L. Jennings, Inc.

Attorneys for Colts Manufacturing

Company, Inc.

Attorneys for Davis Industries

Attorneys for Ellett Brothers

Steven A. Silver

LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN A. SILVER

1077 W. Morton Avenue, Suite C Porterville, California 93257

Tel: (559) 782-1552 Fax: (559) 782-0364

Burton C. Jacobson Attorney at Law 424 South Beverly Drive Beverly Hills, California 90212-4414

Tel: (310) 553-8533 Fax: (310) 286-2819

Ian Feldman

SCHRIEFFER NAKASHIMA & DOWNEY LLP

Eastland Tower 100 N. Barranca Avenue, Suite 1100 West Covina, California 91791

Tel: (626) 858-2444 Fax: (626) 974-8403

Peter M. Downey

SCHRIEFFER NAKASHIMA & DOWNEY LLP

101 W. Broadway, Suite 600 San Diego, California 92101

Tel: (619) 234-0281 Fax: (619) 234-9060

Charles L. Coleman III

HOLLAND & KNIGHT, LLP

50 California Street, 28th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4624

Tel: (415) 743-6900 Fax: (415) 743-6910

Raymond J. Liddy P.O. Box 131089

Carlsbad, California 92013 Tel: (760) 602-9890 Fax: (760) 602-9894

Timothy G. Atwood 237 Canal Street Shelton, CT 06484

Tel: (203) 924-4464 Fax: (203) 924-1359 Attorney for Excel Industries, Inc.

Attorneys for Hawthorne Distributors and

B&B Group, Inc.

Attorneys for Hawthorne Distributors

Attorneys for Hawthorne Distributors

Attorneys for Heckler & Koch, Inc.

Attorneys for International Armament Corporation dba Interarms Industries, Inc., Charter Arms, Inc.

Attorneys for International Armament Corporation dba Interarms Industries, Inc., Charter Arms, Inc. John J. McCarthy

s 4 1 19

RENZULLI & RUTHERFORD, LLP 300 East 42nd Street

New York, N.Y. 10017

(212) 599-5533 Tel:

(212) 599-5162 Fax:

Attorneys for Kel-Tec CNC Industries, Inc.; Hi-Point Firearms, H&R 1871, Inc. and Glock, Inc.

Attorneys for MKS Supply, Southern Ohio

Christopher Lebsock

PREUSS, SHANAGHER, ZVOLEFF &

ZIMMER LLP

225 Bush Street, 15th Floor San Francisco, California 94104

Tel: (415) 397-1730 (415) 397-1735 Fax:

Attorneys for MKS Supply

Gun Distribution, Ellett Brothers

Scott L. Braum

CHERNESKY, HEYMAN & KRESS

10 Courthouse Plaza, S.W. #1100

Dayton, Ohio 45401 (937) 449-2800 Tel:

(937) 449-2821 Fax:

Frank Sandelmann

GORRY, MEYER & RUDD

2029 Century Park East, #400 Los Angeles, California 90067

(310) 277-5967 Tel: (310) 277-5968 Fax:

Douglas E. Kliever

101 "D" Street, S.E., 2nd Floor

Washington, DC 20003 Tel: (202) 548-0300 (202) 548-0307 Fax:

Wendy E. Schultz

LYNBERG & WATKINS, P.C. 888 So. Figueroa Street, 16th Floor Los Angeles, California 900178-5465

(213) 624-8700 Tel: (213) 892-2763 Fax:

Bradley T. Beckman

BECKMAN & ASSOCIATES

Two Penn Center Plaza, Suite 910

Philadelphia, PA 19102 Tel: (215) 569-3096 (215) 569-8769 Fax:

Attorneys for National Gun Sales

Attorneys for National Shooting Sports Foundation, Inc., and Sporting Arms and Ammunition Manufacturers' Institute, Inc.

Attorneys for Navegar, Inc.

Attorneys for North American Arms, Inc.

John A. Gladych

GLADYCH & ASSOCIATES, INC.

450 Newport Center Drive, Suite 530 Newport Beach, CA 92660

Tel: Fax:

T X T **

(949) 717-4977 (949) 717-4982

Christina Fichera Dente

BUDD, LARNER, GROSS, ROSENBAUM, GREENBERG & SADE

150 J.F.K. Parkway, Third Floor Short Hills, New Jersey 07078

(973) 379-4800 Tel: Fax: (973) 379-7734

Michael J. Zomcik

TARICS & CARRINGTON, P.C.

5005 Riverway, Suite 500 Houston, Texas 77056

Tel: (713) 629-4777 Fax: (713) 227-0701

Denis Moriarity

HAIGHT, BROWN & BONESTEEL LLP

6080 Center Drive, Suite 800 Los Angeles, California 90045

(310) 215-7100 Fax: (310) 215-7300

Wayne A. Wolff*

SEĎGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD

One Embarcadero Center, 16th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3765

(415) 781-7900 Fax: (415) 781-2635

Robert M. Anderson

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER, LLP

1055 West Seventh Street, Suite 2700 Los Angeles, California 90017-2503

(213) 624-3044 Fax: (213) 624-8060

Robert L. Joyce

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER 150 East 42nd Street

New York, New York 19917

(212) 490-3000 Tel: Fax: (212) 490-3038

Attorneys for North American Arms, Inc.

Attorneys for North American Arms, Inc., Phoenix Arms, Forjas Taurus S.A. and Taurus International Manufacturing, Inc.

Attorneys for Phoenix Arms

Attorneys for Phoenix Arms, Forjas Taurus S.A. and Taurus International

Manufacturing, Inc.

Attorneys for RSR Wholesale Guns

(*Liaison Counsel for Defendant

Distributors)

Attorneys for Sigarms, Inc.

Attorneys for Sigarms, Inc.

Edwin W. Green

1016

ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE & MALLORY LLP

515 South Figueroa Street

Seventh Floor

Los Angeles, California 90071

(213) 622-5555 (213) 620-8816 Fax:

Jeff Nelson

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON, LLP 1200 Main Street, 27th Floor

Kansas City, Missouri 64105-2118

(816) 474-6550 Tel: Fax: (816) 421-5547

Phillip Hudson III

LUCÎO, BRONSTEIN, GARBETT & STIPHANY

Brickell Bayview Centre, Suite 3100

80 Southwest Eighth Street Miami, FL 33130

Tel: (305) 536-8868 (305) 536-4722 Fax:

Lawrence J. Kouns*

LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & & SCRIPPS LLP

600 West Broadway, Suite 2600 San Diego, California 92101

(619) 236-1414 Fax: (619) 645-5359

James B. Vogts*

WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON

225 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2800

Chicago, IL 60606

Tel: (312) 201-2000 Fax: (312) 201-2555

Timothy A. Bumann

BUDD LARNER GROSS ROSENBAUM **GREENBERG & SADE**

127 Peachtree Street, N.E.

Atlanta, Georgia 30303 (404) 688-3000 Tel: (404) 688-0888 Fax:

Attorneys for Smith & Wesson Corp.

Attorneys for Smith & Wesson Corp.

Attorneys for Southern Ohio Gun **Distributors**

Attorneys for Sturm, Ruger & Company, Inc.

(* Liaison Counsel for Defendant Manufacturers)

Attorneys for Sturm, Ruger & Company, Inc.

(* Liaison Counsel for Defendant Manufacturers)

Attorneys for Taurus International Manufacturing, Inc. and Forjas Taurus S.A. Christina Dente BUDD, LARNER GROSS, ROSENBAUM, GREEBERG & SADE

150 John F. Kennedy Parkway, CN 1000 Short Hills, New Jersey 07078

Tel: (973) 379-4800 Fax: (973) 379-7734

a 85 8 P

James Leonard Crew 18 Crow Canyon Court, Suite 380 San Ramon, California 94583-1669

Tel: (925) 831-0834 Fax: (925) 831-8483

Mary P. Sullivan **BOWLES & VERNA**

2121 North California Blvd, Suite 875

Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Tel: (925) 935-3300 Fax: (925) 935-0371

Roger Lewis

GÖLDBERG, KOHN, BELL, BLACK, ROSENBLOOM & MORITZ, LTD.

55 East Monroe St., Suite 3700 Chicago, IL 60603-5802 Tel: (312) 201-4000

Fax: (312) 332-2196

Attorneys for Taurus International Manufacturing, Inc. and Forjas Taurus S.A.

Attorneys for Trader Sports, Inc.

Specially Appearing for Carl Walther GmbH

Specially Appearing for Carl Walther GmbH