RECEIVED DOT 1 0 ZOON

	(
1	MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD HYNES & LERACH LLP	- 2017 0 2000
2	WILLIAM S. LERACH (68581) FRANK J. JANECEK, JR. (156306)	
3	MICHAEL J. DOWD (135628) STEPHEN P. POLAPINK (177489)	
4	JONAH H. GOLDSTEIN (193777) 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058	
6	- and - PATRICK J. COUGHLIN (111070)	
7	EX KANO S. SAMS II (192936) 100 Pine Street, Suite 2600	
8	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415/288-4545	
9	Attorneys for The People of the State of Californi	a, et al.
10	[Additional counsel appear on signature page.]	
11	CUMEDIAD CAUDA	
12 13	SUPERIOR COURT COUNTY OF	
14	COUNTION	SAN DIEGO
15	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION) PROCEEDING NO. 4095
16	FIREARM CASE) }
17	Including actions:))
18	People, et al. v. Arcadia Machine & Tool, Inc., et al.) San Francisco Superior Court No. 303753
19	People, et al. v. Arcadia Machine & Tool, Inc.,)) Los Angeles Superior Court No. BC210894
20	et al.)
21	People, et al. v. Arcadia Machine & Tool, Inc., et al.) Los Angeles Superior Court No. BC214794
22)
23	PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTI KNOWLEDGEABLE CORPORATE DESIGNE	EE AND DOCUMENTS FROM DEFENDANT
24	SPORTING ARMS AND AMMUNITION	MANUFACTURERS' INSTITUTE, INC.
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS				
2			Pa	σe	
3					
4	I.	Introdu	ction	1	
5	II.	Argum	ent	2	
6		A.	SAAMI Has Failed to Produce a Knowledgeable Corporate Designee	2	
7		B.	SAAMI Has Failed to Produce Existing Documents Demonstrating its Contacts with the State of California	4	
8			5		
9	111.	Conclusion	5		
10					
11					
12					
١3					
۱4					
15					
16					
17					
8۱					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
1					

I. Introduction

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Characterizing plaintiffs' discovery requests as "border[ing] on the trivial" and plaintiffs' attempts to obtain information responsive to these requests as "inane," the Sporting Arms and Ammunition Manufacturers' Institute, Inc. ("SAAMI") continues to refuse to provide information relevant to the issue of personal jurisdiction. Although there are numerous deficiencies in SAAMI's responses to plaintiffs' discovery requests, plaintiffs have brought only the three most egregious before this court: (1) SAAMI's failure to produce a knowledgeable corporate designee; (2) SAAMI's failure to provide existing documents responsive to plaintiffs' Second Set of Requests of Production of Documents, Request Number 23; and (3) SAAMI's failure to provide existing documents responsive to plaintiffs' Second Set of Requests of Production of Documents, Request Number 34. SAAMI admits that its corporate designee, Robert Delfay, lacked information crucial to the issue of jurisdiction and yet maintains that such lack of knowledge does not require the production of an individual with knowledge of such information. Additionally, SAAMI acknowledges that it has maintained contacts with manufacturers and a law firm in California for a previous lawsuit in the state and yet mistakenly contends that activities "in California related to Proposition 65 lead warnings are unrelated to plaintiffs' cause of action" and are therefore unrelated to the issue of jurisdiction. SAAMI's Opposition to Motion to Compel ("SAAMI's Opp."), at 9. As a result, SAAMI refused to produce such information to the two document requests which are the subject of this motion to compel. SAAMI fails to realize, however, that if its contacts with California are substantial, continuous and systematic, "it is not necessary that the specific cause of action alleged be connected with the defendant's business relationship to the forum." Vons Companies, Inc. v. Seabest Foods, Inc., 14 Cal. 4th 434, 445-46 (1996) (citation omitted). Because SAAMI's refusal to provide a more knowledgeable corporate designee and existing information responsive to plaintiffs' two document requests is unjustified, SAAMI should be compelled to provide such information.

26

27

28

As noted above, plaintiffs move on only two requests: Numbers 23 and 34 from plaintiffs' Second Set of Requests. In its response to these two requests, SAAMI incorporated responses it made to other requests and, in fairness to SAAMI, plaintiffs included those responses to fully incorporate SAAMI's response to those two requests.

II. Argument

A. SAAMI Has Failed to Produce a Knowledgeable Corporate Designee

Despite SAAMI's contention that plaintiffs move to compel regarding only a few areas of inquiry for which Mr. Delfay lacked knowledge, there are actually numerous instances for which he lacked information relevant to the issue of jurisdiction:

- when SAAMI's California members became members;
- whether SAAMI's pamphlets were sent to, or sold in, California;
- the approximate number of SAAMI pamphlets that were sent to, or sold in, California;
- whether SAAMI placed advertisements in publications distributed in California;
- information regarding SAAMI's past California membership;
- whether SAAMI's videotapes were sent to, or sold in, California; and
- whether SAAMI's standards apply to manufacturers including those in California who are not members of SAAMI.

See Plaintiffs' Separate Statement in Support of Motion to Compel.

These issues are vital to the area of personal jurisdiction. See Sims v. Nat'l Eng'g Co., 221 Cal. App. 2d 511, 514 (1963) (holding that sales which occur in the forum state can serve as the basis for the exercise of jurisdiction); A.R. Indus., Inc. v. Superior Court, 268 Cal. App. 2d 328, 336 (1968) (holding that the dissemination of advertisements can serve as a basis for the exercise of jurisdiction); Jeter v. Austin Trailer Equip. Co., 122 Cal. App. 2d 376, 389 (1953) (holding that an entity is subject to the jurisdiction of California courts if it conducts systematic solicitation in the state). SAAMI's continued refusal to provide a corporate designee with knowledge of these areas of inquiry relevant to jurisdiction is unjustified.

SAAMI repeatedly contends that it should not be compelled to produce another deponent because it does not have an "all knowing witness." Plaintiffs, however, are merely seeking to depose an individual with knowledge of information relevant to jurisdiction. If Mr. Delfay does not have knowledge of such information, then SAAMI is required to produce someone who does. *McKesson*

Corp. v. Islamic Republic of Iran, 185 F.R.D. 70, 79 (D.D.C. 1999). For instance, someone within the organization must know whether certain SAAMI publications and videotapes were sent to California and, if so, the approximate numbers that were sent. Presumably, if SAAMI sold these publications and videotapes, then SAAMI would have purchase or sale orders available to which a SAAMI employee could refer. Similarly, someone within the organization must know about the publications in which SAAMI placed advertisements. If Mr. Delfay was not involved with SAAMI's advertisements or the distribution of SAAMI's publications to California, then SAAMI should be required to produce whoever was responsible for these tasks. In re Air Crash Disaster at Detroit Metro, Airport, 130 F.R.D. 627 (E.D. Mich. 1989).

Moreover, SAAMI failed to respond to plaintiffs' contention that SAAMI cannot substitute its obligation to produce a knowledgeable corporate designee with written discovery. As one court aptly noted with respect to F.R.C.P. 30(b)(6) depositions:

Defendant suggests that it was sufficient to supply the information [sought during the deposition] in its written answer to interrogatory no. 30. Nothing in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure gives a party the right to not respond or inadequately respond to a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice or subpoena request and elect to supply the answers in a written response to an interrogatory. An attempt to so limit a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition is not warranted. Because of its nature, the deposition process provides a means to obtain more complete information and is, therefore, favored. Even if the Court were to consider approving such a procedure, defendant must first obtain a protective order pursuant to Rule 26(c), Fed. R. Civ. P., which it has not done. Nothing in the instant case convinces the Court that defendant's actions in this case were justified.

Marker v. Union Fidelity Life Ins. Co., 125 F.R.D. 121, 126 (M.D.N.C. 1989).

Finally, despite SAAMI's assertion, it has not provided all the information plaintiffs seek through this motion.³ Plaintiffs specifically enumerated the categories of information sought within their deposition notice. *See* Declaration of Ex Kano S. Sams II in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel ("Sams Decl."), Ex. 2. Additionally, despite SAAMI's claim, these categories were indeed

California courts have held that "[b]ecause of the similarity of California and federal discovery law, federal decisions have historically been considered persuasive absent contrary California decisions." *Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Superior Court*, 10 Cal. App. 4th 1282, 1288 (1992).

It is important to note that SAAMI contends that, with the exception of the date Weatherby, Inc. joined as a member of SAAMI, "SAAMI has provided plaintiffs all available information on the topics on which they seek additional depositions." SAAMI's Opp., at 4. This statement—which is incorrect—directly undermines its contention that plaintiffs purportedly refused its offer to provide such information through written discovery.

5

7

1011

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

2728

referenced in numerous lengthy meet-and-confer letters.⁴ Because SAAMI's refusal to provide a deponent with knowledge of this relevant information is unjustified, plaintiffs' motion to compel a more knowledgeable corporate designee should be granted.⁵

B. SAAMI Has Failed to Produce Existing Documents Demonstrating its Contacts with the State of California

Although SAAMI acknowledges that it communicated and maintained contacts with gun manufacturers and other entities in California as an agent for the manufacturers in previous California litigation, it contends that such information is unrelated to the issue of jurisdiction. SAAMI asserts that "[a]ctivities in California related to Proposition 65 lead warnings are unrelated to plaintiffs' cause of action and, hence, could not be the basis for specific jurisdiction." SAAMI's Opp., at 9. SAAMI fails to acknowledge that personal jurisdiction may be either general or specific and, in the case of general jurisdiction, "it is not necessary that the specific cause of action alleged be connected with the defendant's business relationship to the forum." *Vons*, 14 Cal. 4th at 445 (citation omitted). Thus, if SAAMI has maintained substantial, continuous and systematic relationships with the gun manufacturers and other entities in California with which it dealt in the

See Sams Decl., Ex. 7 ("Mr. Delfay, however had little knowledge, if any, about various topics encompassed in our deposition notice, including, but not limited to, the following topics: Shot Business; The relationship with or information from Peterson Publishing and Reed Exhibition; The relationship with other entities in California with which the NSSF or SAAMI has conducted business; NSSF and SAAMI publications that were distributed in California including who received such publications and the number of copies distributed in California; California membership; California website hits"). See also Sams Decl., Ex. 9 and 14.

SAAMI misunderstands the importance of Weatherby, Inc.'s membership in SAAMI as an issue relevant to jurisdiction. SAAMI contends that since Weatherby, Inc. produces long-guns rather than handguns and since Weatherby, Inc. is not named as a defendant, information regarding Weatherby, Inc. is not relevant to the issue of jurisdiction. The fact that Weatherby, Inc. may produce semi-automatic shotguns rather than handguns is of no importance for the issue of determining jurisdiction – any contacts which SAAMI maintained with Weatherby, Inc., as an entity located in California, can serve as the basis for the exercise of personal jurisdiction over SAAMI. Vons, 14 Cal. 4th at 445. Additionally, the fact that Weatherby, Inc. is not named as a defendant is also of no significance for purposes of jurisdiction. If SAAMI's position were correct, then any plaintiff seeking to assert jurisdiction over a foreign corporation would have to name as defendants every person and entity with whom the corporation may have done business before the plaintiffs would be entitled to jurisdictional discovery relating to such persons and entities. Such is not the case. Finally, information relating to when Weatherby, Inc. became a member of SAAMI is important for jurisdiction since a court is more likely to find jurisdiction over corporations with longstanding business relationships with entities in the forum state than those with only recent relationships, as long-standing relationships are more likely to be deemed "substantial ... continuous and systematic." *Id.* (citation omitted).

previous litigation, such business relationships can serve as the basis for the exercise of personal 2 jurisdiction. Buckeye Broiler Co. v. Superior Court, 71 Cal. 2d 893, 904 (1969). Moreover, since 3 SAMMI served as an agent for gun manufacturers in the previous litigation, California courts also 4 could conceivably exercise specific jurisdiction over SAMMI if the violations alleged in the previous 5 action relate to the violations which plaintiffs allege in this action. Because SAAMI has failed to produce such documents, however, plaintiffs are unable to make this determination. Accordingly, 6 SAAMI should be compelled to provide such information since it is responsive to plaintiffs' requests 7 8 and relevant to the issue of personal jurisdiction. 9 III. Conclusion For the foregoing reasons, the Court should grant plaintiffs' motion in its entirety. 10 11 DATED: October 6, 2000 LOUISE H. RENNE San Francisco City Attorney 12 OWEN J. CLEMENTS Chief of Special Litigation 13 D. CAMERON BAKER INGRID M. EVANS 14 Deputy City Attorneys 1390 Market Street, 6th Floor 15 San Francisco, CA 94102-5408 Telephone: 415/554-3800 16 JAMES K. HAHN 17 City Attorney CARMEL SELLA 18 Special Asst. City Attorney DON KASS 19 Deputy City Attorney MARK FRANCIS BURTON 20 Deputy City Attorney 200 N. Main Street 21 1600 City Hall East Los Angeles, CA 90012 22 Telephone: 213/485-4515 23 LLOYD W. PELLMAN Los Angeles County Counsel 24 LAWRENCE LEE HAFETZ Senior Deputy County Counsel 25 500 West Temple Street, Suite 648 Los Angeles, CA 90012 26 Telephone: 213/974-1876 28

27

1	MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD
2	HYNES & LERACH LLP WILLIAM S. LERACH
3	FRANK J. JANECEK, JR. MICHAEL J. DOWD
4	STEPHEN P. POLAPINK JONAH H. GOLDSTEIN
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058
6	MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD
7	HYNES & LERACH LLP PATRICK J. COUGHLIN
8	EX KANO S. SAMS II
9	Man A
10	EX KANOS. SAMS
11	
12	100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415/288-4545
13	•
14	LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
15	RICHARD M. HEIMANN ROBERT J. NELSON
16	BARRY R. HIMMELSTEIN PIERCE GORE
17	MICHAEL W. SOBOL 275 Battery Street, 30th Floor
18	San Francisco, CA 94111-9333 Telephone: 415/956-1000
19	SAMUEL L. JACKSON
20	Sacramento City Attorney GLORIA ZARCO
21	Deputy City Attorney 980 9th Street, 10th Floor
22	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: 916/264-5346
23	MANUEL ALBUQUERQUE
24	Berkeley City Attorney MATTHEW J. OREBIC Deputy City Attorney
25	1947 Center Street, 1st Floor Berkeley, CA 94704
26	Dolkoley, CA 74704
27	
28	

- 6 -

1 THOMAS F. CASEY, III San Mateo County Counsel 2 BRENDA B. CARLSON Deputy County Counsel 3 Office of the County Counsel 400 County Center 4 Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone: 650/363-4760 5 RICHARD E. WINNIE 6 Alameda County Counsel KRISTEN J. THORSNESS 7 Deputy County Counsel Office of Alameda County Counsel 8 1221 Oak Street, Room 463 Oakland, CA 94612-4296 9 Telephone: 510/272-6700 10 JAYNE W. WILLIAMS Oakland City Attorney 11 RANDOLPH W. HALL Assistant City Attorney 12 JOYCE M. HICKS R. MANUEL FORTES 13 J. PATRICK TANG Deputy City Attorneys 14 One Frank Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor Oakland, CA 94612 15 Telephone: 510/238-3601 16 THOMPSON, LAWSON LLP MICHAEL S. LAWSON 17 East Palo Alto City Attorney 1600 Broadway, Suite 250 18 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: 510/835-1600 19 LEGRAND H. CLEGG II 20 Compton City Attorney CELIA FRANCISCO 21 Deputy City Attorney P.O. Box 5118 22 205 South Willowbrook Avenue Compton, CA 90200 23 Telephone: 310/605-5582 24 CHARLES E. DICKERSON III Inglewood City Attorney 25 One Manchester Blvd., Suite 860 Inglewood, CA 90301 26 Telephone: 310/412-5372 27 28

1	MICHAEL JENKINS, ESQ. City Attorney
2	City of West Hollywood 333 South Hope Street, 38th Floor
3 4	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213/626-8484
5	RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON SAYRE WEAVER
6	Deputy City Attorney City of West Hollywood
7	P.O. Box 1059 Brea, CA 92822-0901
8	Telephone: 714/990-0901
9	CENTER TO PREVENT HANDGUN VIOLENCE DENNIS A. HENIGAN BRIAN J. SIEBEL
10	JONATHAN E. LOWY Legal Action Project
11	1250 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 802 Washington, DC 20005
13	Telephone: 202/289-7319
13	BUSHNELL, CAPLAN & FIELDING, LLP ALAN M. CAPLAN
15	PHILIP NEUMARK PAUL R. HOEBER 221 Pine Street, Suite 600
16	San Francisco, CA 94104-2715 Telephone: 415/217-3800
17	McCUE & McCUE
18	JONATHAN D. McCUE CHARLES T. McCUE
19	600 West Broadway, Suite 930 San Diego, CA 92101
20	Telephone: 619/338-8136
21	COHEN, MILSTEIN, HAUSFELD & TOLL, P.L.L.C.
22	RICHARD S. LEWIS JOSEPH M. SELLERS
23	1100 New York Avenue, N.W. West Tower, Suite 500
24	Washington, DC 20005-3964 Telephone: 202/408-4600
25	
26	
27	
28	
- 1	

DAVID KAIRYS, ESQ. 1719 North Broad Street Philadelphia, PA 19122 Telephone: 215/204-8959 Attorneys for The People of the State of California, N:\CASES\Guns-JCCP\PRJ80737.brf

4 5

7 8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I, the undersigned, declare:

- That declarant is and was, at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States 1. and a resident of the County of San Diego, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to or interest in the within action; that declarant's business address is 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800, San Diego, California 92101.
- 2. That on October 6, 2000, declarant served the PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL THE PRODUCTION OF A KNOWLEDGEABLE CORPORATE DESIGNEE AND DOCUMENTS FROM DEFENDANT SPORTING ARMS AND AMMUNITION MANUFACTURERS' INSTITUTE, INC. by depositing a true copy thereof in a United States mailbox at San Diego, California in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to the parties listed on the attached Service List.
- That there is a regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the 3. places so addressed.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 6th day of October, 2000, at San Diego, California.

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)

Alan M. Caplan
Philip Neumark
Paul R. Hoeber
BUSHNELL, CAPLAN & FIELDING,
LLP
221 Pine Street, Suite 600
San Francisco, CA 94104-2715
415/217-3800
415/217-3820 (fax)

Patrick J. Coughlin
Ex Kano S. Sams II
MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD HYNES &
LERACH LLP
100 Pine Street, Suite 2600
San Francisco, CA 94111
415/288-4545
415/288-4534 (fax)

Louise H. Renne
D. Cameron Baker
Owen J. Clements
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO
Fox Plaza, 6th Floor
1390 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94102-5408
415/554-3932
415/554-3837 (fax)

Dennis S. Henigan
Jonathan E. Lowy
Brian J. Siebel
CENTER TO PREVENT HANDGUN
VIOLENCE (LEGAL ACTION PROJECT)
1250 Eye St., N.W., Suite 802
Washington, DC 20005
202/289-7319
202/408-9748 (fax)

Charles E. Dickerson III
CITY ATTORNEYS OFFICE
One Manchester Blvd., Suite 860
Inglewood, CA 90301
310/412-5372
310/412-8865 (fax)

Jonathan D. McCue Charles McCue MCCUE & MCCUE 600 West Broadway, Suite 930 San Diego, CA 92101 619/338-8136 619/338-0322 (fax)

Jonathan Selbin
Paulina do Amaral
LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN &
BERNSTEIN, LLP
780 Third Avenue, 48th Floor
New York, NY 10017-2024
212/355-9500
212/355-9592 (fax)

James K. Hahn
Carmel Sella
Don Kass
CITY ATTORNEYS OFFICE
200 N. Main Street
1600 City Hall East
Los Angeles, CA 90012
213/485-4515
213/847-3014 (fax)

Legrand H. Clegg II
Celia Francisco
CITY ATTORNEYS OFFICE
205 South Willowbrook Avenue
Compton, CA 90220
310/605-5582
310/763-0895 (fax)

Michael Jenkins
CITY ATTORNEYS OFFICE (WEST HOLLYWOOD)
333 South Hope Street
38th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
213/626-8484
213/626-0078 (fax)

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)

Sayre Weaver RICHARDS, WATSON & GERSHON P.O. Box 1059 Brea, CA 92822-1059 714/990-0901 714/990-6230 (fax)

Samuel L. Jackson Shana Faber CITY ATTORNEYS OFFICE 980 9th Street, 10th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 916/264-5346 916/264-7455 (fax)

Thomas F. Casey III
Brenda B. Carlson
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL
400 County Center
Redwood City, CA 94063
650/363-4760
650/363-4034 (fax)

R. Manuel Fortes
DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEYS
One Frank Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612
510/238-3601
510/238-6500 (fax)

Lloyd W. Pellman
Lawrence Lee Hafetz
Judy Whitehurst
LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNSEL
500 West Temple Street
Suite 648
Los Angeles, CA 90012
. 213/974-1876
213/626-2105 (fax)

Richard S. Lewis
Joseph M. Sellers
COHEN, MILSTEIN, HAUSFELD &
TOLL, P.L.L.C.
1100 New York Ave., N.W.
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005-3964
202/408-4600
202/408-4699 (fax)

David Kairys
LAW OFFICE OF DAVID KAIRYS
1719 North Broad Street
Philadelphia, PA 19122
215/204-8959
215/248-6282 (fax)

Manuela Albuquerque
Matthew J. Orebic
CITY ATTORNEYS OFFICE
1947 Center Street, 1st Floor
Berkeley, CA 94704
510/644-6380
510/644-8641 (fax)

Richard E. Winnie Kristen J. Thorsness OFFICE OF ALAMEDA COUNTY COUNSEL 1221 Oak Street, Room 463 Oakland, CA 94612-4296 510/272-6700 510/272-5020 (fax)

Michael S. Lawson
East Palo Alto City Attorney
THOMPSON, LAWSON LLP
1600 Broadway, Suite 250
Oakland, CA 94612
510/835-1600
510/835-2077 (fax)

Frank J. Janecek, Jr.
Michael J. Dowd
Stephen P. Polapink
MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD HYNES &
LERACH LLP
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
San Diego, CA 92101-5050
619/231-1058
619/231-7423 (fax)

Richard M. Heimann
Robert J. Nelson
LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN &
BERNSTEIN, LLP
275 Battery Street, 30th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3339
415/956-1000
415/956-1008 (fax)

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

Jeff Nelson SHOOK, HARDY & BACON, L.L.P. 1200 Main Street, 27th Floor Kansas City, MO 64105-2118 816/474-6550 816/421-5547 (fax)

Douglas Kliever
CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN &
HAMILTON
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
9th Floor
Washington, DC 20036
202/974-1500
202/974-1999 (fax)

Michael John Bonesteel
Steven L. Hoch
Carolyn Trokey
HAIGHT BROWN & BONESTEEL LLP
1620 - 26th Street
Suite 4000 North
Santa Monica, CA 90404
310/449-6000
310/829-5117 (fax)

James P. Dorr
James B. Vogts
WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON
225 West Wacker Drive
Suite 3000
Chicago, IL 60606-1229
312/201-2000 *
312/201-2555 (fax)

Robert C. Gebhardt
Craig A. Livingston
SCHNADER, HARRISON, SEGAL &
LEWIS LLP
601 California St., Suite 1200
San Francisco, CA 94108
415/364-6700
415/364-6785 (fax)

Diane T. Gorczyca
SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN &
ARNOLD
One Embarcadero Center
16th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3765
415/781-7900
415/781-2635 (fax)

Edwin W. Green
Kimberly A. Donlon
ALLEN, MATKINS, LECK, GAMBLE &
MALLORY, LLP
515 South Figueroa Street
7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3398
213/622-5555
213/620-8816 (fax)

William M. Griffin III FRIDAY, ELDREDGE & CLARK 2000 First Commercial Bldg. 400 West Capitol Little Rock, AR 72201 501/376-2011 501/376-2147 (fax)

R. Dewitt Kirwan
Robert N. Tafoya
AKIN, GUMP, STRAUSS, HAUER &
FELD, LLP
2029 Century Park East
Suite 2600
Los Angeles, CA 90067
310/229-1000
310/229-1001 (fax)

Steven A. Silver
LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN A. SILVER
1077 West Morton Avenue, Suite C
Porterville, CA 93257
559/782-1552
559/782-0364 (fax)

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

Timothy A. Bumann
BUDD LARNER GROSS ROSENBAUM
GREENBERG & SADE
127 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 715
Atlanta, GA 30303
404/688-3000
404/688-0888 (fax)

John F. Renzulli John J. McCarthy RENZULLI & RUTHERFORD, LLP 300 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10017 212/599-5533 212/599-5162 (fax)

E. Gordon Haesloop
BARTLETT MCDONOUGH BASTONE &
MONAGHAN
300 Old Country Road
Mineola, NY 11501
. 516/877-2900
516/877-0732 (fax)

David R. Gross
BUDD LARNER GROSS ROSENBAUM
GREENBERG & SADE, P.C.
150 JFK Parkway
Short Hills, NJ 07078
973/379-4800
973/379-7734 (fax)

Timothy G. Atwood
LAW OFFICE OF TIMOTHY ATWOOD
273 Canal Street
Shelton, CT 06484
203/924-4464
203/924-1359 (fax)

Charles L. Coleman HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 4050 San Francisco, CA 94104-4801 415/743-6900 415/743-6910 (fax)

Robert M. Anderson
WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN
& DICKER, LLP
1055 West 7th Street, Suite 2700
Los Angeles, CA 90017
213/624-3044
213/624-8060 (fax)

James R. Branit
BOLERO & CARTON, CHTD.
200 N. La Salle Street
Suite 2500
Chicago, IL 60601
312/831-1000
312/831-0647 (fax)

Scott L. Braum
Thomas P. Whelley, II
CHERNESKY, HEYMAN & KRESS,
P.L.L.
1100 Courthouse Plaza S.W.
Suite 1100
Dayton, OH 45401-2849
937/449-2834
937/449-2836 (fax)

Burton C. Jacobson
LAW OFFICE OF BURTON C.
JACOBSON
424 South Beverly Drive
Beverly Hills, CA 90212-4414
310/553-8533
310/286-2819 (fax)

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

Wendy E. Schultz Norman J. Watkins LYNBERG & WATKINS, P.C. 888 S. Figueroa Street 16th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017 213/624-8700 213/892-2763 (fax)

Lawrence S. Greenwald GORDON FEINBLATT ROTHMAN HOFFBERGER & HOLLANDER, LLC 223 East Redwood Street Baltimore, MD 21202 410/576-4000 410/576-4246 (fax)

Henry N. Jannol
LAW OFFICES OF HENRY N. JANNOL
1875 Century Park East
Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
310/552-7500
310/552-7552 (fax)

Carmen Trutanich
Timothy Lignoul
TRUTANICH - MICHEL, LLP
Port of Los Angeles
407 N. Harbor Blvd.
San Pedro, CA 90731
310/548-3816
310/548-4813 (fax)

Robert L. Joyce'
WILSON ELSER MOSKOWITZ EDELMAN
& DICKER, LLP
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 19917
212/490-3000
212/490-3038 (fax)

Ray Koletsky
Susan L. Caldwell
KOLETSKY, MANCINI, FELDMAN &
MORROW
3460 Wilshire Blvd., 8th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90010
213/427-2350
213/427-2366 (fax)

Bradley T. Beckman BECKMAN & ASSOCIATES 1601 Market Street, Suite 2330 Philadelphia, PA 19103 215/569-3096 215/569-8769 (fax)

Timothy Gorry
Frank Sandelmann
GORRY & MEYER
2029 Century Park East
Suite 400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
310/277-5967
310/277-5968 (fax)

James Leonard Crew
Jack Leavitt
LAW OFFICES
18 Crow Canyon Court, Suite 380
San Ramon, CA 94583-1669
925/831-0834
925/831-8483 (fax)

Paul K. Schrieffer
Ian R. Feldman
SCHRIEFFER NAKASHIMA & DOWNEY,
LLP
100 N. Barranca Avenue
Suite 1100
West Covina, CA 91791
626/858-2444
626/974-8403 (fax)

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS

Harold R. Mayberry, Jr.
The American Shooting Sports
Council
MAYBERRY LAW FIRM
2010 Corporate Ridge
Seventh Floor
McLean, VA 22102
703/714-1554
703/783-8532 (fax)

Jeff G. Harmeyer
MCATEE HARMEYER LLP
401 West "A" Street, Suite 1850
San Diego, CA 92101
619/231-9800
619/234-3800 (fax)

Phillip Hudson III
GUNSTER, YOAKLEY, VALDEZ-FAULI
& STEWART
One Biscayne Tower, Suite 3400
2 South Biscayne Blvd.
Miami, FL 33131
305/376-6000
305/376-6010 (fax)

Michael P. Verna
Mary P. Sullivan
BOWLES & VERNA
2121 N. California Blvd.
Suite 875
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
925/935-3300
925/935-0371 (fax)

Robert Wright
WRIGHT & L'ESTRANGE
701 B Street, Suite 1550
San Diego, CA 92101-8103
619/231-4844
619/231-6710 (fax)

Michael J. Zomick
TARICS & CARRINGTON, P.C.
5005 Riverway Drive, Suite 500
Houston, TX 77056
713/729-4777
713/227-0701 (fax)

Michael C. Hewitt BRUINSMA & HEWITT 380 Clinton Avenue, Unit C Costa Mesa, CA 92626 949/597-1551 949/597-1551 (fax)

Terry F. Moritz
Roger Lewis
GOLDBERG, KOHN, BELL, BLACK,
ROSENBLOOM & MORITZ, LTD.
55 East Monroe Street
Suite 3700
Chicago, IL 60603-5802
312/201-4000
312/332-2196 (fax)

Christopher J. Healey
Lawrence J. Kouns
LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON &
SCRIPPS
600 West Broadway, Suite 2600
San Diego, CA 92101-3391
619/236-1414
619/232-8311 (fax)

^{*} Denotes service by facsimile on Liason Counsel.