1 2	C.D. Michel - S.B.N. 144258 TRUTANICH • MICHEL, LLP 407 North Harbor Boulevard	
3	San Pedro, CA 90731 Telephone: 310-548-0410	
4		
5	Attorneys for Defendant	
6	HAWTHORNE DISTRIBUTORS, INC.	
7		
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
10		
11	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION) PROCEEDINGS NO. 4095
12	FIREARM CASES	Superior Court of California City & County of
13	Coordinated actions:	San Francisco No. 303753
14 15	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles No. BC210894
16	CALIFORNIA, ex rel. the County of Los Angeles, et. al.,	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles No. BC214794
17	v.	OPPOSITION AND OBJECTION TO STIPULATION FOR ENTRY TO FINAL
18	ARCADIA MACHINE & TOOL, et. al.,) JUDGEMENT RE: HAWTHORNE DISTRIBUTORS INC.
19	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF))
20	CALIFORNIA, by and through JAMES K. HAHN, City Attorney of the City of Los))
21	Angeles, et. al.,))
22	v.))
23	ARCADIA MACHINE & TOOL, et. al.,))
24	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF))
25	CALIFORNIA, by and through San Francisco City Attorney Louise H. Renne,))
26	v.))
27	ARCADIA MACHINE & TOOL, et. al.))
28		

1	The plaintiffs in this lawsuit have apparently reached a settlement with one retailer	
2	defendant, Hawthorne Distributors Inc. (D.B.A. Western Surplus). Prior to reaching that	
3	settlement, Hawthorne Distributors Inc. had filed a Motion for Summary Judgement (MSJ) with	
4	the court. Andrews Sporting goods (AGS), one of the last few retailers remaining in this case,	
5	joined in that motion, since Hawthorne's Distributors Inc.'s motion, presented issues similar to	
6	those that AGS wished to present to the court.	
7	In an effort to minimize the amount of material being submitted to the court, AGS	
8	coordinated their briefs with Hawthorne's Distributors' Council, and did not re-brief the legal	
9	issues raised in Hawthorne's Distributors' MSJ, since those issues were joined in by Turners.	
10	Turners then shortened and focused its MSJ on the factual aspects of the plaintiffs' case against	
11	AGS, and left out most of the legal authority raised in the Hawthorne.	
12	As part of their Stipulated Judgement, defendant Hawthorne Distributors Inc. has agreed to	
13	"withdraw" its MSJ.	
14	While Andrews Sporting Goods/Turners agrees that the motion should be taken off	
15	calendar, we are concerned that as a result, the court will not consider the legal arguments raised	
16	in Hawthorne Distributors MSJ support for AGS's MSJ.	
17	Thus we propose that the parties stipulate that the legal arguments raised in that motion	
18	shall be considered to be fully incorporated within the AGS MSJ, as if set fully therein. This will	
19	avoid multiple filings and insure that the points and authorities raised by the coordinated effort of	
20	Hawthorne Distributors and Andrews Sporting Goods will be part of the record presented by ASC	
21	MSJ.	
22	Dated: February 6, 2003	
23	TRUTANICH • MICHEL, LLP	
24		
25		
26	C.D. Michel	
27	C.D. Michel	
28		