1645 Willow Street, Suite 150 San Jose, California 95125 E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com Phone: 408/264-8489 Fax: 408/264-8487

July 6, 2011

Via: E-File

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit 95 7th Street San Francisco, California 94103-1526

Re:	Nordyke,	<u>et al., v. King, et al.,</u> Case No.: 07-15763
	Before:	Arthur L. Alarcon, Diarmuid F. O'Scannlain and
		Ronald M. Gould
	Status:	A Petition for Rehearing and/or Rehearing En
		Banc is pending. The Defendant-Appellees have
		been ordered to file a response to the petition.
	Action:	Distribute this Fed. R. App. Proc. Rule 28(j)
		letter as appropriate given the current status of
		the case.

Your Honors:

Earlier today, Appellants filed a Fed. R. App. Proc. Rule 28(j) letter citing *Brown v. Entertainment Merchants Association*, 564 U.S. ____, filed on June 27, 2011. Docket # 187.

The letter contains a mis-spelled word at the top of page two. That sentence should read:

This hypothesis that the mere presence of firearms

Instead of:

This hypophysis that the mere presence of firearms

My apologies for any confusion to the parties and to the Court.

Respectfully Submitted, /s/ Donald Kilmer Attorney for Appellants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On this, July 6, 2011, I served the foregoing NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY - Errata Memorandum, by electronically filing it with the Court's ECF/CM system, which generated a Notice of Filing and effects service upon counsel for all parties in the case. [By agreement, hard-copy service of County Counsel Richard Winnie has been waived by T. Peter Peirce, Attorney of Record for Appellees.]

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 6, 2011.

<u>/s/ Donald Kilmer</u> Attorney of Record for Appellants