Case: 07-15763 01/19/2011 ID: 7617828 DktEntry: 175 Page: 1 of 1

Law Offices of Donald Kilmer

A Professional Corporation

1645 Willow Street, Suite 150 San Jose, California 95125 E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com

Phone: 408/264-8489 Fax: 408/264-8487

January 19, 2011

Via: E-File

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit 95 7th Street San Francisco, California 94103-1526

> Re: <u>Nordyke, et al., v. King, et al.</u>, Case No.: 07-15763 Matter was Argued and Submitted on October 19, 2010.

Before: Arthur L. Alarcon, Diarmuid F. O'Scannlain and

Ronald M. Gould

Your Honors:

This letter is filed in response to Docket Entry # 174 filed by the Appellees on January 10, 2011, calling to this Court's attention the case of *Williams v. Maryland*.

The difference between the Maryland case and *United States v. Chester*; 2010 U.S. App. Lexis 26505, is that the Maryland Court failed to conduct the historical analysis of the scope of the Second Amendment outside the home. That historical analysis is briefed and already before the Court in this case. Furthermore the type of analysis necessary for Second Amendment adjudication was conducted by the *Chester Court*. Therefore *Chester* is the more persuasive case.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/

Donald Kilmer Attorney for Appellants

Nordyke v. King Page 1 of 1