### United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

# **BILL OF COSTS**

Note: If you wish to file a bill of costs, it MUST be submitted on this form and filed, with the clerk, with proof of service, within 14 days of the date of entry of judgment, and in accordance with 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. A late bill of costs must be accompanied by a motion showing good cause. Please refer to FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, and 9th Circuit Rule 39-1 when preparing your bill of costs.

Russell Allen Nordyke, et al.	v.	Mary V. King, et al.	9th Cir. No.	07-15763

The Clerk is requested to tax the following costs against: Appellants Russell Allen Nordyke, et al.

Cost Taxable under FRAP 39, 28 U.S.C. § 1920, 9th Cir. R. 39-1	Each	REQUESTED Each Column Must Be Completed			Т		LLOWED pleted by 1	he Clerk
	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page*	TOTAL COST
Excerpt of Record		[	\$	\$			\$	\$
Opening Brief			\$	\$			\$	\$
Answering Brief	15	65	\$ 0.10	\$ 97.50			\$	\$
Reply Brief			\$	\$		 	\$	\$
Other** See attached statement			\$	\$ 1,587.00			\$	\$
			TOTAL:	\$ 1,684.50			TOTAL:	\$

\* Costs per page may not exceed .10 or actual cost, whichever is less. 9th Circuit Rule 39-1.

\*\* Other: Any other requests must be accompanied by a statement explaining why the item(s) should be taxed pursuant to 9th Circuit Rule 39-1. Additional items without such supporting statements will not be considered.

Attorneys' fees cannot be requested on this form.

#### Form 10. Bill of Costs - Continued

I, T. Peter Pierce , swear under penalty of perjury that the services for which costs are taxed were actually and necessarily performed, and that the requested costs were actually expended as listed.

Signature s/ T. Peter Pierce						
("s/" plus attorney's name if submitted electronically)						
Date June 15, 2012						
Name of Counsel: T. Peter Pierce, RICHARD	S, WATSON & GERSHON					
Attorney for: Appellees Mary V. King, et al.						
(To Be Completed by the Clerk)						
Date Costs ar	e taxed in the amount of \$					

Clerk of Court

By:

, Deputy Clerk

# ATTACHMENT TO BILL OF COSTS FILED BY APPELLEES IN NORDYKE V. KING (CASE NO. 07-15763)

Appellees seek to recover the following costs of generating multiple copies

of various briefs that the Court ordered the parties to submit.

The following supplemental briefs were filed pursuant to Court Order dated

July 28, 2008 (Docket No. 48):

Document Filed	No. of Docs.	Pages per Doc.	Cost per Page	TOTAL COST
Appellees' Supplemental Brief filed 09/12/2008 (Docket No. 52)	15	88	\$0.10	\$132.00
Appellees' Appendices in Support of Supplemental Brief filed 09/12/2008 (Docket No. 52)	15	62	\$0.10	\$93.00
Appellees' Brief in Reply to Supplemental Brief of Appellants filed 10/03/2008	15	48	\$0.10	\$72.00

	 	······	r
(Docket No.			
58)			

Additional copies of the following briefs were submitted on August 5, 2009

(Docket No. 104) pursuant to Court Order dated July 29, 2009 (Docket No. 99):

Appellees' Answering Brief filed 01/09/2008 (Docket No. 25)	30	65	\$0.10	\$195.00
Appellees' Supplemental Brief filed 9/12/2008 (Docket No. 52)	30	88	\$0.10	\$264.00
Appellees' Appendices in Support of Supplemental Brief filed 09/12/2008 (Docket No. 52)	30	62	\$0.10	\$186.00
Appellees' Brief in Reply to Supplemental Brief of Appellants filed	30	48	\$0.10	\$144.00

10/03/2008		
(Docket No.		
58)		

Additional copies of the following briefs were submitted on December 14,

2011 (Docket Nos. 225, 227 through 230) pursuant to Court Order dated

November 29, 2011 (Docket No. 198):

Appellees' Answering Brief filed 01/09/2008 (Docket No. 25)	15	65	\$0.10	\$97.50
Appellees' Supplemental Brief filed 09/12/2008 (Docket No. 52)	15	88	\$0.10	\$132.00
Appellees' Appendices in Support of Supplemental Brief filed 09/12/2008 (Docket No. 52)	15	62	\$0.10	\$93.00

Appellees' Brief in Reply to Supplemental Brief of Appellants filed 10/03/2008 (Docket No. 58)	15	48	\$0.10	\$72.00
Appellees' Brief Regarding Rehearing En Banc filed 06/08/2009 (Docket No. 90)	15	26	\$0.10	\$39.00
Appellees' Supplemental Brief Regarding The Impact Of McDonald v. Chicago filed 08/18/2010 (Docket No. 151)	25	27	\$0.10	\$67.50
TOTAL				\$1,587.00

I, T. Peter Pierce, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the

United States of America that the services for which costs are taxed with respect to

the above supplemental table were actually and necessarily performed, and that the requested costs were actually expended as listed.

Executed on June 15, 2012

s/ T. Peter Pierce, Counsel for Appellees

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit by using the appellate CM/ECF system on June 15, 2012.

I hereby certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the appellate CM/ECF system.

> s/ Clotilde Bigornia