Case: 07-15763 06/26/2012 ID: 8227690 DktEntry: 276 Page: 1 of 3

No. 07 – 15763 [DC# CV 99-4389-MJJ]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RUSSELL ALLEN NORDYKE; et al., Plaintiffs - Appellants,

vs.

MARY V. KING; et al., Defendants - Appellees.

APPEAL FROM THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER FILED June 15, 2012 – RE: BILL OF COSTS

[DktEntry: 271]

Donald E. J. Kilmer, Jr.*
Law Offices of Donald Kilmer
1645 Willow Street, Suite 150
San Jose, California 95125
Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487
E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com

Counsel for Plaintiff - Appellants

Don B. Kates
Attorney at Law
22608 N.E. 269th Avenue
Battleground, Washington 98604
Vc: 360/666-2688 Fx: 360/666-3303
E-Mail: DonKates@earthlink.net

 $\hbox{Co-Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellants}$

^{*}Counsel of Record

Case: 07-15763 06/26/2012 ID: 8227690 DktEntry: 276 Page: 2 of 3

MOTION

On June 15, 2012, Deputy Clerk Theresa Benitez, entered an order on behalf of Molly C. Dwyer – Clerk of the Court, denying Appellants' Bill of Costs and declaring that Appellants were not the prevailing party. (DktEntry: 271)

On June 22, 2012 Appellants filed a motion to have this Court determine (among other requests) that they are the prevailing party in this matter. (DktEntry: 273-1)

In light of that motion and pursuant to Ninth Circuit Rule 27-10, Appellants request a reconsideration of the June 15, 2012 Order denying their Bill of Costs, and/or that the matter be referred to an appellate commissioner and/or the Judge(s) who will be adjudicating the motion.

Respectfully Submitted on June 26, 2012,

/s/ Donald Kilmer

Donald Kilmer, Attorney for Appellants.

Case: 07-15763 06/26/2012 ID: 8227690 DktEntry: 276 Page: 3 of 3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On June 26, 2012, I served the foregoing MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER FILED June 15, 2012 – RE:
BILL OF COSTS [DktEntry: 271] by electronically filing it with the Court's ECF/CM system, which generated a Notice of Filing and effects service upon counsel for all parties in the case. [By agreement, hard-copy service of County Counsel Richard Winnie has been previously waived by T. Peter Peirce, Attorney of Record for Appellees.]

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this June 26, 2012,

/s/ Donald Kilmer

Attorney of Record for Appellants