Case: 07-15763 06/12/2012 ID: 8210477 DktEntry: 267 Page: 1 of 4

## No. 07 – 15763 [DC# CV 99-4389-MJJ]

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RUSSELL ALLEN NORDYKE; et al., Plaintiffs - Appellants,

VS.

MARY V. KING; et al., Defendants - Appellees.

APPEAL FROM THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## APPELLANTS' MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE PETITION FOR REHEARING

Donald E. J. Kilmer, Jr.\* Law Offices of Donald Kilmer 1645 Willow Street, Suite 150 San Jose, California 95125 Vc: 408/264-8489 Fx: 408/264-8487 E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com

Counsel for Plaintiff - Appellants

Don B. Kates Attorney at Law 22608 N.E. 269<sup>th</sup> Avenue Battleground, Washington 98604 Vc: 360/666-2688 Fx: 360/666-3303 E-Mail: DonKates@earthlink.net

Co-Counsel for Plaintiff - Appellants

<sup>\*</sup>Counsel of Record

Case: 07-15763 06/12/2012 ID: 8210477 DktEntry: 267 Page: 2 of 4

To the Clerk of the Court:

Pursuant to Adv. Comm. Notes to Circuit Rules 35-1 to 35-3 and 40-1; General Order 6.3.a, Appellant/Plaintiffs hereby requests that the Clerk of the Court grant a seven (7) calendar day extension of time to file a Petition for Rehearing. The current due date for any filing would June 15, 2012, Appellant hereby request a new due date of June 22, 2011.

Appellants currently have pending a Motion to Extend

Appellate Deadlines, or in the Alternative to Modify the Court's

Opinion to Include Instructions to the Trial Court (DktEntry:

266) that was filed on June 7, 2012. Because the deadline for filing a petition for rehearing may pass before the motion is considered by the Court, Appellant's hereby request this extension from the Clerk of the Court.

Additional good cause exists for the extension of time as the issues raised in this case are complex, unique and consequential.

Adequate presentation of the issues in any potential petitions will required the additional time because Counsel of Record for the Appellants is a solo practitioner and must attend to other pending

Case: 07-15763 06/12/2012 ID: 8210477 DktEntry: 267 Page: 3 of 4

matters for other clients at the same time he is preparing this potential new filing.

An extension of time would not be prejudicial to the Appellees as they are not subject to any pending orders or payments of any kind.

Respectfully Submitted on June 12, 2012,

Case: 07-15763 06/12/2012 ID: 8210477 DktEntry: 267 Page: 4 of 4

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

On June 12, 2012, I served the foregoing APPELLANTS'

MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE PETITION FOR REHEARING
by electronically filing it with the Court's ECF/CM system, which
generated a Notice of Filing and effects service upon counsel for all
parties in the case. [By agreement, hard-copy service of County Counsel
Richard Winnie has been previously waived by T. Peter Peirce,
Attorney of Record for Appellees.]

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this June 12, 2012.

/s/ Donald Kilmer

Attorney of Record for Appellants