

SEP 17 1999

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CITY AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA, ex rel. the County of Los
Angeles, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, on
behalf of itself and the general public, and
GLORIA MOLINA, ZEV YAROSLAVSKY
and YVONNE BRATHWAITE BURKE,
SUPERVISORS OF LOS ANGELES
COUNTY, on behalf of the general public,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ARCADIA MACHINE & TOOL, BRYCO
ARMS, DAVIS INDUSTRIES, LORCIN
ENGINEERING CO., INC., PHOENIX
ARMS, SUNDANCE INDUSTRIES, INC.,
EXCEL INDUSTRIES, INC., ACCU-TEK
FIREARMS, BERETTA U.S.A., CORP.,
PIETRO BERETTA S.p.A., BROWNING
ARMS CO., CARL WALTHER GmbH,
CHARTER ARMS, INC., COLTS
MANUFACTURING CO., INC., FORJAS
TAURUS, S.A., GLOCK, INC., GLOCK
GmbH, H&R 1871, INC., HECKLER &
KOCH, INC., MKS SUPPLY, INC., HI-
POINT FIREARMS, KEL-TEC CNC
INDUSTRIES A/K/A/ NORINCO,
NAVEGAR, INC. D/B/A/ INTRATEC
U.S.A., INC., NORTH AMERICAN ARMS,
INC., SIGARMS, INC., SMITH & WESSON
CORP., STURM. RUGER & COMPANY,
INC., S.W. DANIEL, INC., A/K/A/
COBRAY FIREARMS, INC., TAURUS
INTERNATIONAL MANUFACTURING,
INC., AMERICAN SHOOTING SPORTS
COUNCIL, INC., NATIONAL SHOOTING

CASE NO. BC 214794

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
COMMENCE COORDINATION
PROCEEDINGS

Case Assigned: Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl
Dept.: 22

[Motion set for hearing before Hon. Victor
E. Chavez, Presiding Judge, pursuant to CCP
§ 404]

Date: October 4, 1999

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Dept: 1

Trial Date: None Set

Case Filed: August 6, 1999

SPORTS FOUNDATION, INC., SPORTING)
 1 ARMS AND AMMUNITION)
 MANUFACTURERS' INSTITUTE, INC.,)
 2 B.L. JENNINGS, INC., ELLETT)
 BROTHERS, INTERNATIONAL)
 3 ARMAMENT CORP., D/B/A INTERARMS)
 INDUSTRIES, INC., RSR WHOLESALE)
 4 GUNS, INC., SOUTHERN OHIO GUNS)
 DISTRIBUTORS, B&B GROUP, INC., B&)
 5 B & B GUNS, ANDREWS SPORTING)
 GOODS, INC., NATIONAL GUN SALES,)
 6 INC., S.G. DISTRIBUTING, INC.,)
 HAWTHORNE DISTRIBUTORS, INC., and)
 7 DOES 1-300,)
 8 Defendants.)

9
 10 The motion of Moving Defendants for an order allowing it to file a petition to the Chairman
 11 of the Judicial Counsel that a judge be assigned to determine whether the coordination of the
 12 above-entitled action with the actions now pending in other courts in the state is appropriate having
 13 come for hearing before the undersigned on October 4, 1999. Upon notice duly and regularly given
 14 and the matter having been argued and submitted in good cause appearing therefore,

15 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that such motion is and the same is hereby granted. A
 16 copy of this Order will be transmitted to the Chairman of the Judicial Council requesting that a
 17 judge be assigned to determine whether the above-entitled action should be coordinated with the
 18 following pending actions: San Francisco Superior Court Case: *People of the State of California v.*
 19 *Arcadia Machine*, Case No. 303753.

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that moving party comply with the provisions of Rule
 21 1521(b) of the Rules of Court and the Petition for Coordination be filed and the copies thereof be
 22 served as required by the Rules of Court and proofs of service be made and filed in accordance with
 23 Rule 1521.

24 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a Notice of Submission of Petition for Coordination be
 25 prepared by counsel and filed as provided in Rules 1522 and 1523.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all proceedings in the above-entitled action shall be
2 stayed forewith until _____, 1999, or to permit compliance with this Order.

3

4 Dated: _____

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1433053.1

28