Case 2:11-cv-09916-SJO-S\$ Document 146 Filed 12/09/13 Rage 1 of 3 Page ID #:2413 FILED Charles Nichols 1 PO Box 1302 2013 DEC -9 AM II: 53 Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Voice: (424) 634-7381 2 CHER (U.S. CISTIMOT COUR CENTRAL BIST, OF CALIF. LUS ANGELES E-Mail: Charles Nichols @Pykrete.info 3 In Pro Per 4 5 6 United States District Court 8 Central District of California 9 10 Charles Nichols, Case No.: CV-11-9916 SJO (SS) 11 PLAINTIFF, PLAINTIFF CHARLES NICHOLS' 12 VS. 13 REGARDING STATEMENT OF KAMALA D. HARRIS, Attorney GENUINE DISPUTES | Dkt # 140-2 | 14 General, in her official capacity as 15 Attorney General of California Vacated Date: 16 Vacated Time: 23 – 3rd Floor 17 Magistrate Judge: Suzanne H. Segal District Judge: S. James Otero Defendant. 18 Trial Date: None Action Filed: November 30, 2011 19 20 21 Pro Se Plaintiff Charles Nichols, In Pro Per, hereby objects to Defendant 22 Harris' Declaration filed on December 2, 2013 (Dkt # 140-2). Defendant Harris 23 appears to be trying to manufacture disputes to the SUF which are reasonably not 24 subject to dispute. Exhibit A purports to be the Los Angeles County Sheriff 25 Department's Licensing policy for the issuance of permits to carry concealed 26 weapons. The Sheriff's policy has already been entered into evidence in this case 27 and it has already been briefed that the Judge presiding in the present case has 28 Nichols v. Brown Plaintiff's Objection To Errata Filing(s) 1

upheld the constitutionality of the concealed carry licensing policy of the Sheriff. It is undisputed that under the Sheriff's policy Plaintiff Nichols is not eligible for a license to carry a loaded or unloaded, concealed handgun and it is undisputed that the Sheriff cannot issue to Plaintiff Nichols a license to openly carry a loaded handgun, or to openly carry any loaded or unloaded firearm. That has not changed.

Exhibit B purports to be a webpage which Defendant Harris says is "purportedly maintained by Paul Tanaka." Defendant Harris implies some significance to the webpage stating that Mr. Tanaka retired from the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department after 30 years of service in the Summer of 2013.

Whether or not Mr. Tanaka has or has not retired from the Sheriff's Department is irrelevant. His declaration as a Deputy Sheriff made under penalty of perjury is on the record both in the present case and in the concealed carry case already briefed in which the presiding judge in this case has already ruled upon.

Exhibit C purports to be a printout from an online blog where Plaintiff Nichols purportedly made a post around a year and a half ago saying that if successful in the present case he will then seek to overturn California's Gun Free School Zone law (California Penal Code section 626.9).

California Penal Code section 626.9 is not, and has never been, at issue in this present suit. Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment will have no effect on the ability of Defendant Harris to enforce that law.

Dated: December 9, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

By: Charles Nichols PLAINTIFF in Pro Per

Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Voice: (424) 634-7381

EMail:CharlesNichols@Pykrete.info

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On this, the 8th day of November, 2013, I caused to be served a copy of the foregoing PLAINTIFF CHARLES NICHOLS' OBJECTION TO DECLARATION OF JONATHAN M. EISENBERG REGARDING STATEMENT OF GENUINE DISPUTES [Dkt # 140-2] by US Mail on:

Jonathan Michael Eisenberg
Office of the California Attorney General
Government Law Section
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
213-897-6505
213-897-1071 (fax)
jonathan.eisenberg@doj.ca.gov
LEAD ATTORNEY / ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Kamala D Harris (Defendant).

Executed this the 9th day of December, 2013 by:

Charles Nichols