13	 	er er
	se 2:11-cv-09916-SJO-SS Document 138	Filed 11/26/13 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:2224 FILED
1 2 3 4 5	Charles Nichols PO Box 1302 Redondo Beach, CA 90278 Voice: (424) 634-7381 E-Mail: CharlesNichols@Pykrete.info In Pro Per	2013 NOV 26 PM 1: 37 CLERA U.S. SISTRICT COURT CESTRAL DIST, 07 CALIF. LOS AUSELES SY:
6		
7		
8	United States District Court	
9	Central Distric	ct of California
10		
11	Charles Nichols,	Case No.: CV-11-9916 SJO (SS)
12	PLAINTIFF,	PLAINTIFF NICHOLS' OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE
13	VS.	
14	KAMALA D. HARRIS, Attorney	
15	General, in her official capacity as	Date: Vacated
16	Attorney General of California	Time: Vacated Crtrm: 23 – 3rd Floor
17	Defendant.	Magistrate Judge: Suzanne H. Segal District Judge: S. James Otero Trial Date: None
18	Belendant.	Action Filed: November 30, 2011
20		
21		
22		
23	The facts of "Exhibit A" and exhibits attached to "Exhibit A" of Defendant Harris'	
24	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR	
25	JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS Dkt # 129-2 were and are very much in	
26	dispute and the Redondo Beach and Doe Defendants were voluntarily dismissed,	
27	without prejudice, by Plaintiff Nichols.	
28		
	Objection to Evidence -1 -	Charles Nichols v. Edmund G Brown Jr et al

Judicial notice is taken of the existence and authenticity of the public and 1 quasi public documents listed. To the extent their contents are in dispute, such matters of controversy are not appropriate subjects for judicial notice." Del Puerto Water Dist. v. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, 271 F.Supp.2d 1224, 1234 (E.D.Cal.2003). See also, California ex rel. RoNo, LLC v. Altus Finance S.A., 344 F.3d 920, 931 (9th Cir.2003) ("requests for judicial notice are GRANTED to the extent that they are compatible with Fed. Rule Evid. 201 and do not require the 7 acceptance of facts `subject to reasonable dispute." quoting Lee, 250 F.3d at 690); Kent v. Daimlerchrysler Corp., 200 F.Supp.2d 1208, 1219 (N.D.Cal.2002); Weizmann Institute of Science v. Neschis, 229 F.Supp.2d 234, 246-47 10 (S.D.N.Y.2002); Happy Inv. Group v. Lakeworld Properties, Inc., 396 F.Supp. 11 175, 183 (N.D.Cal.1975); and Chloe Z Fishing Co. v. Odyssey Re (London) Ltd., 12 109 F.Supp.2d 1236, 1242-43 (S.D.Cal.2000). 13 14 15 16 17

Dated: November 26, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

edondo Beach, CA 90278 pice: (424) 634-7381

EMail: Charles Nichols @Pykrete.info

28

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Objection to Evidence

Charles Nichols v. Edmund G Brown Jr et al

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On this, the 26th day of November, 2013, I caused to be served a copy of the foregoing **PLAINTIFF NICHOLS' OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE** by US Mail on:

Jonathan Michael Eisenberg
Office of the California Attorney General
Government Law Section
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
213-897-6505
213-897-1071 (fax)
jonathan.eisenberg@doj.ca.gov
LEAD ATTORNEY / ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Kamala D Harris (Defendant).

Executed this the 26th day of November, 2013 by:

Charles Nichols