

Plaintiff moves for entry of partial summary judgment against California Penal Code sections 25850, 26350 and 26400 as the material facts in this case are not in dispute, and the challenged laws violate Plaintiff's rights under the Second, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

This motion is based upon this notice of motion and motion, the attached memorandum of points and authorities, exhibits, declarations, separate statement of uncontroverted facts and conclusions of law, any material in the Court's files, and any other relevant matter to be considered by the Court.

Attached is a Proposed Order which was also lodged separately and concurrently with this Notice of Motion and Motion.

This motion is made following the conference of counsel pursuant to L.R. 7-3 which took place on Tuesday, October 8th 2013.

Dated: November 8, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

By: Charles Nichols PLAINTIFF in Pro Per

PO Box 1302

Redondo Beach, CA 90278

E-Mail:

Charles Nichols @Pykrete.info

///

The Court hereby declares that California Penal Code sections 25850, 26350 and 26400 are unconstitutional on their face and as applied to Plaintiff and to persons who are otherwise legally qualified to possess firearms for the purpose of self-defense and for other lawful purposes.

IT IS ORDERED that California Penal Code sections 25850, 26350 and 26400 are declared unconstitutional on their face and as applied to Plaintiff and to persons who are otherwise legally qualified to possess firearms for the purpose of self-defense and for other lawful purposes.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that California Penal Code sections 25850, 26350 and 26400 are declared to infringe on the fundamental rights of Plaintiff and to persons who are otherwise legally qualified to possess firearms for the purpose of self-defense and for other lawful purposes and violate (a) The Second Amendment right to openly carry a firearm for the purpose of self-defense and for other lawful purposes; (b) The Fourth Amendment Right to be free from unlawful detention, arrest, prosecution, imprisonment or search of a person and seizure of a firearm when openly carried in non-sensitive public places; (c) The Substantive Due Process and Fourteenth Amendment rights to life, liberty and property and to equal protection.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the court declares that Penal Code section 25850: produces disproportionate effects along racial lines; has a racially disproportionate impact and racially discriminatory intent or purpose; and that racial discrimination has been shown to have been a substantial or motivating factor behind enactment of the law and that Defendant has not met its burden to demonstrate that the law would have been enacted without this factor.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the court declares that: Penal Code section 25850 is invalid in that and to the extent that it is applied to prohibit private citizens who are otherwise qualified to possess firearms from openly carrying loaded firearms for the purpose of self-defense on their own property, in their vehicles and in non-sensitive public places.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the court declares that Penal Code section 26350 is invalid in that and to the extent that it is applied to prohibit private citizens who are otherwise qualified to possess firearms from openly carrying unloaded handguns for the purpose of self-defense on their own property, in their vehicles and in non-sensitive public places.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the court declares that Penal Code section 26400 is invalid in that and to the extent that it is applied to prohibit private citizens who are otherwise qualified to possess firearms from openly carrying unloaded firearms that are not handguns for the purpose of self-defense on their own property, in their vehicles and in non-sensitive public places.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the court declares that: unlicensed Open Carry for the purpose of self-defense in non-sensitive public places is the right guaranteed by the Constitution; that firearms openly carried which do not have live ammunition in the firing chamber are unloaded and that possession of matching ammunition with an openly carried unloaded firearm does not make the firearm "loaded" regardless of whether or not the ammunition is attached in any way to the firearm; that no license is required to openly carry a firearm for the purpose of self-defense in non-sensitive public places; that private residential property is not a public place and is not a sensitive public place regardless of whether or not the property is fully or partially enclosed by a fence or barrier, or completely

unenclosed; that a private motor vehicle and any attached campers or trailers are not public places and that fully functional loaded firearms may be carried therein and that they do not have to be encased or otherwise enclosed in a container, locked or otherwise, nor must they be carried unloaded.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant, their agents, servants, officers, employees, and attorneys, and all persons acting in participation or concert with them or under their direction or command, who receive actual notice of the injunction, are permanently enjoined from enforcing or applying California Penal Code sections 25850, 26350 and 26400 including any implementing regulations and/or statutes, against Plaintiff and against any person under their jurisdiction or command, and from taking any actions whatsoever, or permitting any person or entity to take any action whatsoever that in any way affects, impedes, interferes with Plaintiff and any person who is legally able to posses a firearm and they are further ORDERED to immediately suspend and discontinue any investigation, or other proceeding, that may have been commenced under California Penal Code sections 25850, 26350 and 26400 and/or their implementation on or prior to the date of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall recover his cost of suit herein, including but not limited to attorney fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988, to the extent allowed by law.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:	HONORABLE JUDGE SAMUEL JAMES OTERO
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On this, the 8th day of November, 2013, I caused to be served a copy of the foregoing **NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT** by US Mail on:

Jonathan Michael Eisenberg
Office of the California Attorney General
Government Law Section
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
Los Angeles, CA 90013
213-897-6505
213-897-1071 (fax)
jonathan.eisenberg@doj.ca.gov
LEAD ATTORNEY / ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED representing Kamala D Harris (Defendant).

Executed this the 8th day of November, 2013 by:

Charles Nichols