312 North Spring St. in Los Angeles, Defendant Kamala D. Harris, Attorney

28

1	General of the State of California (the "Attorney General") will and hereby does			
2	move the Court to dismiss this action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure			
3	12(b)(1), because of lack of subject-matter jurisdiction, on the specific grounds that			
4	Plaintiff Charles Nichols ("Nichols") has alleged neither a cognizable injury nor a			
5	live case or controversy, the case is not ripe for adjudication, the Attorney General			
6	has immunity to Nichols's claims under the U.S. Constitution's Eleventh			
7	Amendment, and there is Eleventh Amendment immunity for Nichols's claim			
8	under California state law.			
9	This motion is based on the instant notice of motion and motion, the			
10	accompanying supporting memorandum of points and authorities, and the pleadings			
11	and papers on file in this action.			
12	This motion is made following the conference of Jonathan M. Eisenberg,			
13	counsel of record for AG Harris, and Plaintiff Charles Nichols, a pro se plaintiff,			
14	per Local Rule 7-3, which conference took place on January 24, 2012.			
15	Dated: January 30, 2012	Respectfully submitted,		
16		KAMALA D. HARRIS		
17		Attorney General of California		
		Attorney General of California PETER K. SOUTHWORTH Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
18		Attorney General of California PETER K. SOUTHWORTH Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
18 19		Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
		Supervising Deputy Attorney General /s/ Jonathan M. Eisenberg JONATHAN M. EISENBERG		
19		Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
19 20 21 22	SA2012104470 60702516 doc	Supervising Deputy Attorney General /s/ Jonathan M. Eisenberg JONATHAN M. EISENBERG		
19 20 21	SA2012104470 60702516.doc	Supervising Deputy Attorney General /s/ Jonathan M. Eisenberg JONATHAN M. EISENBERG		
19 20 21 22 23 24		Supervising Deputy Attorney General /s/ Jonathan M. Eisenberg JONATHAN M. EISENBERG		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25		Supervising Deputy Attorney General /s/ Jonathan M. Eisenberg JONATHAN M. EISENBERG		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		Supervising Deputy Attorney General /s/ Jonathan M. Eisenberg JONATHAN M. EISENBERG		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25		Supervising Deputy Attorney General /s/ Jonathan M. Eisenberg JONATHAN M. EISENBERG		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE					
Case Name:	Nichols, Charles v. Edmund G. Brown Jr.	No.	11-cv-09916-SJO-SS		
•	fy that on <u>January 30, 2012</u> , I electronic court by using the CM/ECF system:	cally filed	d the following documents with the		
NOTICE OF 12(B)(1)	MOTION AND MOTION TO DIS	MISS AC	CTION UNDER FED. R. CIV. P.		
Participants in	n the case who are registered CM/ECF	users wil	l be served by the CM/ECF system.		
California Sta older and not Attorney Gen States Postal a mail collection	In the Office of the Attorney General tee Bar at which member's direction that a party to this matter. I am familiar we eral for collection and processing of conservice. In accordance with that praction system at the Office of the Attorney e with postage thereon fully prepaid the	s service ith the bus orrespond- ice, corres General is	is made. I am 18 years of age or siness practice at the Office of the ence for mailing with the United spondence placed in the internal s deposited with the United States		
January 30, 20 system, the fo	fy that some of the participants in the control of the participants in the control of the participants. I have caused to be mailed in the pregoing document(s) by First-Class Maximum commercial carrier for delivery within accipants:	Office of ail, postag	the Attorney General's internal mail ge prepaid, or have dispatched it to		
401 Diamond	or ch City Attorney's Office	Nichols (P.O. Box Redondo			
	er penalty of perjury under the laws of and that this declaration was executed or				
	R. Velasco				
	Declarant		Signature		

60705248.doc