Case5:13-cv-05807-RMW Document63 Filed03/21/14 Page1 of 5

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		ATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JO	SE DIVISION	
11	LEONARD FYOCK, SCOTT	CASE NO: CV-13-05807 RMW	
12	HOCHSTETLER, WILLIAM DOUGLAS,) DAVID PEARSON, BRAD SEIFERS, and) ROD SWANSON,)) STIPULATION OF PARTIES TO STAY PROCEEDINGS AND [PROPOSED]	
13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER	
14	VS.)	
15	THE CITY OF SUNNYVALE, THE		
16	MAYOR OF SUNNYVALE, ANTHONY) SPITALERI in his official capacity, THE))	
17	CHIEF OF THE SUNNYVALE () DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, ())	
18	FRANK GRGURINA, in his official)capacity, and DOES 1-10,))	
19	Defendants.		
20))	
21 22			
22 23			
23 24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1		
	STIPULATION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CV-13-05807		

Case5:13-cv-05807-RMW Document63 Filed03/21/14 Page2 of 5

1	This stipulation is entered into by and among Plaintiffs LEONARD FYOCK, SCOTT	
2	HOCHSTETLER, WILLIAM DOUGLAS, DAVID PEARSON, BRAD SEIFERS, and ROD	
3	SWANSON, and Defendants THE CITY OF SUNNYVALE, MAYOR ANTHONY SPITALERI,	
4	and CHIEF FRANK GRGURINA (collectively "the City"), by and through their respective	
5	attorneys of record, pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 7-12.	
6	WHEREAS, this Court entered a denial of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction	
7	on March 5, 2014;	
8	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs appealed this Court's denial of their Motion for Preliminary	
9	Injunction to the Ninth Circuit on March 5, 2014;	
10	WHEREAS, the Court retains jurisdiction to stay its own proceedings pending appeal,	
11	see, e.g., Gray v. Golden Gate Nat'l Recreation Area, No. 08-00722, 2011 WL 6934433, at *1	
12	(N.D. Cal. Dec. 29, 2011); see also Fed. R. App. P. 8;	
13	WHEREAS, neither party will be harmed by the issuance of a stay—instead, "granting a	
14	stay will benefit both parties to this action by sparing them the expense of contemporaneous	
15	litigation and the accompanying fees and expenditure of time" inherent in pursuing litigation in	
16	both this Court and the Court of Appeals. See Order at 6, Baker v. Kealoha, No. 11-00528 (D.	
17	Haw. June 19, 2012).	
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between all parties through their respective	
19	counsel that further proceedings in this matter, including discovery, pre-trial motions, and trial,	
20	shall be stayed until further order of this Court, pending resolution of Plaintiffs' appeal of this	
21	Court's interlocutory order denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction.	
22	///	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
	2 STIPULATION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CV-13-05807	

STIPULATION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CV-13-05807

1	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED that Plaintiffs' counsel shall file with this Court a copy		
2	of the Ninth Circuit's decision in Plaintiffs' interlocutory appeal in this action within ten (10)		
3	days from its issuance.		
4	Date: March 21, 2014	MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.	
5			
6		/s/ Anna M. Barvir	
7		ANNA M. BARVIR Attorney for Plaintiffs Leonard Fyock, Scott	
8 9		Hochstetler, William Douglas, David Pearson, Brad Seifers, and Rod Swanson	
10	Date: March 21, 2014	FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP	
11			
12		* <u>/s/ Roderick M. Thompson</u> RODERICK M. THOMPSON	
13		Attorney for Defendants The City of Sunnyvale, Mayor Anthony Spitaleri, and	
14		Chief Frank Grgurina	
15			
16			
17 18			
10			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	* Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), this signatory, on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concurs in this filing's content and has authorized this filing.		
	3		
	STIPULATION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CV-13-05807		

Case5:13-cv-05807-RMW Document63 Filed03/21/14 Page4 of 5

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
2	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE and in accordance with the Stipulation of		
3	Parties to Stay Further District Court Proceedings, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:		
4	1. All proceedings in this matter, including discovery, pre-trial motions, and trial,		
5	shall be stayed until further order of this Court, pending resolution of Plaintiffs' appeal of this		
6	Court's interlocutory order denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction.		
7	2. Plaintiffs' counsel shall file with this Court a copy of the Ninth Circuit's decision		
8	in Plaintiffs' interlocutory appeal in this action within ten (10) days from its issuance.		
9	The Court further orders as follows:		
10			
11			
12			
13	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14			
15	Date:		
16	Honorable Judge Ronald M. Whyte United States District Court Judge		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22 22			
23 24			
24 25			
23 26			
20 27			
27			
20			
	4		
	STIPULATION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CV-13-05807		

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN JO	SE DIVISION	
4	LEONARD FYOCK, SCOTT	CASE NO: CV13-05807 RMW	
5	HOCHSTETLER, WILLIAM DOUGLAS, DAVID PEARSON, BRAD SEIFERS, and ROD SWANSON,) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
6	Plaintiffs,		
7	VS.		
 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	THE CITY OF SUNNYVALE, THE MAYOR OF SUNNYVALE, ANTHONY SPITALERI, in his official capacity, THE CHIEF OF THE SUNNYVALE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, FRANK GRGURINA, in his official capacity, and DOES 1-10, Defendants. IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT: I, the undersigned, am a citizen of the My business address is 180 E. Ocean Blvd., S I am not a party to the above-entitled STIPULATION OF PART	action. I have caused service of:	
19	AND [PROPOSED] ORDER on the following party by electronically filing the foregoing with the Clerk of the District Court using its ECF System, which electronically notifies them.		
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	Roderick M. Thompson Anthony P. Schoenberg Rochelle L. Woods Farella Braun + Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 aschoenberg@fbm.com I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 21, 2014.		
	5 STIPULATION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CV-13-05807		