Case5:13-cv-05807-RMW Document38 Filed01/29/14 Page1 of 5

1	Roderick M. Thompson (State Bar No. 9619)	2)	
2	rthompson@fbm.com Anthony P. Schoenberg (State Bar No. 203714)		
3	aschoenberg@fbm.com Rochelle L. Woods (State Bar No. 282415)		
4	rwoods@fbm.com Evan M. Engstrom (State Bar No. 267300)		
	eengstrom@fbm.com Farella Braun + Martel LLP		
5	235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor		
6	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 954-4400		
7	Facsimile: (415) 954-4480		
8	Attorneys for Defendants THE CITY OF SUNNYVALE, THE MAYC	OR OF	
9	9 SUNNYVALE, ANTHONY SPITALERI in his official capacity, THE CHIEF OF THE SUNNYVALE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC		
10			
11	SAFETY, FRANK GRGURINA, in his offic capacity	121	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
15			
16	LEONARD FYOCK,	Case No. 13-cv-05807 RMW	
17	SCOTT HOCHSTETLER, WILLIAM DOUGLAS,	DECLARATION OF FRANK GRGURINA IN	
18	DAVID PEARSON, BRAD SEIFERS, and ROD SWANSON,	SUPPORT OF SUNNYVALE'S OPPOSITION	
		TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	
19	Plaintiffs,		
20	V.	Date: February 21, 2014	
21	THE CITY OF SUNNYVALE, THE MAYOR OF SUNNYVALE,	Time: 9:00 a.m. Location: San Jose Courthouse	
22	ANTHONY SPITALERI in his official capacity, THE CHIEF OF THE	Courtroom 6 – 4 th Floor 280 South 1 st Street	
23	SUNNYVALE DEPARTMENT OF	San Jose, CA 95113	
24	PUBLIC SAFETY, FRANK GRGURINA, in his official capacity, and DOES 1-10		
25	Defendants.		
26			
27			
28			
Farella Braun + Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor		- 1 - 29688\4022649.	
San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400	DECL. OF FRANK GRGURINA IN OPPOSITI	ON TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	

DECL. OF FRANK GRGURINA IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

I, Frank Grgurina, declare as follows:

- 1. I am the Chief of the Sunnyvale Department of Public Safety and have served in this role since November 2011. Prior to my current position, I was a sworn officer for the Fremont Police Department ("FPD") for over 22 years. I held a wide variety of assignments throughout my career at FPD including positions as a Field Training Officer, Street Crimes Detective, Narcotics Agent, SWAT Team Member, and as a certified instructor in weaponless defense. My final position at FPD was that of a Police Captain with oversight of all field operations. As a supervisor, manager, and as a second in command, I had the opportunity to oversee almost every work group at the Fremont Police Department.
- 2. The information stated in this declaration is based on my knowledge, training, education and experience.
- 3. I understand that the plaintiffs in this case contend that they need to use large capacity magazines to defend themselves or their homes effectively. I am not personally aware of any specific instance in the Cities of Fremont and Sunnyvale where a citizen was unable to defend him or herself as a result of not having a large capacity magazine.
- 4. In my opinion, possession and use of large capacity magazines by those who commit crimes poses a significant threat to public safety personnel and members of the community. I am aware of several incidents in which criminal suspects have used a large capacity magazine to harm and kill others and themselves. As an example, Criminal Suspect Shareef Allman killed three co-workers and seriously injured several others individuals in a shooting rampage which began in the City of Cupertino and ended in the City of Sunnyvale. The incident came to a deadly conclusion in the City of Sunnyvale, when Allman was confronted by Santa Clara County Sheriff's Deputies resulting in an exchange of gun fire. Allman had been in possession of several weapons, which included high capacity magazines. The incident lasted for approximately 22 hours, placing members of the community in danger for an extended period of time and requiring a massive public safety response.
- 5. I am familiar with the Sunnyvale Department of Public Safety's ("DPS") expectations for off duty public safety officers. DPS officers are afforded the opportunity to take

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	111

enforcement action when confronted with criminal situations and may act as law enforcement
officers at any time. This may involve assisting on-duty officers under appropriate circumstances
or taking individual action while off-duty. Officers must also have the ability to defend
themselves against subjects who may wish to harm them due to their role as a law enforcement
officer.

6. I have been informed by the Sunnyvale City Attorney, Joan A. Borger, that Section 9.44.050 does not apply to law enforcement personnel. Law enforcement officers are exempt from Section 9.44.050, whether they are in possession of LCMs while on or off-duty. This exemption applies equally to Sunnyvale law enforcement personnel authorized to carry LCMs and to off-duty officers from other jurisdictions. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of a memorandum by Joan A. Borger and Rebecca L. Moon regarding exemptions to Section 9.44.050.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Declaration was executed on January 29, 2014, at Sunnyvale, California.

Frank Grgurina

Farella Braun + Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floo San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400

28

EXHIBIT A

To
DECLARATION OF FRANK
GRGURINA IN SUPPORT OF
SUNNYVALE'S OPPOSITION TO
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION



CITY OF SUNNYVALE

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

P.O. BOX 3707 SUNNVYALE, CALIFORNIA 94088-3707 TEL: (408) 730-7464 FAX: (408) 730-7468

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO:

Frank Grgurina, Chief of Public Safety

FROM:

Joan A. Borger, City Attorney

Rebecca L. Moon, Assistant City Attorney

DATE:

December 13, 2013

RE:

Law Enforcement Exemption for Large-Capacity Magazines

As you requested, this memo confirms the informal advice previously given by our office in regard to the interpretation of the law enforcement exemption in Sunnyvale Municipal Code Section 9.44.050(c)(2) (Sunnyvale Measure C).

Section 9.44.050(c)(2) provides that the prohibition on possession of large-capacity magazines does not apply to [1] "[a]ny government officer, agent, or employee, member of the armed forces of the United States, or peace officer, [2] to the extent that such person is otherwise authorized to possess a large-capacity magazine and does so while acting within the course and scope of his or her duties". The section does not apply to any person who satisfies both conditions.

Questions have been raised concerning the application of this section to:

- (1) Officers who possess large-capacity magazines while off-duty.
- (2) Possession of personal (non-duty) weapons by officers.
- (3) Officers from other jurisdictions who are visiting or traveling through Sunnyvale.

It is our opinion that individuals listed in Section 9.44.050(c)(2), who are authorized as part of their duties to possess and use large-capacity magazines, are exempt under 9.44.050(c)(2) at all times, both while on and off duty. Further, the exemption is not limited to official duty weapons. Finally, the exemption applies to same extent to officers from other jurisdictions while in Sunnyvale.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any additional questions or concerns.

