Case5:13-cv-05807-RMW Document8 Filed12/23/13 Page1 of 3

1 2	Roderick M. Thompson (State Bar No. 96192) rthompson@fbm.com Anthony P. Schoenberg (State Bar No. 203714)		
3	aschoenberg@fbm.com Evan M. Engstrom (State Bar No. 267300)		
4	eengstrom@fbm.com James H. Baker (State Bar No. 291836)		
5	jbaker@fbm.com Farella Braun + Martel LLP		
6	235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104		
7	Telephone: (415) 954-4400 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480		
8	Attorneys for Defendants		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11	LEONARD FYOCK, SCOTT	Case No. CV13-05807 RMW	
12	HOCHSTETLER, WILLIAM DOUGLAS, DAVID PEARSON, BRAD SEIFERS, and	STIPULATION AND	
13	ROD SWANSON,	[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING	
14	Plaintiffs,	BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY	
15	V.	INJUNCTION	
16	THE CITY OF SUNNYVALE, THE MAYOR OF SUNNYVALE, ANTHONY	[LOCAL RULE 6.2]	
17	SPITALERI in his official capacity, THE CHIEF OF THE SUNNYVALE	Action Filed: Dec. 23, 2013	
18	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, FRANK GRGURINA, in his official capacity,		
19	and DOES 1-10		
20	Defendants.		
21		•	
22	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Leonard Fyock, S	Scott Hochstetler, William Douglas, David	
23	Pearson, Brad Seifers, and Rod Swanson have filed this action challenging the constitutionality of		
24	Sunnyvale Municipal Code § 9.44.050;		
25	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have informed De	efendants City of Sunnyvale et. al. that Plaintiffs	
26	have reserved with the Court a hearing date of F	February 7, 2014 for their motion for a preliminary	
27	injunction.		
28	WHEREAS, to enable the parties to provide full information to assist the Court in		
l LLP 7th Floor 4104	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE CASE NO. CV13-05807 RMW	29688\4009707.1	

Case5:13-cv-05807-RMW Document8 Filed12/23/13 Page2 of 3

1	resolving Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction, the parties request that the Court enter an	
2	order setting the following briefing schedule for Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction	
3	pursuant to Local Civil Rule 6-2:	
4	1. Plaintiffs will file their motion for preliminary injunction today, December 23,	
5	2014.	
6	2. Defendants shall file their brief in opposition to the preliminary injunction motion	
7	no later than January 12, 2014	
8	3. Plaintiffs shall file any reply brief in support of their motion for preliminary	
9	injunction no later than January 24, 2014;	
10	IT IS SO STIPULATED	
11	Dated: December 23, 2013	
12		
13	By: /s/	
14	Anthony Schoenberg	
15	Attorney for Defendants	
16	Dated: December 23, 2013	
17		
18	By: /s/*	
19	C.D. Michel	
20	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
21	*Pursuant to GO 45, the electronic signatory has	
22	obtained approval from this signatory.	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 el LLP 17th Floor	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	

Farella Braun + Martel LLP
235 Montgomery Street, 17th Flor
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 954-4400

Case5:13-cv-05807-RMW Document8 Filed12/23/13 Page3 of 3

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	
3	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	
5	DATE:
6	HON. RONALD M. WHYTE
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	1

Farella Braun + Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 954-4400