1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 - - - - - - X 3 MALONEY, 03-CV-786(PKC) : 4 Plaintiff, U.S. Courthouse 5 Brooklyn, New York TRANSCRIPT OF 6 -against-STATUS CONFERENCE 7 8 October 24, 2013 12:05 p.m. 9 RICE, 10 Defendant. - - - - - - X 11 BEFORE : 12 HONORABLE PAMELA K. CHEN, U.S.D.J. 13 **APPEARANCES:** 14 For the Plaintiff: JAMES MALONEY, ESQ.-PRO SE 15 16 For the Defendant: 17 LIORA BEN-SOREK, ESQ. Asst. County Attorney-Nassau County 18 19 20 Holly Driscoll, CSR Official Court Reporter 21 Court Reporter: 225 Cadman Plaza East 22 Brooklyn, New York 11201 (718) 613-2274 23 24 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by Computer-Assisted Transcript. 25

1 THE COURT: Good afternoon to you both. Sorry we 2 are a few minutes late here. 3 MR. MALONEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'll 4 state my appearance, James Maloney, pro se plaintiff, also an attorney admitted to this court. 5 I'm going to make one request, please 6 THE COURT: 7 speak very slowly for our court reporter. 8 MR. MALONEY: Very slowly, I will do my best. 9 THE COURT: I will too. 10 And for the county? 11 MS. BEN-SOREK: And for the defendant, District 12 Attorney Kathleen Rice, this is Deputy County Attorney Liora 13 Ben-Sorek, B E N - S O R E K. 14 THE COURT: Could you spell that again, B E N. 15 MS. BEN-SOREK: - S O R E K. 16 THE COURT: Ben Sorek. 17 Good afternoon to you both. You can have a seat. 18 Now, this is a fairly unusual case that was in a state of 19 repose for a little bit until we sent out an order for a 20 status report and we obviously got more than what we had 21 counted on in a way in that the case has a very interesting 22 history and it now presents itself at a very interesting 23 stage. 24 I'll tell you what I think needs to happen next and 25 then you can give me your feedback. The case obviously

initially was ruled upon by Judge Spatt with respect to 1 2 several issues including the Second Amendment issue, a Ninth Amendment issue and a Fourteenth Amendment issue. What ended 3 4 up happening, of course, is the case got appealed after Judge Spatt dismissed the action and the Second Circuit affirmed on 5 the Second Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment issue. 6 That 7 then went up to the Supreme Court and the Supreme Court in the 8 interim had decided McDonald and because Judge Spatt and the 9 Second Circuit's ruling was based on the inapplicability of 10 the Second Amendment to the states, it ran afoul of McDonald 11 and then was sent back by the Supreme Court to the Second 12 Circuit which then I think fairly promptly sent it back to me 13 because Judge Spatt is no longer on the case.

14 So, where I perceive that we are is that there needs to be briefing on the Second Amendment issue. 15 Now, I should 16 note that Mr. Maloney in the interim between the time that the case I think was referred -- after actually the case -- yes, 17 18 after the case was referred back by the Supreme Court, 19 Mr. Maloney filed a second amended complaint and he added an 20 additional count or cause of action relating to the disclosure 21 of information about an allegation of child abuse. Is that 22 correct, Mr. Maloney?

23 MR. MALONEY: It's correct that the complaint was 24 amended. You're asking me just about the last part about the 25 whole synopsis, because I might have a few points?

1	THE COURT: Hold off, that was a seriously compound
2	question for you. Hold on. So, right now we have an amended
3	complaint in front of us that has as a first cause of action
4	the same Second Amendment argument that the nunchuks are
5	protected by the Second Amendment in terms of his individual
6	right to possess them. The second cause of action takes issue
7	with the criminalization and for that you are alleging,
8	Mr. Maloney, that it's by virtue of the Ninth Amendment due
9	process, as recognized in Lawrence versus Texas, and the
10	Fourteenth Amendment, the general notion that there are rights
11	that individuals have by virtue of the Fourteenth Amendment;
12	and then your third cause of action is this allegation
13	relating to the disclosure by the D.A.'s Office in its
14	appellee brief about the charge or the allegation of child
15	abuse. Okay. So, those are the three counts.
16	Have I correctly stated those, Mr. Maloney?
17	MR. MALONEY: You correctly stated the counts and
18	I just wanted to add that there had been in the original
19	complaint a cause of action based on the First Amendment
20	that Judge Spatt dismissed that I have abandoned, so that's
21	off the table.

THE COURT: I'm aware of that. Okay. What I'm also wondering though is with respect to this third cause of action, there has been some dispute over discovery that relates to that third cause of action and most recently the

> HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

magistrate denied you, Mr. Maloney, discovery with respect to
D.A. Rice herself, in other words, a deposition of the
District Attorney. It appeared to me in some of your last
submissions that you felt you may not be able to pursue your
third cause of action without some of the discovery that you
were denied; is that correct?

MR. MALONEY: Well, I'm prepared either way with
that. Obviously my first preference was to depose D.A. Rice.
That having been ruled on, I believe that the record is
sufficient for me to make a summary judgment motion, a partial
summary judgment motion on the issue of liability.

12THE COURT: Okay. Now, did you want to add anything13at all, Ms. Ben-Sorek, just in terms of the history?

MS. BEN-SOREK: Notwithstanding the defendant's
recent request for discovery, the history as stated by the
Court we don't take any issue with.

17 THE COURT: Okay. So, with respect to your current 18 complaint, Mr. Maloney, here's how I see it, I believe that 19 Judge Spatt has already ruled on the Ninth Amendment issue and 20 the Fourteenth Amendment issue, as did the Second Circuit on 21 the Fourteenth Amendment issue. I do not perceive that the 22 Supreme Court decision remanding the case altered either of 23 those findings. Rather, the Supreme Court really ruled or 24 solely ruled on the Second Amendment issue in remanding it 25 saying that the Second Amendment does apply to the states,

therefore, it's being sent back to the Second Circuit, and
 then also to me to decide that issue. That's what I think
 remains of your complaint about the nunchuks at this point in
 time. And then you have this additional complaint about the
 disclosure of the information about the alleged child abuse.

6 So, in terms of briefing, I think those are the two 7 issues that have to be briefed, summary judgment, as you 8 mentioned, if you're seeking it on the third cause of action, 9 and then the question presented by the first cause of action 10 about the applicability of the Second Amendment to nunchuks.

11 MR. MALONEY: To possession of nunchaku within the 12 home, yes, strictly in the home and I always want to make that 13 point very clear.

14

THE COURT: Understood.

15 MR. MALONEY: And that indeed relates to my 16 unenumerated rights argument. I would respectfully have to 17 disagree that the Supreme Court's order did not reopen the 18 second cause of action only because it was a vacatur of the 19 entire order. However, I agree with Your Honor fully that the 20 best argument to pursue in the summary judgment motion now 21 that the Second Amendment has been invigorated is that one and 22 so I would couch my briefing in terms of simply making that 23 motion noting that I would take the position that I have the 24 other argument but I will not brief that.

25

THE COURT: I appreciate you pursuing the more

1 efficient course and I agree with you on that, that perhaps 2 there could be some debate, although ultimately I think I 3 would come down on the side of saying that the only issue 4 remaining is the Second Amendment, but we are in agreement that I think that is a more not only interesting but 5 potentially viable avenue for you in terms of your first and 6 7 second causes of action. So, I'd like to set up a briefing 8 schedule assuming that both parties agree that we really need 9 to move towards the dispositive phase of this case. 10 So, you will presumably start I think, Mr. Maloney, so how much time would you need? 11 12 MR. MALONEY: About four to five weeks, late 13 November would be fine. 14 THE COURT: Yes, I will actually give you some more time because just I'm thinking about my own schedule, we have 15 16 a lot of motions pending, so I'd rather give you the time to 17 prepare it than rather us not respond to it. 18 So, how about mid-December 15th or somewhere there 19 about? 20 THE CLERK: The 16th falls on a Monday. 21 THE COURT: How about the 16th of December to serve 22 your initial brief on your opposing counsel? Right. And Your Honor has a bundle 23 MR. MALONEY: 24 rule, so that will not be filed at that time. 25 THE COURT: That's correct.

HOLLY DRISCOLL, CSR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

MR. MALONEY: May I request since this is two rather 1 2 big issues that I be granted 40 pages for that brief? 3 THE COURT: Yes. Yes. 4 MR. MALONEY: Thank you. And I have a concession to make as well, I was also challenging the applicability of 5 6 265.02 of the Penal Law. 7 THE COURT: Right. 8 MR. MALONEY: I really have to concede I don't have 9 standing, I'm happy to say I don't have standing, that only 10 applies to persons who have been convicted of a misdemeanor. 11 So, that is off the table and that will not be in there. So, 12 I just note that primarily for my opponent that it is not 13 going to be something that we need to deal with. 14 THE COURT: I appreciate that and, quite frankly, I was treating it as somewhat subsumed by the Second Amendment 15 16 argument. You make a good point that specifically you don't 17 Okay. Terrific. have standing. 18 How much time would you like? 19 MS. BEN-SOREK: Well, we'd also like to cross-move, 20 Your Honor, so I don't know if you want each party to serve on 21 the other their briefs by the 16th, or how do you prefer it? THE COURT: 22 It is interesting. My preference was 23 always to have them simultaneous but the parties have often 24 told me, and I'm happy to do whatever works best for you, is 25 that it is sometimes better to stagger it, that it is probably

difficult from your point of view to both respond to and to 1 2 file a motion, you know, to craft a motion while you're 3 responding to another. So, why don't we do this, let's have them run on 4 separate tracks slightly. So, Mr. Maloney is serving on 5 6 December 16th. 7 How about giving you a month to respond or do you 8 want more time given it --9 MS. BEN-SOREK: Five weeks in light of the extra 10 number of pages. THE COURT: 11 Sure, and you'll have an equal number of 12 pages. So, five weeks -- you also have the holidays in the 13 interim. 14 THE CLERK: January 20th. 15 Is that enough time for you? THE COURT: 16 MS. BEN-SOREK: I'll make it enough time. 17 THE COURT: Why don't we make it the 27th. I'm 18 anticipating, because it is almost two weeks in the interim 19 where -- I don't know what your work habits are but often 20 times people aren't around as much. So, January 27th for your 21 response. 22 And then would you like another month, Mr. Maloney, 23 to reply? 24 MR. MALONEY: That would be fine, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Okay.

THE CLERK: February 27th.

1

THE COURT: February 27th. So, now let's go back to you, Ms. Ben-Sorek, and when would you like to file your initial motion for summary judgment? I mean these have to be related, though I'm not sure will it be any different than responding to his motion. I don't know, I'm just thinking as I'm sitting here, what is it going to look like that will be different.

9 MS. BEN-SOREK: In a sense -- I'm trying to envision 10 it myself.

11 Why don't we do this, we'll set up some THE COURT: 12 deadlines for you. If you feel like it will end up looking 13 the same, you could decide not to cross-move, you could simply 14 respond. I mean I guess the idea is you have the burden I 15 guess on your summary judgment motion to show that there's no 16 genuine dispute, right, about a material fact and that you win 17 and you would win I guess if nunchuks are not covered by the 18 Second Amendment, and then the issue about the disclosure is a 19 little bit more nuanced but there may be some purpose -- why 20 don't we do this, I don't know, I have to think about that, 21 but why don't we set up a schedule for you.

MS. BEN-SOREK: I was going to suggest maybe two
 weeks after, for instance, my papers due by the 30th of - THE COURT: Yes.
 MS. BEN-SOREK: -- December.

11 1 THE COURT: Right. 2 MS. BEN-SOREK: Mid-February for Mr. Maloney and then March for our response. 3 4 THE COURT: Sounds perfect. 5 So, one other option is maybe to make your opposition a combination cross-motion and opposition because I 6 7 think it will save you some pages to some extent because they 8 are going to overlap. 9 MS. BEN-SOREK: It will probably save the Court from 10 having to read some of the same arguments twice as well. 11 THE COURT: Yes, I think so. It will be repetitive, 12 we'll avoid some repetition. Why don't you try that. For 13 whatever reason if you think it doesn't work, you can let us 14 know and we'll come up with a different schedule. 15 For now let's assume that your cross-motion will be 16 attached to your or part of your opposition to his summary 17 judgment, so it will be due January 27th. 18 MS. BEN-SOREK: January 27th, County's opposition 19 and cross-motion. Then we just need the date for the County's 20 reply. 21 THE COURT: So, the last date we have was --Yes. 22 THE CLERK: February 27th. 23 (Pause while clerk confers with the Court.) 24 THE COURT: Mr. Maloney's reply is due February 25 27th. So, the same would go for you then, Mr. Maloney, your

	12
1	reply will be an opposition to her cross-motion and then
2	February 27th was Mr. Maloney's reply and so, therefore, we
3	need another three weeks, two weeks; what would you like,
4	Ms. Ben-Sorek?
5	MS. BEN-SOREK: Three weeks would be helpful, Your
6	Honor.
7	THE CLERK: March 20.
8	THE COURT: March 20.
9	Okay. So, to recap, what do we have, Fida?
10	THE CLERK: We have December 16 to serve, January 27
11	to respond.
12	THE COURT: And to serve your cross-motion,
13	Ms. Ben-Sorek.
14	THE CLERK: February 27 for plaintiff to reply and
15	to respond to the cross-motion.
16	THE COURT: Perfect.
17	THE CLERK: And then we have March 20th for the
18	County's reply.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MS. BEN-SOREK: A little housekeeping measure,
21	as far as the bundle rule where there's a cross-motion, how
22	exactly does that work?
23	THE COURT: So, when your reply, which is the last
24	salvo in this, gets served, everybody file their submissions,
25	so that would be March 20th.

MR. MALONEY: And just to clarify, each side will 1 2 simply file their own papers rather than movant's versus 3 respondent's? 4 THE COURT: Correct. MR. MALONEY: 5 Right. THE COURT: Correct. Now, one question about 6 7 page limits. You have each 40 pages for the -- your motion, 8 Mr. Maloney. Obviously then you have, in addition, 9 Ms. Ben-Sorek, a potential -- I guess you would get normally 10 20. What's the page limit 20, 25? I'm looking at my law 11 clerks. 12 (The Court confers with the clerk.) 13 THE COURT: 25 pages. If you want to go up to 60 14 pages for your cross-motion and opposition, same for you, so 15 we'll make it 60. I would think we don't need to go beyond 16 It seems like an awful lot actually. that. 17 (Pause.) 18 THE COURT: Sorry about that. We just have to 19 consider all the other things we have going on. Okay. So, 20 any questions about the schedule? Have we made it complicated 21 enough for you? 22 MS. BEN-SOREK: Just to make things more 23 complicated, 56.1 statements and 56.1 opposition to one another? 24 25 THE COURT: Correct, at the same time you file your

1 opposition.

•	
2	MS. BEN-SOREK: Fine.
3	THE COURT: It may well be that you end up serving
4	two different documents, I guess, if that works out better.
5	So, perhaps once you get into the drafting of it, you'll
6	figure out what makes sense, a combined document or separate
7	document, and, again, if the timing doesn't work, you can talk
8	to each other and see if you could come up with a mutually
9	agreeable schedule. Okay.
10	Any questions from either side?
11	MR. MALONEY: No, Your Honor.
12	MS. BEN-SOREK: No, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Terrific. Thank you.
14	MR. MALONEY: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Thanks for waiting.
16	MS. BEN-SOREK: You're welcome.
17	(Pause in the proceedings.)
18	
19	THE COURT: So, wait, can we go back on the record
20	for one second. Just to clarify, with respect to the other
21	submissions, the replies, you're not asking for any additional
22	number of pages, are you?
23	MR. MALONEY: I believe the your rule is ten pages,
24	Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Is that too short, should we make it 20?

1 MR. MALONEY: Just for safety. I'll try not to go 2 that far. 3 THE COURT: Let's make it 20 for the replies. 4 MR. MALONEY: It seems to me there's only one that's purely reply, one is a reply and an op. 5 6 THE COURT: You, Mr. Maloney, have a reply and an 7 opposition, right. You're getting 40 pages for your 8 opposition. 9 MR. MALONEY: Right. 10 THE COURT: So, again, you'll end up with the magic 11 number of 60 I guess. 12 MR. MALONEY: I'll keep it well below that, Your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. The trees thank you. We 15 thank you. 16 (Clerk conferring with the Court.) 17 (Pause.) 18 THE COURT: Essentially 60 with each volley I think. 19 Let's recap. Mr. Maloney starts with 40, 20 Ms. Ben-Sorek gets 60. 21 So, it is 20, 40. THE CLERK: 22 THE COURT: Right. And then Mr. Maloney gets 60 23 then in response because he'll get both reply and -- and then 24 you'll end up with 20 at the end, Ms. Ben-Sorek. 25 MS. BEN-SOREK: Okay. It should work.

THE COURT: It seems so. MR. MALONEY: We should count up the grand total of pages that the Court will be reviewing, it seems like a large number. THE COURT: It seems like a frightening number. Definitely an interesting issue, folks. MS. BEN-SOREK: We'll clear a few more forests out. Thank you, Your Honor. MR. MALONEY: Thank you. (Time noted: 12:25 p.m.) (End of proceedings.)