

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, ET.AL.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MS. KAMALA D. HARRIS.,

Defendant,

1: 11 CV 02137 AWI SKO

SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed.R.Civ.P 16)

Discovery Deadlines:

Non Expert: 5/15/2013

Expert: 8/15/2013

Mid-Discovery Status Conference:

December 13, 2012

Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:

Filing: 9/25/2013

Dispositive Motion Deadlines:

Filing: 10/30/2013

Pre-Trial Conference:

1/29/2014 at 8:30 a.m.

Courtroom 2

Court Trial: 3/25/2014 at 8:30 a.m.

Courtroom 2 (7 days)

**I. Date of Scheduling Conference**

May 15, 2012.

**II. Appearances of Counsel**

Jason Davis, Esq., appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs.

Jonathan Eisenberg, Esq., appeared on behalf of Defendant.

1           **III.    Amendment to the Parties' Pleadings**

2           The parties do not anticipate any amendments to the pleadings at this time. Any  
3 motions or stipulations requesting leave to amend the pleadings must be filed no later than  
4 8/15/2012. The parties are advised that filing motions and/or stipulations requesting leave to amend  
5 the pleadings by 8/15/2012 does not reflect on the propriety of the amendment or imply good cause  
6 to modify the existing schedule, if necessary. All proposed amendments must (A) be supported by  
7 good cause pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b) if the amendment requires any modification to the  
8 existing schedule, *see Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992),  
9 and (B) establish, under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a), that such an amendment is not (1) prejudicial to the  
10 opposing party, (2) the product of undue delay, (3) proposed in bad faith, or (4) futile, *see Foman*  
11 *v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).

12           **IV.    Consent to the Magistrate Judge**

13           Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the parties have not consented to conduct all further  
14 proceedings in this case, including trial, before the Honorable Sheila K. Oberto, U.S. Magistrate  
15 Judge.

16           **V.     Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date**

17           The parties advised the Court that the exchange of the initial disclosures required by  
18 Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) has been completed.

19           The parties are ordered to complete all discovery pertaining to non-experts on or  
20 before 5/15/2013 and all discovery pertaining to experts on or before 8/15/2013.

21           A mid-discovery status conference shall be held on December 13, 2012, before  
22 Magistrate Sheila K. Oberto at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 7. A status report shall be filed by the parties  
23 at least seven (7) days prior to the mid-discovery status conference. Telephonic appearances are  
24 encouraged and should the parties desire to appear telephonically, they should note this in the caption  
25 of their mid-discovery status conference. If the parties determine that a discovery status conference  
26 is unnecessary, they may contact the Court and request that the conference be vacated.

The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or before 5/31/2013, and to disclose all supplemental experts on or before 6/28/2013. The written designation of experts **shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A) and (B) and shall include all information required thereunder.** Failure to designate experts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this order.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of sanctions, which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert testimony.

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

#### **VI. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule**

All Non-Dispositive Pre-Trial Motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed by no later than 4:00 p.m. on 9/25/2013 and heard on or before 10/23/2013. Non-Dispositive motions are heard on Wednesdays at 9:30 a.m., before the Honorable Sheila K. Oberto, United States Magistrate Judge in Courtroom 8. In scheduling such motions, the parties shall comply with Local Rule 230. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from calendar. In scheduling such motions, the Magistrate Judge may grant applications for an order shortening time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion *must* comply with Local Rule 251.

The parties are advised that unless prior leave of the Court is obtained, all moving and opposition briefs or legal memorandum in civil cases before Judge Oberto shall not exceed thirty (30) pages. Reply briefs by the moving party shall not exceed ten (10) pages. These page limitations do no include exhibits. Briefs that exceed this page limitation, or that are sought to be

1 filed without leave, may not be considered by the Court. In addition, all pleadings shall be filed by  
2 no later than 4:00 p.m. on the due date.

3  
4 Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions by telephone, provided a  
5 written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than five  
6 (5) court days before the noticed hearing date. In the event that more than one counsel requests to  
7 appear by telephone, then it shall be the obligation of the moving part(ies) to arrange and originate  
8 a conference call to the court.

9 All Dispositive Pre-Trial Motions shall be filed no later than 10/30/2013 and heard  
10 no later than 12/9/2013, in Courtroom 2, before the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii, United States  
11 District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, counsel shall comply with **Fed.R.Civ.P 56 and**  
12 **Local Rules 230 and 260.**

13 **Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication**

14 Prior to filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication  
15 the parties are ORDERED to meet, in person or by telephone, and confer to discuss the issues to be  
16 raised in the motion.

17 The purpose of the meeting shall be to: (1) avoid filing motions for summary  
18 judgment where a question of fact exists, (2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the  
19 motion has merit in whole or in part, (3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity  
20 of briefing, (4) narrow the issues for review by the court, (5) explore the possibility of settlement  
21 before the parties incur the expense of briefing a summary judgment motion, and (6) arrive at a joint  
22 statement of undisputed facts.

23 The moving party shall initiate the meeting and provide a draft of the joint statement  
24 of undisputed facts. **In addition to complying with the requirements of Local Rule 260, the**  
25 **moving party shall file a joint statement of undisputed facts.**

1 In the notice of motion, the moving party shall certify that the parties have met and  
2 conferred as ordered above or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer.

3 **VII. Pre-Trial Conference Date**

4 January 29, 2014, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 2 before The Honorable Anthony W.  
5 Ishii, United States District Judge.

6 The parties are ordered to file a **Joint Pretrial Statement pursuant to Local Rule**  
7 **281(a)(2)**. The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in Word  
8 Perfect X4<sup>1</sup> format, directly to Judge Ishii's chambers by email at AWIorders@caed.uscourts.gov.

9 The parties' attention is directed to **Rules 281 and 282 of the Local Rules** of Practice  
10 for the Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-trial  
11 conference. The Court will insist upon strict compliance with those rules. In addition to the matters  
12 set forth in the Local Rules, the Joint Pretrial Statement shall include a Joint Statement of the case  
13 to be used by the Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.

14 **VIII. Trial Date**

15 March 25, 2014, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 2 before the Honorable Anthony W. Ishii,  
16 United States District Court Judge.

17 A. This is a Jury trial.

18 B. Parties' estimate of trial time: 5-6 days.

19 C. The parties' attention is directed to Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern  
20 District of California, Rule 285 for preparation of trial briefs.

21 **IX. Settlement Conference**

22 A Settlement Conference is not set at this time.

23 **X. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or Other Techniques**  
24 **to Shorten Trial**

---

25  
26 <sup>1</sup> If WordPerfect X4 is not available to the parties then the latest version of WordPerfect  
27 available to the parties or any other word processing program in general use for IBM compatible  
28 personal computers is acceptable.

Not applicable at this time.

**XI. Related Matters Pending**

There are no pending related matters.

**XII. Compliance with Federal Procedure**

All parties are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently handle its increasing case load and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow the Rules as provided in both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

**XIII. Effect of this Order**

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

**The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation.**  
**Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate, attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested.**

The failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 15, 2012

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE