1	Jason A. Davis (Calif. Bar No. 224250)	
2	Davis & Associates 27281 Las Ramblas, Suite 200	
3	Mission Viejo, CA 92691	
<i>3</i>	Tel 949.310.0817/Fax 949.288.6894 E-Mail: Jason@CalGunLawyers.com	
5	Donald E.J. Kilmer., Jr. (Calif. Bar. No. 179986) Law Offices of Donald Kilmer	
6	A Professional Corporation	
6	1645 Willow Street, Suite 150 San Jose, CA 92125	
7	Tel 408.264.8489/Fax 408.564.8487 E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com	
8	E-Mail. Doll@DKLawOffice.com	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
10		
11	IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
12	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13		
14	JEFF SILVESTER, MICHAEL POESCHL, BRANDON COMBS, THE CALGUNS	Case No:
15	FOUNDATION, INC. a non-profit organization, and THE SECOND	COMPLAINT
16	AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, INC., a non-	42 U.S.C. §§ 1983, 1988
17	profit organization,	SECOND AMENDMENT
18	Plaintiffs,	EQUIDTEENTH AMENDMENT
19	VS.	FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT
20	KAMALA HARRIS, Attorney General of California (in her official and individual	
21	capacities), CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, and DOES 1 TO 20,	
22	Defendants.	
23	Detendants.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

### **COMPLAINT**

**COMES NOW** the Plaintiffs, JEFF SYLVESTER, MICHAEL POESCHL, BRANDON COMBS, THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC., and THE SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, INC. by and through undersigned counsel, and complain of the Defendants as follows:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiffs challenge the State of California's ten-day waiting periods for firearm acquisitions facially and as applied to individuals who already have at least one firearm registered in their name with the State of California. Said challenge is asserted as being in violation of the Second Amendment and the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

#### THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff JEFFREY SILVESTER ("SILVESTER") is a natural citizen of the United States, residing in Kings County, California. SILVESTER is an owner of a handgun that is registered in the State of California's Automated Firearms Systems ("AFS") database. SILVESTER also possesses a valid carry license pursuant to Penal Code section 26150, et seq.
- 3. Plaintiff MICHAEL POESCHL ("POESCHL") is a natural citizen of the United States, residing in Orange County, California. POESCHL is an owner of a handgun that is registered in the State of California's AFS database.
- 4. Plaintiff BRANDON COMBS ("COMBS") is a natural citizen of the United States, residing in the County of Madera, California. COMBS is an owner of a handgun that is registered in the State of California's AFS database. COMBS also possesses a valid California Certificate of Eligibility, which constitutes an ongoing and real-time background check. 11 C.C.R. §4036(b).
- 5. Plaintiff THE CALGUNS FOUNDATION, INC. ("CGF") is a non-profit organization incorporated under the laws of California with its principal place of business in San Carlos, California. The purposes of CGF include supporting the California

1	firearms community by promoting education for all stakeholders about California and	
2	federal firearm and ammunition laws, rights and privileges, and defending and protecting	
3	the civil rights of California gun owners. The purposes of CGF also include the	
4	protection of the rights of citizens to have firearms for the lawful defense of their	
5	families, persons, and property, and to promote public safety and law and order. CGF	
6	represents these members and supporters, which includes SYLVESTER, POESCHL,	
7	COMBS, and others who possess firearms registered in their names with the State of	
8	California. CGF brings this action on behalf of itself and its supporters, who possess all	
9	the indicia of membership.	

- 6. CGF is in the practice of informing and assisting local jurisdictions on constitutional issues relating to firearm regulations. For example, CGF has created and developed easy to use flowcharts designed to simplify California's complex semiautomatic firearms and carry license laws. CGF has also developed a program to promote and educate the public on each of the California counties' carry license policies and practices. Additionally, CGF promotes educational events with firearms related attorneys and experts to provide information to the public, including law enforcement.
- 7. Plaintiff SECOND AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, INC., ("SAF") is a non-profit membership organization incorporated under the laws of Washington with its principal place of business in Bellevue, Washington. SAF has over 650,000 members and supporters nationwide, including SYLVESTER, POESCHL, and COMBS. SAF represents these members and supporters, and others who possess firearms registered in their names with the State of California. The purpose of SAF includes education, research, publishing and legal action focusing on the Constitutional right to privately own and possess firearms, and the consequences of gun control. SAF brings this action on behalf of its members.
- 8. Collectively, SILVESTER, POESCHL, COMBS, CGF and SAF are referred to hereinafter as "Plaintiffs."
  - 9. Defendant KAMALA HARRIS ("HARRIS") is the Attorney General of the State

1	of California and is obligated to supervise her agency and comply with all statutory duties	
2	under California law. She is charged with enforcing, interpreting and promulgating	
3	regulations regarding the transfer of firearms under California law, including California's	
4	ten-day waiting period. HARRIS responsible for executing and administering	
5	California's laws, customs, practices, and policies at issue in this lawsuit. Defendant	
6	HARRIS is sued in her official and individual capacities.	
7	10. Defendant CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ("DOJ") is an agency	
8	of the State of California, headed by the Attorney General of the State, with a statutory	
9	duty to enforce, administer and interpret the law and promulgate regulations regarding	
10	the transfer of firearms under California law, including California's ten-day waiting	
11	period.	
12	11. At this time, Plaintiffs are ignorant of the names of any additional individuals	
13	responsible for implementing or enforcing the ten-day waiting periods. Plaintiffs	
14	therefore name these individuals as DOE Defendants and reserve the right to amend this	
15	Complaint when their true names are ascertained. Furthermore, if and when additional	
16	persons and entities are discovered to have assisted and/or lent support to the	
17	enforcement alleged herein, Plaintiffs reserve the right to amend this Complaint to add	
18	those persons and/or entities as Defendants.	
19	12. Collectively, HARRIS, DOJ and DOES are referred to hereinafter as	
20	"Defendants."	
21	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
22	13. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
23	§§1331, 1343, 2201, 2201, and 42 U.S.C. §1983.	
24	14. Venue lies in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.	
25	STATEMENT OF FACTS	
26	Second Amendment in the Home	
27	15. The Second Amendment to the United States Constitution states that: "A well	
28	regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to	

1	keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."
2	16. In 2008, the United States Supreme Court held that the District of Columbia's
3	requirement that permitted firearms within the home, but required that said firearms in
4	the home be kept inoperable made "it impossible for citizens to use [firearms] for the core
5	lawful purpose of self-defense and is hence unconstitutional." District of Columbia v.
6	Heller, 554 U.S. 570, 630 (2008).
7	17. In 2010, the United States Supreme Court held that "the Second Amendment right
8	to keep and bear arms" is "fundamental to our scheme of ordered liberty" and, therefore,
9	incorporated against the states through the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth
10	Amendment. McDonald v. Chicago, 130 S. Ct. 3020, 3036 (2010).
11	18. At a minimum, the Second Amendment guarantees individuals a fundamental
12	right to possess fully functional handguns in the home. The handguns whose possession
13	is protected by the Second Amendment are those of a kind that are or would be in
14	common use by law-abiding people for lawful purposes.
15	19. Corollary to the Second Amendment guarantee of an individual's fundamental
16	right to possess handguns in the home is the ability to acquire said handguns for
17	possession.
18	20. California, however, has placed restrictions on the access to and delivery of
19	firearms – generally subjecting firearm purchasers to a minimum ten-day ban on the

ery of on the delivery of firearms from a dealer to a consumer regardless of whether the individual is already known by the Defendants to both be permitted to possess firearms and to actually be registered within the State of California as an owner of a firearm.

#### California's Ten-Day Waiting Period Laws

- 21. California currently requires all firearm purchases to be subjected to a ten-day waiting period wherein a purchaser is prohibited from receiving his or her firearm that he or she has paid for or has otherwise received title to until ten-days after the purchaser has completed the necessary transfer paperwork with a licensed California firearms retailer.
  - 22. Specifically, Penal Code 26815(a) states:

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

No firearm shall be delivered . . . [w]ithin 10 days of the application to purchase, or, after notice by the department pursuant to Section 28220, within 10 days of the submission to the department of any correction to the application, or within 10 days of the submission to the department of any fee required pursuant to Section 28225, whichever is later.

23. Similarly, Penal Code section 27540 states:

No dealer . . . shall deliver a firearm to a person as follows: . . . [w]ithin 10 days of the application to purchase, or, after notice by the department pursuant to Section 28220, within 10 days of the submission to the department of any correction to the application, or within 10 days of the submission to the department of any fee required pursuant to Section 28225, whichever is later.

### Exemptions to the Ten-Day Waiting Periods

- 24. The ten-day waiting periods have multiple exemptions.
- 25. First, the ten-day waiting periods do not apply to certain law enforcement transactions. Penal Code §§26950, 27050, 27055, 27060, 27065 (exempting §26815); §§27600, 27605, 27610, 27615, and 27650 (exempting §27540).
- 26. Second, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to a dealer who delivers a firearm other than a handgun at an auction or similar event. Penal Code §§26955 (exempts from §26815); §27655 (exempts from §27540).
- 27. Third, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to dealer-to-dealer transfers of firearms. Penal Code §\$27110 and 27125 (exempts from §26815); §\$27710, and 27725 (exempts from §27540).
- 28. Fourth, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to transfers of firearms by a dealer to him or herself. Penal Code §§26960 and 27130 (exempts from §26815); §§27660 and 27730 (exempts from §27540.)
- 29. Fifth, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to transactions between or to importers and manufacturers of firearms. Penal Code §27100 (exempts from §26815); §27700 (exempts from §27540).
- 30. Sixth, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to persons who have a "short barrel rifle" or "short barrel shotgun" permit pursuant to Penal Code section

- 33300. Penal Code §\$26965 and 21740 (exempts from \$26815); §\$27665 and 27740 (exempts from \$27540).
- 31. Seventh, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to persons who have an "assault weapons" permit pursuant to Penal Code section 30500, *et seq*. Penal Code \$21740 (exempts from \$26815); \$27740 (exempts from \$27540).
- 32. Eighth, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to persons who have a "machinegun" permit pursuant to Penal Code section 32650 *et seq*. Penal Code §§26965 and 27140 (exempts from §26815); §§27665 and 27740 (exempts from §27540).
- 33. Ninth, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to persons who have a "machinegun" license pursuant to Penal Code section 32700. Penal Code §26965 (exempts from §26815); § 27665 (exempts from §27540).
- 34. Tenth, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to persons who have a "destructive device" permit pursuant to Penal Code section 18900. Penal Code §26965 (exempts from §26815); §27665 (exempts from §27540).
- 35. Eleventh, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to persons with curio and relic collector's licenses issued by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and who have a valid Certificate of Eligibility issued by the DOJ *and only when purchasing curio and relic firearms*. Penal Code §26970 (exempts from §26815); §27670 (exempts from §27540).
- 36. Twelfth, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to transactions regarding firearms serviced or repaired by a gunsmith. Penal Code §27105 (exempts from §26815); §27705 (exempts from §27540).
- 37. Thirteenth, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to dealer sales to persons residing out-of-state. Penal Code §27115 (exempts from §26815) and §27715 (exempts from §27540).
- 26 38. Fourteenth, ten-day waiting periods do not apply to deliveries to wholesalers.
  27 Penal Code §27120 (exempts from §26815); §27720 (exempts from §27540).

1	39. Fifteenth, ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to loans by dealers who		
2	operate target facilities. Penal Code §27135 (exempts from §26815); §27735 (exempt		
3	from §27540).		
4	40. Sixteenth, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to certain loans of		
5	firearms for use as props. Penal Code §27000 (exempts from §26815); §27745 (exempts		
6	from §27540).		
7	41. Seventeenth, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to loans to		
8	consultants or evaluators. Penal Code §27005 (exempts from §26815); §27750 (exempts		
9	from §27540).		
10	42. Eighteenth, the ten-day waiting periods generally do not apply to lawfu		
11	transactions involving cane guns, firearms that are not immediately recognizable a		
12	firearms, undetectable firearms, wallet guns, unconventional pistols, and zip guns. Pena		
13	Code §21740 (exempts from §26815); §27740 (exempts from §27540).		
14	Calculation of the Ten-Day Waiting Period		
15	43. For the majority of individuals who are subject to the ten-day waiting period for		
16	the purchase or transfer of a firearm, it is calculated as ten (10) 24-hour periods from the		
17	date and time of the submission of the Dealer Record of Sale ("DROS") information to		
18	the DOJ.		
19	The Legislative Intent of the Ten- Day Waiting Period		
20	44. California has had a waiting period regarding the delivery of firearms since 1923.		
21	45. Though the original waiting period was merely a ban on the delivery of firearms		
22	on the same day, there have been multiple changes to the term of the waiting period,		
23	extending from less than one (1) day to as many as fifteen (15) days.		
24	46. Today the waiting period in California is ten days. <sup>2</sup>		
25			
26	Applying solely to handguns, California's first waiting period is stated as follows: "No		
27	pistol or revolver shall be delivered (a) On the same day of the application for the		
28	purchase" 1923 Cal. AB 263.		

47. The alleged reasoning behind the different waiting period varies. At least one case (*People v. Bickston* (1979) 91 Cal. App. 3d Supp. 29) described the legislative intent behind the dynamic nature of the waiting period. *Bickston* states as follows:

The court's research discloses some legislative history that throws some light on the Legislature's intentions in enacting section 12072. This section was originally enacted in 1953 and provided [...] that "in no event shall such firearm be delivered to the purchaser upon the day of the application for the purchase thereof. . . . [A] 1955 amendment also extended the waiting period to three days. The section was next amended in 1965 whereby the waiting period was again extended to five days. The last amendment was in 1975 wherein the waiting period was extended to 15 days. Thus it appears that an original intent to provide at least an overnight cooling off period from "application for the purchase" was supplemented over the years with additional time to allow the Department of Justice to investigate the prospective purchaser of the weapon.

*Id.* (Emphasis added.)

Ten Days To Allow The Department of Justice to Investigate Prospective Purchasers and

To Allow Repeat Purchasers To "Cool Off" Is An Infringement

- 48. Ten days to allow the Department of Justice to investigate prospective purchasers and to allow repeat purchasers to "cool off" is an infringement on the purchaser's fundamental right to keep and bear arms in their home.
- 49. The need for balance between processing a requisite background check and preserving the individual's right to acquire firearms for the home in a timely manner has already been made on a federal level. The Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act (Pub.L. 103-159, 107 Stat. 1536) is an Act of the United States Congress that, for the first time, instituted federal background checks on firearm purchasers in the United States as well as a federally mandated five-day waiting period.

<sup>2</sup> In 1990, the 15-day waiting period for long guns was shortened to its current ten-day term. 1990 Cal AB 497. In 1996, the 15-day waiting period for handguns was shortened to its current ten-day term. 1996 Cal. SB 671.

- 50. The Brady Bill provided that, in 1998, the five-day waiting period for handgun sales would be replaced by an instant computerized background check that involved no waiting periods. Specifically, the National Instant Criminal Background Check System, or NICS, is stated to be about saving lives and protecting people from harm—by not letting firearms fall into the wrong hands. It also ensures the *timely* transfer of firearms to eligible gun buyers.
- 51. Mandated by the Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act of 1993 and launched by the FBI on November 30, 1998, NICS is used by Federal Firearms Licensees (FFLs) to *instantly* determine whether a prospective buyer is eligible to buy firearms.
- 52. More than 100 million such checks have been made in the last decade, leading to more than 700,000 denials.
- 53. NICS, located at the FBI's Criminal Justice Information Services Division in Clarksburg, West Virginia, provides full service to FFLs in 30 states, five U.S. territories, and the District of Columbia. California voluntarily opted out of the NICS instant background check and maintains their own background check system with an extended ten-day waiting period against purchasers of firearms in California, including Plaintiffs herein.

### California's Enforcement of the Ten-Day Waiting Period

- 54. Plaintiffs already have firearms.
- 55. Plaintiffs have lawfully purchased a handgun within the State of California or can otherwise demonstrate proof of ownership and lawful possession of said firearms. For example, some firearms are registered in the California Automated Firearms System database pursuant to, *inter alia*, Penal Code section 28200, *et seq*. In purchasing their firearms, Plaintiffs were already once subjected to the Penal Code section 27540 subdivision (a) ten-day waiting period prior to physically receiving their firearms. As a result of the ten-day waiting period, Plaintiffs were obligated to endure a ten-day ban on the acquisition of their constitutionally protected firearms and incur additional expense

by being forced to make a second visit to the firearms dealer that sold Plaintiffs their firearms.

- 56. COMBS and other holders of valid California Certificates of Eligibility represented by CGF and SAF are, *per se*, not in a class of persons described within Penal Code sections 29800, *et seq.*, 29900, *et seq.*, or Welfare and Institutions Code §§ 8100 or 8103, or Title 27 Part 178.32 of the Code of Federal Regulations. 11 C.C.R. §4036(b).
- 57. In other words, COMBS and other holders of a valid California Certificate of Eligibility represented by CGF and SAF are known by the State of California, at all times certified, to not be prohibited from possessing firearms under federal or state law.
- 58. Additionally, as a holder of a valid license to carry pursuant to Penal Code section 26150 *et seq*. SILVESTER and other such holders represented by CGF and SAF are, *per se*, not in a class of persons described in Penal Code sections 29800, *et seq*., 29900, *et seq*. or Welfare and Institutions Code 8100 or 8103. Penal Code section 26195(a)-(b).
- 59. In other words, SILVESTER and other holders of a valid license to carry pursuant to Penal Code section 26150, *et seq.* represented by CGF and SAF are not prohibited from possessing firearms under federal or state law and may often be armed with a loaded concealed firearm, including while purchasing firearms for which they are subjected to a ten-day ban on possessing.
- 60. The Attorney General has established and maintains an online database known as the Prohibited Armed Persons File ("PAPF"). The purpose of the file is to cross-reference persons who have ownership or possession of a firearm as indicated by a record in the Consolidated Firearm Information System ("CFIS") and who, subsequent to the date of that ownership or possession of a firearm, fall within a class of persons who are prohibited from owning or possessing a firearm. Penal Code §30000, *et seq*.
- 61. The information contained in the PAPF is immediately available for the purpose of determining if persons are armed and prohibited from possessing firearms. Penal Code \$30000, *et seq*.

62. Conversely, the PAPF is also immediately available for the purpose of determining if persons are armed and not prohibited by the very nature of the individual not appearing in the PAPF.

63. Plaintiffs already own and have access to their own firearms. In all instances, Plaintiffs are recorded by the state as being in possession of at least one firearm. Plaintiffs seek to purchase additional firearms whose possession for the purposes of self-defense in the home is protected by the Second Amendment. Penal Code sections 26815 and 27540 unnecessarily require an additional ten-day waiting period for each subsequent firearm transaction, thus barring Plaintiffs from acquiring and using their own firearms protected by the Second Amendment during the ten-day period following their purchase, as well as causing them to incur additional expenses, travel, and time lost resulting from the otherwise unnecessary return to the dealer to accept delivery.

# COUNT I RIGHT TO KEEP AND BEAR ARMS U.S. CONST., AMENDS.II AND XIV, 42 U.S.C. §1983

- 64. Paragraphs 1 through 63 are incorporated as though fully stated herein.
- 65. The Second Amendment, which applies against Defendants by operation of the Fourteenth Amendment, secures the right to possess firearms in the home.
- 66. Penal Code sections 26815 and 27540, as well as Defendants' enforcement of the same prohibit, substantially interfere with, inhibit access to, and infringe upon the right to possess firearms in the home for those individuals represented by CGF and SAF, including Plaintiffs and improperly impede gun ownership itself.
- 67. Penal Code sections 26815 and 27540 render access to firearms for use in the home materially more difficult to obtain, by requiring multiple visits to the firearms retailer, increasing the expense of purchasing a firearm, and, more importantly, barring access to and possession of constitutionally protected firearms by Plaintiffs leaving no sufficient alternative avenues for obtaining firearms for self-defense purposes during the ten-day waiting period.
  - 68. By maintaining and enforcing a set of laws banning Plaintiffs access to firearms

1	whose possession is protected by the Second Amendment, Defendants are propagating	
2	customs, policies, and practices that violate the Second Amendment to the United States	
3	Constitution, facially and as applied against the individual plaintiffs in this action,	
4	thereby harming plaintiffs in violation of U.S.C. §1983. The Second Amendment applies	
5	to the states, including California, through the Fourteenth Amendment. Plaintiffs are	
6	therefore entitled to declaratory, preliminary, and permanent injunctive relief against such	
7	improper customs, policies, and practices.	
8	COUNT II	
9	EQUAL PROTECTION VIOLATIONS U.S. CONST., AMENDS.II AND XIV, 42 U.S.C. §1983	
10	69. Paragraphs 1 through 68 are incorporated as though fully stated herein.	
11	70. Defendants' policies and enforcement of Penal Code sections 26815 and 27540	
12	violate Plaintiffs' rights to equal protection under the law as guaranteed by the Fourteenth	
13	Amendment to the United States Constitution, in that Defendants allow some people,	
14	such as destructive device collectors, movie prop houses, auction purchasers,	
15	"consultants-evaluators," and others, instant access to firearms, which instant access is	
16	denied to Plaintiffs and the general public. Such misapplication of the law is arbitrary,	
17	capricious, irrational, and makes unjustifiable distinctions between those individuals that	
18	Defendants deign to exclude from immediate delivery of firearms and those they do not.	
19	Defendants are thereby propagating customs, policies, and practices that violate the	
20	Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, facially and as applied against	
21	the individual plaintiffs in this action, thereby harming Plaintiffs in violation of 42 U.S.C.	
22	§1983. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to declaratory, preliminary and permanent	
23	injunctive relief against continued enforcement and maintenance of Penal Code section	
24	27540 subdivision (a) and Defendants' unconstitutional customs, policies, and practices.	
25	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
26	Plaintiffs request judgment be entered in their favor against Defendants as	
27	follows:	

1. An order preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendants, their officers,

## Case 1:11-cv-02137-AWI-SKO Document 1 Filed 12/23/11 Page 14 of 14

agents, serva	ants, employees, and all p	ersons in active concert or participation with them	
who receive actual notice of the injunction, from enforcing Penal Code sections 26815			
and 27540 as	and 27540 as against those persons that may lawfully possess and acquire a firearm and		
possess proo	of of firearm possession of	r ownership in their name within the State of	
California ar	nd from enacting, publish	ing, promulgating, or otherwise enforcing any	
polices, rules	s, or procedures prohibiti	ng or otherwise restricting the delivery of firearms	
to said indivi	iduals within ten-days of	applying for the purchase of any firearms;	
2. Attor	rney fees and costs pursua	ant to 42 U.S.C. §1988;	
3. Decl	laratory relief consistent v	with the injunction;	
4. Costs	s of suit; and		
5. Any 6	other relief as the Court of	leems just and appropriate.	
Date: Dece	ember 23, 2011,	Respectfully submitted,	
		Davis & Associates	
		/s/ Jason A. Davis	
		Jason A. Davis Jason@CalGunLawyers.com	
		Attorneys for plaintiffs	
i			

	(	(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	▼ 3 Federal Question    (U.S. Government Not a Party)	PTF DEF Citizen of This State
☐ 2 U.S. Government	Se 1:11-cv-02137-AWI-SKO	Document 1-1 Filed 12/23/11 Page 1 of 1  Citizen of Another State
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	of Business In Another State
	(	Citizen or Subject of a 3 5 Foreign Nation 6 6
		Citizen or Subject of a 3 5 Foreign Nation 6 6 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Slander   368 Asbestos Pers.    330 Federal Employers' Liability   Liability     340 Marine   PERSONAL PROP    345 Marine Product Liability   371 Truth in Lendi     350 Motor Vehicle   780 Motor Vehicle   Property Dame     Product Liability   385 Property Dame     360 Other Personal   Product Liability   385 Property Dame     360 Other Personal   Product Liability   385 Property Dame     360 Other Personal   160 Motions to Value     441 Voting   510 Motions to Value   442 Employment   530 General     444 Welfare   535 Death Penalty   540 Mandamus & Employment   550 Civil Rights	
□ 2 Re	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	□ 4 Reinstated or □ 5 Transferred from another district □ 6 Multidistrict □ 7 Appeal to District Utilization Magistrate
<u></u>	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you	(specify) Judgment are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Amendment, 14th Amendment.
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION		Amenament, 14th Amenament.
	Brief description of cause:	od on tirearm purchases violates the 2nd Amend. and 14th Amend.
VII. REQUESTED IN		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	JURY DEMAND:  Yes  No
VIII. RELATED CAS		TOTAL DEMAND. 103 PHO
IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER
DATE	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY OF RECORD
12/23/2011		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		
TON OTTICE COL ONE!		
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE MAG. JUDGE