James M. Manley, Esq. (CO No. 40327) (admitted pro hac vice)
Steven J. Lechner, Esq. (CO No. 19853) (admitted pro hac vice)
Mountain States Legal Foundation
2596 South Lewis Way
Lakewood, Colorado 80227
(303) 292-2021
(303) 292-1980 (facsimile)
jmanley@mountainstateslegal.com
lechner@mountainstateslegal.com

John L. Runft, Esq. (ISB No. 1059) Runft and Steele Law Offices, PLLC 1020 West Main Street, Suite 400 Boise, Idaho 83702 (208) 333-8506 (208) 343-3246 (facsimile) jrunft@runftsteele.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

ELIZABETH E. MORRIS; and ALAN C. BAKER,

Plaintiffs,

V.

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:13-CV-00336-BLW

PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Plaintiffs, by and through their undersigned attorneys, respectfully request that this Court schedule oral argument on Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction at this Court's earliest convenience. Defendants, through counsel, do not oppose the relief requested in this Motion.

The grounds for this Motion are as follows:

- 1. On August 5, 2013, Plaintiffs filed a Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (Doc. 1) challenging Defendants' maintenance and enforcement of 36 C.F.R. § 327.13, which prohibits possession of functional firearms on Army Corps of Engineers-administered public lands for the purpose of self-defense. Defendants' firearms ban is depriving Plaintiffs of the right to keep and bear arms in violation of the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 2. Concurrently, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Doc. 4) asking this Court to enjoin Defendants' firearms ban.
- 3. On September 5, 2013, Defendants filed a Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Doc. 18).
- 4. On September 23, 2013, Plaintiffs filed a Reply in Support of their Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Doc. 21).
  - 5. Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction is now fully briefed.
- 6. Plaintiffs are suffering an ongoing deprivation of their constitutional rights in the absence of injunctive relief. *See Sanders County Republican Cent. Committee v. Bullock*, 698 F.3d 741, 744 (9th Cir. 2012); *Ezell v. City of Chicago*, 651 F.3d 684, 699 (7th Cir. 2011).
- 7. This case involves important legal issues concerning the enforcement of the Second Amendment.

8. Plaintiffs submit that oral argument would be helpful to clarify the important legal issues involved in this case.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court schedule oral argument on Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction at this Court's earliest convenience.

DATED this 30th day of September 2013.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James M. Manley
James M. Manley, Esq.
(admitted pro hac vice)
Steven J. Lechner, Esq.
(admitted pro hac vice)
Mountain States Legal Foundation
2596 South Lewis Way
Lakewood, Colorado 80227
(303) 292-2021
(303) 292-1980 (facsimile)
jmanley@mountainstateslegal.com
lechner@mountainstateslegal.com

/s/ John L. Runft
John L. Runft, Esq.
Runft and Steele Law Offices, PLLC
1020 West Main Street, Suite 400
Boise, Idaho 83702
(208) 333-8506
(208) 343-3246 (facsimile)
jrunft@runftsteele.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 30th day of September, 2013, I filed the foregoing electronically through the CM/ECF system, which caused the following parties or counsel to be served by electronic means, as more fully reflected on the Notice of Electronic Filing:

Joanne P. Rodriguez Assistant United States Attorney District Of Idaho Washington Group Plaza IV 800 East Park Boulevard, Suite 600 Boise, Id 83712-7788 Joanne.Rodriguez@Usdoj.gov

/s/ James M. Manley
James M. Manley, Esq.