IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON DIVISION II

KITSAP COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of Washington,

Respondent,

v.

KITSAP RIFLE AND REVOLVER CLUB, a not-for-profit corporation registered in the State of Washington, and JOHN DOES and JANE DOES I-XX, inclusive,

Appellant,

and

IN THE MATTER OF NUISANCE AND UNPERMITTED CONDITIONS LOCATED AT

One 72-acre parcel identified by Kitsap County Tax Parcel ID No. 362501-4-002-1006 with street address 4900 Seabeck Highway NW, Bremerton Washington. Case No.: 43076-2-II

DECLARATION OF BROOKS M. FOSTER (NOV. 20, 2014) I, Brooks M. Foster, declare under penalty of perjury under the

laws of the State of Washington that the following is true and correct to

the best of my personal knowledge, information, and belief:

1. I am an attorney with Chenoweth Law Group, PC ("CLG"),

which represents Appellant Kitsap Rifle and Revolver Club (the "Club")

in this action. This declaration is based on my prior involvement in the

case and my review of relevant portions of my file. I am over the age of

18 and competent to testify to the facts herein. I give this declaration in

support of Appellant's Motion to Enlarge Deadline to File Appellant's

Motion for Reconsideration, dated November 20, 2014 (the "Motion").

2. On October 28, 2014, the Court filed its *Published Opinion*

(the "Opinion") in the above-captioned case. Pursuant to RAP 12.4(b), the

deadline to file a motion for reconsideration was November 17, 2014.

Working with my staff, I filed the Motion electronically on November 17,

2014 at 6:29 PM. I immediately received an email certificate that

confirmed the filing. The email was time-stamped 6:30 pm. A true copy

of the email certificate is attached as Exhibit 1.

3. On November 19, 2014, I received a letter from the Court

Clerk rejecting the Motion on the grounds that it was filed after 5 pm on

the due date. This surprised me. I believed the Motion had been timely

filed because the Court had previously accepted filings by the Club as late

as 9:43 pm without post-dating them to the next day. This had led me to

believe the Court's e-filing system was "open for business" until at least

10 pm.

4. I now understand from the Court's November 19 letter that

the Court considers any document e-filed after 5 pm to have been filed the

next day. I was not aware of this when the Motion was filed. The Motion

could have been filed before 5 pm and would have been but for my

misapprehension of the Court's rule regarding e-filing.

5. On at least three earlier occasions in this case, my staff and

I e-filed documents with the Court after 5 pm. Each time, the Court

immediately delivered an electronic confirmation of filing. On no

occasion did the Court inform the Club or its counsel that any of its filings

would be post-dated. One of the filings was an answer to a motion to

strike, which the Court accepted at 9:43 pm on the day it was due. This

prior course of dealings led me to believe the Motion was timely when it

was filed at 6:29 pm on November 17, 2014.

6. On February 20, 2014, Respondent Kitsap County filed

Kitsap County's Motion to Strike Portions of Reply Brief. The Court set a

deadline of April 3, 2014, for the Club to answer the motion. On April 3,

See Order Granting Respondent's Mot. to File Over-Length Mot. to Strike Portions of Reply Br., Order Granting Appellan't Mot. to File Over2014, at 9:43 pm, my staff and I filed Appellant's Answer to Respondent's

Motion to Strike. I immediately received the filing confirmation email

from the Court attached as Exhibit 2. The Court issued no follow up

communication rejecting the answer or warning the Club or its counsel

that the answer was untimely. The Court considered the answer and

denied Kitsap County's motion to strike on April 22, 2014.

7. Similarly, on at least two other occasions my staff and I

filed documents with the Court after 8 pm. By all appearances, the Court

accepted them as having been filed on the day of filing. After both filings,

I immediately received electronic filing confirmation emails from the

Court. The Club and I were never informed that the filings had to be post-

dated or that e-filing after 8 pm would not take effect until the next day.

8. I relied on my prior filing experiences described above

when filing the Motion at 6:29 pm on November 17, 2014.

9. My staff and I worked diligently to prepare the Motion.

But for my misapprehension of the Court's e-filing rule, I would have

made sure the Motion was filed before 5 pm on November 17, 2014. The

time between 5 pm and 6:29 pm was spent triple-checking the citations

and tables in the Motion to ensure their accuracy. The Motion could have

Length Resp. to Mot. to Strike and Granting Extension of Time to File

Resp. to Mot. to Strike (March 4, 2014).

been fully drafted, checked, and filed before 5 pm—and would have been

if I had understood the Court's policy on e-filing.

10. The Motion addresses a legal issue identified by the Court

in its Opinion as one that was not addressed by the parties. That issue is

whether the repeal of a Kitsap County ordinance after trial should have

any effect on the outcome of the case. The Opinion decided not to answer

that question on the grounds that a common law rule has the same effect

that the repealed ordinance once had. The Opinion then instructed the trial

court to fashion a remedy on remand for the violation of that common law

rule. The Club's Motion asks the Court to reconsider this instruction

based on multiple Washington Supreme Court cases that hold no such

common law rule exists. The Motion then asks the Court to hold that the

repeal of the ordinance means that, on remand, the trial court should not

remedy a prior violation of the ordinance.

11. Prior to the Court's November 19, 2014 letter, the Club and

I were never informed that the clerk's business hours for e-filing end at

5:00 pm. The clerk's business hours are not listed on the Court's website

or e-filing portal. The Court's website lists the end of its general hours as

4:00 pm, which is one hour before the 5:00 pm time referenced in the

clerk's November 19, 2014 letter. Based on my staff's research, CR 60(c)

and the term "clerk's business hours" are not referenced in any published

Washington court decision, and there are no general orders regarding the clerk's business hours. I am unaware of any rule of appellate procedure or court document that states the Court's e-filing business hours. In federal court and other jurisdictions that allow e-filing, I have been able to electronically file documents up until midnight on their due date.

DATED: November 20, 2014.

Brooks M. Foster

From: COA2 File Upload Manager <Div-2eDocManagers@courts.wa.gov>

Sent: Monday, November 17, 2014 6:30 PM

To: cmpalmer@co.kitsap.wa.us; mann@gendlermann.com; rsanders@goodsteinlaw.com;

cmichel@michellawyers.com; matt@sherrardlaw.com; Brooks Foster; Patrick Graves

Subject: Document Electronically Filed with Court of Appeals, Division II

Attachments: 430762-20141117-062922.pdf; 430762-Motion for Reconsideration.pdf

Attached is a copy of the Transmittal Letter and document named 430762-Motion for Reconsideration.pdf that Angie Alcorn from Chenoweth Law Group PC electronically filed with the Court of Appeals, Division Two in case number 43076-2. This court will treat the attached transmittal letter as proof of service on you.

David C. Ponzoha Court Clerk

Please do not reply to this message. Replies to this message are routed to an unmonitored mailbox. If you have questions, you may email this office at coa2filings@courts.wa.gov or call us at (253) 593-2970.

CHENOWETH LAW GROUP PC

November 17, 2014 - 6:29 PM

Transmittal Letter

Document Uploaded:		80762-Motion for Reconsideration.pdf	
Case Name: K Court of Appeals Case Number: 4		tsap County v. Kitsap Rifle and Revolver Club 8076-2	
Is this a Personal Restraint Petition? (a) Yes (b) No			
The document being Filed is:			
9	Designation of Clerk's Pape	ers Supplemental Designation of Clerk's Papers	
٨	Statement of Arrangement	ts	
(a)	Motion: Motion for Reconsideration		
-	Answer/Reply to Motion:		
	Brief:		
	Statement of Additional Au	uthorities	
	Cost Bill		
ō	Objection to Cost Bill		
	Affidavit		
	Letter		
0	Copy of Verbatim Report of Proceedings - No. of Volumes: Hearing Date(s):		
9	Personal Restraint Petition (PRP)		
9	Response to Personal Restraint Petition		
9	Reply to Response to Personal Restraint Petition		
	Petition for Review (PRV)		
	Other:		
Comments:			
No Comments were entered.			
Sender Name: Angle Alcorn - Email: lheath@northwestlaw.com A copy of this document has been emailed to the following addresses:			
cmpalmer@co.kitsap.wa.us mann@gendlermann.com			
rsanders@goodsteinlaw.com			
cmichel@michellawyers.com			
matt@sherrardlaw.com bfoster@northwestlaw.com			
pgraves@northwestlaw.com			

From:

Coa2Filings <coa2filings@courts.wa.gov>

Sent:

Thursday, April 03, 2014 9:43 PM

To:

Brooks Foster

Subject:

Receipt Confirmation from Division 2 Court of Appeals

Received in the Court of Appeals, Division 2.

From: Coa2Filings <coa2filings@courts.wa.gov>

Sent: Friday, March 21, 2014 9:28 PM

To: Brooks Foster

Subject: Receipt Confirmation from Division 2 Court of Appeals

Received in the Court of Appeals, Division 2.

From:

Coa2Filings <coa2filings@courts.wa.gov>

Sent:

Friday, May 09, 2014 8:01 PM

To:

Brooks Foster

Subject:

Receipt Confirmation from Division 2 Court of Appeals

Received in the Court of Appeals, Division 2.

DECLARATION OF FILING AND SERVICE

I, Lisa A. Heath, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington, that I am now and at all times herein mentioned have been a resident of the State of Oregon, over the age of eighteen years, not a party to or interested in this cause of action, and competent to be a witness herein. On the date stated below, a copy of the DECLARATION OF BROOKS M. FOSTER (NOV. 20, 2014) was electronically filed with Division II of the Washington Court of Appeals and served upon the following individuals by e-mail and U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, at Portland, Oregon:

Christine Palmer Jennine Christensen Kitsap County Prosecutor's Office Civil Division 614 Division Street, MS-35A Port Orchard, WA 98366

Gendler & Mann, LLP 1424 Fourth Ave., Suite 715 Seattle, WA 98101-2278

David S. Mann

Of Attorneys for Respondent Kitsap

Of Attorneys for Amicus Curiae CK Safe & Quiet, LLC

C.D. Michel Michel & Associates, P.C. 180 E. Ocean Blvd., Suite 200 Long Beach, CA 90802

County

Of Attorneys for Amicus Curiae National Rifle Association

Matthew A. Lind Sherrard McGonagle Tizzano, PS 19719 Front St. NE, PO Box 400 Poulsbo, WA 98370-0400 Of Attorneys for Amicus Curiae Kitsap Alliance of Property **Owners**

DATED this 20th day of November, 2014.

CHENOWETH LAW GROUP, PC

Lisa A. Heath

Chenoweth Law Group, P.C. 510 SW Fifth Ave., Fifth Floor

Portland, OR 97204 Phone: (503) 221-7958