1 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 2 STEPAN A. HAYTAYAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General FILED/ENDORSED JEFFREY A. RICH 3 Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 108589 4 1300 I Street, Suite 125 JUL - 2 2014 5 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Ву:_ Telephone: (916) 324-5154 Erica Medina 6 DEPUTY CLERK Fax: (916) 324-8835 7 E-mail: Jeffrey.Rich@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants Kamala D. Harris and 8 Stephen J. Lindley 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO 11 12 13 14 ALVIN DOE and PAUL A. GLADDEN, Case No. 34-2014-00163821 Plaintiffs, 15 ANSWER TO VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND 16 INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 17 Trial Date: None KAMALA D. HARRIS, in her official Action Filed: May 20, 2014 18 capacity as Attorney General of California; and STEPHEN J. LINDLEY, in his official 19 capacity as Chief of the California Department of Justice Bureau of Firearms. 20 Defendants. 21 22 Defendants Kamala D. Harris, in her official capacity as Attorney General of California and 23 Stephen J. Lindley, in his official capacity as Bureau Chief, Bureau of Firearms, California 24 Department of Justice, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 446, answer the verified 25 complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief filed by plaintiffs Alvin Doe and Paul A. Gladden 26 as follows. 27 28

Answer to Verified Complaint (34-2014-00163821)

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30, subdivision (f), defendants generally deny all complaint allegations not so denied or expressly admitted. Defendants reserve the right to amend their answer to assert other defenses, if necessary.

- 1. In answer to paragraph 1 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.
- 2. In answer to paragraph 2 of the complaint, defendants allege that Exhibit A attached to the complaint speaks for itself. Defendants deny all other allegations of paragraph 2.
- 3. In answer to paragraph 3 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.
- 4. In answer to paragraph 4 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.
- 5. In answer to paragraph 5 of the complaint, defendants lack sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of said paragraph and on that basis deny such allegations.
- 6. In answer to paragraph 6 of the complaint, defendants admit that plaintiff Gladden possesses a current certificate of eligibility issued by the Department of Justice. Defendants lack sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations of said paragraph and on that basis deny such allegations.
- 7. In answer to paragraph 7 of the complaint, defendants admit the allegations of said paragraph.
- 8. In answer to paragraph 8 of the complaint, defendants admit the allegations of said paragraph except that defendants deny that defendant Lindley reports to defendant Harris.

 Defendants allege that defendant Lindley reports to the Director of the Division of Law Enforcement of the Department of Justice.
- 9. In answer to paragraph 9 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.
- 10. In answer to paragraph 10 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.

- 11. In answer to paragraph 11 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.
- 12. In answer to paragraph 12 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.
- 13. In answer to paragraph 13 of the complaint, defendants admit that defendant Lindley, on behalf of the Department of Justice's Bureau of Firearms, sent Exhibit A to the complaint to licensed firearms dealers in the state and that Exhibit A speaks for itself.
- 14. In answer to paragraph 14 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.
- 15. In answer to paragraph 15 of the complaint, defendants lack sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of said paragraph and on that basis deny such allegations.
- 16. In answer to paragraph 16 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph as phrased contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response. Defendants further allege that they lack sufficient information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of said paragraph and on that basis deny such allegations.
- 17. In answer to paragraph 17 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.
- 18. In answer to paragraph 18 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.
- 19. In answer to paragraph 19 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.
- 20. In answer to paragraph 20 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.
- 21. In answer to paragraph 21 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.
- 22. In answer to paragraph 22 of the complaint, defendants allege that said paragraph contains legal argument and to that extent, requires no response.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Plaintiffs are barred from all relief sought by the complaint by the doctrine of laches. Dated: July 2, 2014 Respectfully Submitted, KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California STEPAN A. HAYTAYAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General JEFFREY A. RICH Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants Kamala D. Harris and Stephen J. Lindley SA2014116168 11405690.doc

Answer to Verified Complaint (34-2014-00163821)

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name:

Doe, Alvin et al. v. Kamala D. Harris, et al.

No.:

34-2014-00163821

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service with postage thereon fully prepaid that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On July 2, 2014, I served the attached

ANSWER TO VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

by placing a true copy'thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 1300 I Street, Suite 125, P.O. Box 944255, Sacramento, CA 94244-2550, addressed as follows:

Bradley A. Benbrook
Stephen M. Duvernay
Benbrook Law Group, PC
400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1610
Sacramento, CA 95814
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Alvin Doe and Paul A. Gladden

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on July 2, 2014, at Şacramento, California.

Brenda Apodaca

Declarant

Signature

\$A2014116168 11408376.doc