UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
MICHELLE FLANAGAN, et al.,			CASE NUMBER:
PLAINTIFF(S) V.			LA CV16-06164 JAK (ASx)
KAMALA HARRIS, et al.,		DEFENDANT(S).	ORDER TO STRIKE ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOCUMENTS
	ourt hereby ORDERS the docu General Order 10-07 and/or th		be STRICKEN for failure to comply with the Local nagement Order as indicated:
	09/11/2017	/	Proposed Judgment
	Date Filed	Doc. No.	Title of Document
		_//	
Date Filed Doc. No. Title of Document □ Document submitted in the wrong case □ Incorrect document is attached to the docket entry □ Document linked incorrectly to the wrong document/docket entry □ Incorrect event selected. Correct event is □ Case number is incorrect or missing. □ Hearing information is missing, incorrect, or not timely □ Local Rule 7.1-1 No Certification of Interested Parties and/or no copies □ Case is closed □ Proposed Document was not submitted as separate attachment □ Title page is missing □ Local Rule 56-1 Statement of uncontroverted facts and/or proposed judgment lacking □ Local Rule 56-2 Statement of genuine disputes of material fact lacking □ Local Rule 7-19.1 Notice to other parties of ex parte application lacking □ Local Rule 11-6 Memorandum/brief exceeds 25 pages □ Local Rule 11-8 Memorandum/brief exceeding 10 pages shall contain table of contents ✓ Other: Proposed orders or judgments are to be filed as an attachment to the document to which is refers. If filed separately, the proposed order/judgment is to be lodged and not filed. The proposed judgment is stricken. Because this Court does not require proposed orders/judgments to motions, there is no further action needed.			
Dated:	September 15, 2017		By: U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge
	gned District and/or Magistrate Judg	ge	U.S. District Judge / U.S. Magistrate Judge