

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10 **WESTERN DIVISION**  
11  
12

13 **MICHELLE FLANAGAN, et al.,**

14 **Plaintiffs,**

15 **v.**

16 **CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY**  
17 **GENERAL XAVIER BECERRA, in**  
18 **his official capacity as Attorney**  
**General of the State of California, et**  
**al.,**

19 **Defendants.**  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Case No.: 2:16-cv-06164-JAK-AS

**[AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER  
ON DEFENDANT'S AMENDED  
OBJECTIONS TO CERTAIN  
EVIDENCE FILED IN SUPPORT OF  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

The cross-motions for summary judgment, one filed by Defendant Xavier Becerra, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of California (“Defendant”), and the other filed by Plaintiffs Michelle Flanagan, Samuel Golden, Dominic Nardone, Jacob Perkio, and the California Rifle & Pistol Association (“Plaintiffs”), came on for hearing in this Court on November 6, 2017. Defendant had timely lodged evidentiary objections to the declaration of Rick Travis submitted in support of Plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment. Defendant later timely had lodged amended evidentiary objections on the same subject matter, to conform the previously submitted objections to the requirements of the Court’s initial standing order for civil cases.

The Court having read and considered the objections in Defendant’s amended objections to certain evidence filed in support of Plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment, rules as follows on each of Defendant’s objections.

<b>DECLARATION OF RICK TRAVIS [ETC.] (ECF No. 48-6)</b>	<b>DEFENSE OBJECTION</b>	<b>COURT’S RULING</b>
[Objections 1 & 2] ¶ 5. I am informed and aware that law-abiding CRPA members who reside in Los Angeles County applied for Carry Licenses to carry a firearm for self-defense and were denied.	1. Lacks foundation/personal knowledge. Fed. R. Evid. 602.  2. Hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 801.	1. Sustained / Overruled  2. Sustained / Overruled
[Objections 3 & 4] ¶ 6. I am informed that law-abiding CRPA members who reside in Los Angeles County wish to obtain a Carry License, but refrain from applying and wasting their time and financial resources given that such application would be futile in light of Los Angeles	3. Lacks foundation/personal knowledge. Fed. R. Evid. 602.  4. Hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 801.	3. Sustained / Overruled  4. Sustained / Overruled

1	County Sheriff James McDonnell's official "good cause" policy.		
2			
3			
4	[Objections 5 & 6] ¶ 7. I know members of Plaintiff CRPA desire and intend to exercise their constitutional right to carry a firearm in public for self-defense, but they are precluded from doing so because they are unable to obtain a license to carry a firearm and California law generally prohibits them from carrying a firearm in any manner openly or concealed, without such a license.	5. Lacks foundation/personal knowledge. Fed. R. Evid. 602.	5. Sustained / Overruled
5		6. Hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 801.	6. Sustained / Overruled
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	[Objections 7 & 8] ¶ 8. But for California's comprehensive restrictions on the public carriage of firearms and CRPA members' inability to obtain a carry license, CRPA members residing in Los Angeles County would immediately begin carrying a firearm in public for self-defense, but they refrain from doing so for fear of liabilities for violating one or more of California's laws that criminalize this conduct.	7. Lacks foundation/personal knowledge. Fed. R. Evid. 602.	7. Sustained / Overruled
16		8. Hearsay. Fed. R. Evid. 801.	8. Sustained / Overruled
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.

2  
3 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
4 Hon. John A. Kronstadt,  
5 U.S. District Judge  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28