Case	3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 45	Filed 12/01/17 PageID.4361 Page 1 of 8
1	C.D. Michel—SBN 144258	
2	Sean A. Brady—SBN 262007 Anna M. Barvir—SBN 268728 Matthew D. Cubeiro—SBN 291519	
3	MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 180 East Ocean Blvd., Suite 200	
4	Long Beach, CA 90802 Telephone: (562) 216-4444 Fax: (562) 216-4445	
5	Fax: (562) 216-4445 Email: abarvir@michellawyers.com	
6	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
7	IN THE UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT COURT
8		
9	FOR THE SOUTHERN	N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	VIRGINIA DUNCAN, et al.,	17-cv-1017-BEN-JLB
11	Plaintiffs,	JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE MOTIONS FOR
12	V.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
13	VAVIED DECEDDA in his official	Judges Han Kill L. Dunkhandt
14	XAVIER BECERRA, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of California,	Judge: Hon. Jill L. Burkhardt Action Filed: May 17, 2017
15	Defendants.	
16	Derendants.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
25 26		
26 27		
27		
28		1
	JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO	O FILE MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	l	17cv1017

COME NOW THE PARTIES, Plaintiffs Virginia Duncan, et al., and
 Defendant Xavier Becerra, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State
 of California, by and through their respective counsel. Pursuant to Rules 6(b) and
 16(b)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Rules 7.1, 7.2, 16.1(f)(1)(a)
 as well as the Honorable Jill L. Burkhardt's Civil Chambers Rules, the Parties
 hereby jointly move the Court to extend the deadline for the filing of dispositive
 motions by 31 days to and including March 5, 2018.

8 As required by the Civil Chambers Rules, this request is accompanied by the 9 declaration of counsel for Plaintiffs, Anna M. Barvir, "detailing the steps taken to 10 comply with the dates and deadlines set in the order, and the specific reasons why 11 deadlines cannot be met." Decl. of Anna M. Barvir Supp. Joint Mot. to Extend 12 Time ("Barvir Decl."). The Parties further represent that granting this motion 13 should not affect other dates in the Order because trial has not yet been set, pre-trial 14 disclosures and Memoranda of Contentions of Fact and Law are not due until May 4, 2018, and the Parties agree this case is likely to be disposed of via cross-motions 15 16 for summary judgment. Joint Discovery Plan at 9 (July 19, 2017), ECF No. 31.

17 On May 17, 2017, Plaintiffs filed their Complaint for Declaratory and 18 Injunctive Relief with this court. On August 4, 2017, this Court issued a Scheduling 19 Order Regulating Discovery and Other Pretrial Proceedings ("Order"). Under this 20 Court's Order, all discovery must be completed by February 2, 2018. All pre-trial 21 motions, including motions for summary judgment, must be filed by the same date. 22 Good cause exists to extend the deadline for dispositive motions. The Parties 23 are diligently engaged in discovery and expect to complete fact and expert 24 discovery by the Court-ordered date of February 2, 2018. Barvir Decl., ¶ 2-4, 7-12. 25 The Parties have met both the deadlines for exchange of expert reports and expert 26 rebuttals (October 6, 2017, and November 3, 2017, respectively). Barvir Decl., ¶¶ 27 3-4. Additionally, the Parties have served and have been served with significant written discovery. Barvir Decl., ¶ 2. Defendant has served on Plaintiffs six sets of 28

JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 17cv1017 written interrogatories and six sets of requests for production of documents. Barvir
 Decl., ¶ 2. And Plaintiffs have served four sets of written interrogatories, four sets
 of requests for production of documents, and one set of requests for admissions.
 Barvir Decl., ¶ 2. Both parties are currently working on responses to these requests.
 Barvir Decl., ¶ 2.

6 The Parties have also been working together diligently and regularly via 7 telephone and e-mail to schedule expert witness depositions since November 10<sup>th</sup> mere days after the exchange of expert rebuttals. Barvir Decl., ¶¶ 3-4, 7-12. The 8 9 scheduling of these depositions, however, has proven difficult and time-consuming 10 for two reasons. First, the Parties have identified eight experts, most of whom are 11 professors with extremely limited availability during the winter months due to final exams, the holidays, and pre-planned conferences. Barvir Decl., ¶¶ 3-4. Second, the 12 13 eight identified experts reside all over the country—in California, Connecticut, 14 Florida, Maryland, and New York. Barvir Decl., ¶ 6. Significant travel for counsel 15 and/or the witnesses will thus be required, adding yet another difficulty to 16 scheduling. Barvir Decl., ¶ 12. Regardless, the Parties are very close to setting a 17 mutually agreeable deposition schedule. Barvir Decl., ¶ 14. And, as it turns out, 18 most of these depositions cannot take place until January, with the last likely to take 19 place on or around January 12, 2018. Barvir Decl., ¶ 13.

Further, with depositions not concluding until mid-January, Barvir Decl., ¶
13, the parties may not have access to final transcripts by the February 2nd deadline
for dispositive motions, Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 30 (upon request, deponents must be
given 30 days after the transcript is made available to review for errors).

For these reasons, and because the Parties also require time to review the transcripts and other discovery, the Parties agree that it will be exceedingly difficult for the parties to file comprehensive motions for summary judgment, inclusive of all discovery that would prove helpful to the Court. Barvir Decl., ¶ 15 These concerns were raised in the Joint Discovery Plan filed by the Parties on July 19,

1	2017. Joint Discovery Plan at 10 (July 19, 2017), ECF No. 31. Indeed, Plaintiffs		
2	expressed that there would likely be issues with closing discovery on the same day		
3	dispositive motions are due to be filed:		
4	Plaintiffs further believe that the breadth of discovery here, as well as		
5	the fact that this case is likely to be disposed of on summary judgment, justify providing the parties with an additional month to prepare dispositive motions that will be most helpful to the Court's		
6	handling of this matter.	hat will be most helpful to the Court's	
7	Id. Defendant agreed. Id. at 11.		
8	Therefore, based on the good cause presented herein, the Parties request that		
9	the Court grant this joint motion for extension and order that the last day to file		
10	dispositive motions is extended by 31 days to and including March 5, 2018.		
11			
12		Respectfully submitted,	
13	Dated: December 1, 2017	MICHEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.	
14		/s/ Anna M. Barvir ANNA M. BARVIR	
15		Anna M. BARVIR Attorneys for Plaintiffs Virginia Duncan, Richard Lewis, Patrick	
16 17		Lovette, David Marguglio, Christopher Waddell, and California Rifle & Pistol Association	
18			
19	Dated: December 1, 2017	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	
20		TAMAR PACHTER	
21		Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANTHONY P. O'BRIEN Deputy Attorneys General	
22		/s/ Alexandra Robert Gordon	
23		ALEXANDRA ROBERT GORDON Deputy Attorney General	
24		Attorneys for Defendant Attorney General Xavier Becerra	
25			
26			
27			
28			
	4 JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
		17cv1017	

1

## **DECLARATION OF ANNA M. BARVIR**

2 1. I am an attorney at the law firm Michel & Associates, P.C., attorneys 3 of record for Plaintiffs in this action. I am licensed to practice law before the United 4 States District Court for the Southern District of California. I am also admitted to 5 practice before the Eastern, Central, and Northern Districts of California, the courts 6 of the state of California, the Supreme Court of the United States, and the D.C., 7 Fourth, Ninth, and Tenth Circuit Courts of Appeals. I have personal knowledge of 8 the facts set forth herein and, if called and sworn as a witness, could and would 9 testify competently thereto.

10 2. The parties have worked diligently to move discovery forward and 11 presently expect to complete fact and expert discovery by the Court-ordered date of 12 February 2, 2018. Indeed, Defendant has served on Plaintiffs six sets of written 13 interrogatories and six sets of requests for production of documents. Plaintiffs have 14 served four sets of written interrogatories, four sets of requests for production of 15 documents, and one set of requests for admissions. Both parties are currently 16 working on responses to these requests, with deadlines in late December and early 17 January.

On October 6, 2017, the parties exchanged disclosures of expert
 witnesses. Defendant Xavier Becerra, through his counsel of record, served on
 Plaintiffs the expert reports of Dr. Lucy Allen, Dr. Louis Klarevas, and Dr.
 Christopher Koper. That same day, on behalf of Plaintiffs Virginia Duncan, et al., I
 directed my secretary to serve Defendant with the expert reports of Mr. Stephen
 Helsley and Mr. James Curcuruto.

4. On November 3, 2017, the parties exchanged disclosures of expert
 rebuttal reports. Defendant Xavier Becerra, through his counsel of record, served on
 Plaintiffs the expert report of Dr. John Donohue. That same day, on behalf of
 Plaintiffs Virginia Duncan, et al., I directed my secretary to serve Defendant with
 the expert reports of Dr. Gary Kleck and Dr. Carlisle Moody.

5

5. Six of the eight designated expert witnesses are professors, many of
 whom have limited availability in December and January due to the administering
 and grading of final exams. Some also have conflicts due to attendance at pre planned conferences.

6. Six of the eight designated expert witnesses reside on the East Coast.
 Drs. Allen and Klarevas each reside in New York. Dr. Koper resides in Maryland.
 Mr. Curcuruto resides in Connecticut. Dr. Kleck resides in Florida. And Dr. Moody
 resides in Virginia. Mr. Helsley and Dr. Donohue reside in Northern California.
 While the parties are negotiating handling all depositions in three or four states,
 significant travel will still be required by counsel and witnesses.

7. On November 10, 2017, Ms. Alexandra Robert Gordon, counsel of
 record for Defendant, called me to discuss the scheduling of expert depositions and
 potential concerns with filing cross-motions for summary judgment by the current
 deadline of February 2, 2018.

8. During that telephone conference, Ms. Gordon and I each confirmed
that we had been in touch with our respective experts regarding availability for
depositions, and that we would provide potential dates as soon as we had
confirmation from each witness. We also agreed that an extension for summary
judgment would be likely and agreed to discuss the issue with our respective
clients.

9. On November 20, 2017, Ms. Gordon sent me an e-mail explaining
that, likely owing to the holiday, her experts had been slow to respond to her
requests for deposition availability, but that she would follow-up with them. I
responded that day, explaining that I had not yet received confirmation from my
experts regarding their availability. I followed up with each of my witnesses that
day.

27 10. Over the next few days, my expert witnesses slowly began to respond.
28 On November 27, 2017, as soon as I had responses from three of my four

witnesses, I sent an e-mail to Ms. Gordon providing several available dates.

1

11. My final witness provided his availability on November 28, 2017, and
I immediately informed opposing counsel. I also informed Ms. Gordon that my
client would agree to an extension of the deadline to file cross-motions for
summary judgment.

6 12. On November 28, 2017, Ms. Gordon provided deposition availability 7 for each of her witnesses. And I immediately went to work preparing a proposed 8 schedule for travel and depositions, taking into account the availability of witnesses 9 and counsel, the holidays, and the significant travel needed for these depositions. 10 That day, I exchanged multiple additional e-mails with Ms. Gordon regarding the 11 proposed schedule, as well as other issues surrounding the taking of depositions. 12 Ms. Gordon assured me that she would discuss the proposed schedule with her 13 colleagues and respond shortly.

14 13. The schedule I proposed included travel to the East Coast to take six
15 expert depositions during the first two weeks of January, with depositions being
16 completed by January 12, 2018.

17 14. On November 30, 2017, I called Ms. Gordon to discuss the stipulation
18 or joint motion for extension of time to file cross-motions for summary judgment
19 and the grounds for same. During that call, Ms. Gordon informed that she would
20 have a response to my proposed deposition schedule by December 1, 2017. Ms.
21 Gordon then sent me a response with respect to most of the depositions on
22 December 1, and expects to confirm the remaining few by early next week. The
23 parties are thus very close to setting a mutually agreeable deposition schedule.

15. With discovery likely to be completed so late into January, the parties
agree that it will be difficult to prepare and file motions for summary judgment,
inclusive of all discovery that would prove helpful to the Court, by the current
deadline of February 2, 2018. The parties therefore respectfully request that this
Court extend the deadline to file dispositive motions by 31 days, to and including

Cas	e 3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 45 Filed 12/01/17 PageID.4368 Page 8 of 8
1	March 5, 2018.
2	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
3	Executed within the United States on December 1, 2017.
4	anarxsan
5	Anna Barvir Declarant
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24 25	
25 26	
20 27	
27	
20	8
	DECLARATION OF ANNA M. BARVIR 17cv1017

|