Case	2:16-cv-06164-JAK-AS	Document 79	Filed 05/	/07/18	Page 1 of 5	Page ID #:2779
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		THE UNITED CENTRAL DI WES		OF CA	ALIFORNIA	
12						
13	MICHELLE FLAN	AGAN, et al.,	Ca	ise No.	: 2:16-cv-06	164-JAK-AS
14	Plaintif	fs,	0	BUEB	RULING O	N
15	V.			EFENI	DANT'S OB	JECTIONS TO EVIDENCE
16	CALIFORNIA ATT	TORNEY	in D		N OPPOSI	
17	GENERAL XAVIE his official capacity	as Attorney		J MMA	RY JUDGN	MENT (DKT. 66)
18	General of the State al.,	of Camornia,	el			
19	Defend	ants.				
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

The cross-motions for summary judgment, one filed by Defendant Xavier 1 2 Becerra, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of California ("Defendant"), and the other filed by Plaintiffs Michelle Flanagan, Samuel Golden, 3 4 Dominic Nardone, Jacob Perkio, and the California Rifle & Pistol Association 5 ("Plaintiffs"), came on for hearing in this Court on November 6, 2017. Defendant had timely lodged evidentiary objections to the declaration of Sean Brady submitted 6 7 in support of Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment. Defendant later timely had lodged amended evidentiary objections on the same subject matter, to conform the 8 previously submitted objections to the requirements of the Court's initial standing 9 10 order for civil cases.

The Court having read and considered the objections in Defendant's amended
objections to certain evidence filed in support of Plaintiffs' motion for summary
judgment, rules as follows on each of Defendant's objections.

14

15 16	DECLARATION OF SEAN A. BRADY [ETC.]	OBJECTION	COURT'S RULING
17	(ECF No. 57-1)		
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	[Objections 1, 2, 3] ¶ 10. I have researched and confirmed that 46 states allow the open carry of firearms in some form. States generally prohibiting open carry of any firearm by statute are California, Hawaii, Florida, Illinois as well as the District of Columbia.	 Lacks foundation/personal knowledge. Fed. R. Evid. 602. Irrelevant (improper legal argument). Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402. Improper opinion testimony of a lay person. Fed. R. Evid. 701, 702. 	Sustained, without prejudice to citation to laws
26 27 28	[Objections 4, 5, 6] ¶ 11. I have researched and confirmed that there are	4. Lacks foundation/personal knowledge. Fed. R. Evid. 602.	Sustained, without prejudice to
		1	

1	three additional states that	5. Irrelevant (improper legal	citation to
2	do not have statutory prohibitions against open	argument). Fed. R. Evid. 401, 402.	laws
3	carry, but state law has	102.	
4	been construed to generally	6. Improper opinion testimony	
5	prohibit the practice. These states are Massachusetts,	of a lay person. Fed. R. Evid. 701, 702.	
6	New York, and New	/01, /02.	
7	Jersey.		
8			
	[Objections 7, 8, 9] ¶ 12. I	7. Lacks foundation/personal	Sustained,
9	have researched and	knowledge. Fed. R. Evid. 602.	without
10	confirmed that there are two states which generally	8. Irrelevant (improper legal	prejudice to citation to
11	prohibit the open carry of	argument). Fed. R. Evid. 401,	laws
12	handguns, but otherwise	402.	
13	allow the open carry of long guns. These states are	9. Improper opinion testimony	
14	Maryland and South	of a lay person. Fed. R. Evid.	
15	Carolina.	701, 702.	
16			
	[Objections 10, 11, 12]	10. Lacks foundation/personal	Sustained,
17	¶ 13. I have researched	knowledge. Fed. R. Evid. 602.	without
18	and confirmed that 34 states allow unlicensed	11. Irrelevant (improper legal	prejudice to citation to
19	persons to carry firearms	argument). Fed. R. Evid. 401,	laws
20	openly, notwithstanding	402.	
21	municipal ordinances in some states. There are six	12. Improper opinion testimony	
22	states which require a	of a lay person. Fed. R. Evid.	
23	permit but permits are	701, 702.	
24	granted on a shall issue basis. These states are		
25	Indiana, Georgia,		
	Minnesota, Oklahoma,		
26	Texas, and Tennessee. The remaining six states allow		
27	open carry with a permit		
28	but are "may issue" permit		
		2	

1	regimes, and it is unknown		
2	how many permits they		
3	issue.		
4			
	[Objections 13, 14, 15]	13. Lacks foundation/personal	Sustained,
5	¶ 14. I have researched	knowledge. Fed. R. Evid. 602.	without
6	and confirmed that in the following 29 states, the	14. Irrelevant (improper legal	prejudice to citation to
7	practice of openly carrying	argument). Fed. R. Evid. 401,	laws
8	a firearm in public was	402.	
9	generally not prohibited	15 Immonon aninian tastimana	
10	prior to the passage of "shall issue concealed	15. Improper opinion testimony of a lay person. Fed. R. Evid.	
	weapon permit" statutes.	701, 702.	
11	These states include		
12	Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Kansas,		
13	Kentucky, Louisiana,		
14	Maine, Michigan,		
15	Missouri, Mississippi, Montono, North Corolina		
16	Montana, North Carolina, Nebraska, New Hampshire,		
	New Mexico, Nevada,		
17	Ohio, Oregon,		
18	Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Utah, Virginia,		
19	Washington, West		
20	Virginia, Wisconsin,		
21	Wyoming, Vermont. Most of these states never		
22	specifically banned the		
23	practice of openly carrying		
	and firearm [<i>sic</i>]. And in		
24	those states that did prohibit the open carry of a		
25	firearm, the prohibition		
26	was ultimately eliminated		
27	by statute or found		
28			
		3	

Case 2:16-cv-06164-JAK-AS Document 79 Filed 05/07/18 Page 5 of 5 Page ID #:2783

1	unconstitutional under that	
2	state's constitution.	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
4		. 1.
5	Dated: May 7, 2018	gm n
6	Dated. Way 7, 2010	JOHN A. KRONSTADT
7		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
17		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		4