Case 2:11-0.a.00916-5587-5,507.D.0.02017.ntD64056120564.D.141/E4tryP.200221, Dfage Bauje41D #:2671			
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California MARK R. BECKINGTON		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JONATHAN M. EISENBERG		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 184162		
5	300 South Spring St., Ste. 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-6505 Fax: (213) 897-1071		
7	E-mail: jonathan.eisenberg@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendant California Attorney General Kamala D. Harris		
8	General Kamala D. Harris		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	WESTERN DIVISION		
12			
13	CHARLES NICHOLS,	2:11-cv-09916-SJO-(SS)	
14	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT KAMALA D.	
15	v.	HARRIS'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF CHARLES	
16	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., in his	NICHOLS'S OBJECTIONS TO U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE REPORT	
17	official capacity as Governor of California, KAMALA D. HARRIS, in	AND RECOMMENDATION	
18	her official capacity as Attorney General of California, CITY OF	Date: N/A Time: N/A	
19	REDONDO BEACH, CITY OF REDONDO BEACH POLICE	Crtrm.: $1 - 2nd$ Flr.Judge:Hon. S. James	
20	DEPARTMENT, CITY OF REDONDO BEACH POLICE CHIEF JOSEPH LEONARDI and	OteroTrial Date:Not Yet SetAction Filed:Nov. 30, 2011	
21	DOES 1 to 10,	Action Flied. Nov. 30, 2011	
22	Defendants.		
23			
24	Defendant Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of California (the "Attorney		
25	General"), submits the following response to the objections ("Objection") of		
26	Plaintiff Charles Nichols ("Nichols") to U.S. Magistrate Judge Suzanne H. Segal's		
27			
28			
	1		

March 18, 2014, report and recommendation ("R & R") about the potentially
 dispositive motions pending in the present case.

The Attorney General agrees with the R & R and disagrees with Nichols's
objections, which seem largely duplicative of his rejected contentions in the motion
papers. The Attorney General makes the present submission, nonetheless, in order
to respond to two new points that Nichols has raised in his Objection.

7 First, Nichols is incorrect in asserting that the Attorney General 8 "acknowledged her defeat on" Nichols's supposed claim of race-based discrimination in the enforcement of the firearm "open-carry" laws in question in 9 10 this case. Objection, 6:19-7:5; accord, id., at 21:27-23:5. The Attorney General 11 has not conceded any part of Nichols's claim, and in fact has denied that Nichols has properly articulated the claim. (See, e.g., footnote 3 to the Attorney General's 12 13 memorandum of points and authorities in support of her motion for judgment on the 14 pleadings (Nov. 12, 2013).) Furthermore, Nichols improperly cites to passages in 15 an *erroneously filed draft* version of the Attorney General's opposition to Nichols's (offensive) motion for partial summary judgment. The Attorney General's 16 17 corrected pleading, filed a day later with a notice of errata, does not include the passages cited by Nichols. (Compare Dkt. # 140 (Dec. 2, 2013) with Dkt. # 141-1 18 19 (Dec. 3, 2013).)

20 Second, the Attorney General objects to Nichols's new declaration claiming 21 that he is of (some undefined) mixed-race heritage. Opposition, 22:19-22:26. 22 Nichols did not present any evidence on this issue in the summary-judgment papers, 23 although Nichols did assert what his age and gender were (see Dkt. # 136 at 32:11-24 // 25 // 26 // 27 //

28

Case 2:11-Ca 09916-5587-5,507 Dold2017,1106405604/D00184tryPage 23 Dage Bage Bage ID #:2673			
1	32:12). Nichols should not be allowed to introduce new evidence about his race, a		
2	matter not pleaded, in an objection to the R & R.		
3	Dated: April 14, 2014	Respectfully submitted,	
4		KAMALA D. HARRIS	
5		Attorney General of California MARK R. BECKINGTON Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
6		Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
7			
8		<u>/s/</u> JONATHAN M. EISENBERG Deputy Attorney General	
9		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendant California Attorney General Kamala D. Harris	
10		Miomey General Ramata D. Marris	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		3	

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Court Name: U.S. District Court, Central District of California Case Name: Nichols v. Brown 11-cv-09916-SJO-SS Case No.:

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. My business address is 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013.

On April 14, 2014, I served the attached DEFENDANT KAMALA D. HARRIS'S **RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF CHARLES NICHOLS'S OBJECTIONS TO U.S.** MAGISTRATE JUDGE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the U.S. mail at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

Charles Nichols P.O. Box 1302 Redondo Beach, CA 90278

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on April 14, 2014, at Los Angeles, California.

> Jonathan M. Eisenberg Declarant

/s/ Jonathan M. Eisenberg

Signature