UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION HONORABLE JOHN A. KRONSTADT UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE PRESIDING

- - -

MICHELLE FLANAGAN, ET AL.,)
PLAINTIFFS,))
VS.) CV16-06164-JAK
KAMALA HARRIS, ET AL.,))
DEFENDANTS.))
)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 2017; 8:30 AM

ALEXANDER T. JOKO, CSR NO. 12272
FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
350 WEST FIRST STREET, ROOM 4311
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
AJ_CSR@YAHOO.COM

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:				
2	FOR PLAINTIFFS: (CLINTON B. MONFORT MICHEL AND ASSOCIATES PC			
3	:	180 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD SUITE 200			
4		LONG BEACH, CA 90802			
5		SEAN ANTHONY BRADY MICHEL AND ASSOCIATES PC			
6		180 EAST OCEAN BOULEVARD SUITE 200			
7]	LONG BEACH, CA 90802			
8					
9		JONATHAN MICHAEL EISENBERG OFFICE OF THE			
10 11		CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL GOVERNMENT LAW SECTION 300 SOUTH SPRING STREET			
12	S	SUITE 1702 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013			
13		LANA LEE CHOI			
14	1	LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL			
15		500 WEST TEMPLE STREET 6TH FLOOR			
16]	LOS ANGELES, CA 90012			
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 2017
2	0.20 7.4
3	8:30 AM
4	
5	
6	THE COURT: ITEM NO. 4, CV16-06164, MICHELLE
7	FLANAGAN V. CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL KAMALA HARRIS.
8	WOULD YOU STATE YOUR APPEARANCES, PLEASE.
9	MR. BRADY: SEAN BRADY ON BEHALF OF THE
10	PLAINTIFFS, YOUR HONOR.
11	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, MR. BRADY.
12	MS. CHOI: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. LANA
13	CHOI ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT SHERIFF MCDONNELL.
14	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, MS. CHOI.
15	MR. EISENBERG: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
16	JONATHAN EISENBERG ON BEHALF OF ATTORNEY GENERAL XAVIER
17	BECERRA.
18	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING, MR. EISENBERG.
19	WELL, WE'RE HERE ON A MOTION TO DISMISS,
20	AS WELL AS THE SCHEDULING CONFERENCE.
21	I DON'T THINK YOU ACTUALLY DISAGREE
22	MATERIALLY AS TO CERTAIN MATTERS.
23	WITH RESPECT TO THE ISSUES THAT THE
24	NINTH CIRCUIT ADDRESSED AND DECIDED IN PERUTA, THEY'RE
25	NOT GOING TO BE RELITIGATED HERE. THERE'S NO BASIS TO

1 DO SO. AND THAT IS -- IN PERUTA, THE NINTH 2 3 CIRCUIT UPHELD THE CONCEALED CARRY STATUTE. TO THE EXTENT THAT THERE IS NOW AN EFFORT 4 5 BY PLAINTIFFS TO CHALLENGE THE OPEN CARRY STATUTE, IT RAISES DIFFERENT ISSUES TO THE -- INASMUCH AS -- WELL, 6 7 IT RAISES DIFFERENT ISSUES. TO THE EXTENT THAT IT IS RELEVANT IN THE 8 9 ANALYSIS OF THE OPEN CARRY STATUTE, THAT THE CONCEALED 10 CARRY STATUTE IS IN PLACE AND HAS BEEN -- THE 11 CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE TO IT FAILED, THAT MAY BE 12 RELEVANT. BUT OTHER THAN THAT, THERE WOULD NOT BE 13 RELITIGATION OF THAT ISSUE. 14 THE OPEN CARRY STATUTE PERMITS THE 15 SHERIFF AND COUNTIES WITH POPULATIONS OF LESS THAN 16 200,000 PEOPLE TO ISSUE PERMITS TO CARRY A FIREARM. 17 THAT DOESN'T APPLY TO LOS ANGELES; 18 CORRECT? 19 THE COUNTY, IT'S UNDISPUTED THAT IT'S GREATER THAN 200,000? 20 21 MR. BRADY: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 22 THE COURT: ACCORDINGLY, I DON'T THINK THERE'S 23 A BASIS FOR THE CLAIM AGAINST THE COUNTY OF 24 LOS ANGELES AND THE SHERIFF. 25 MR. BRADY, I'LL HEAR FROM YOU ON THAT.

1 DO YOU CONTEND THAT THERE REMAINS A CLAIM 2 AGAINST THE SHERIFF IF THE SHERIFF LACKS JURISDICTION 3 TO ISSUE AN OPEN CARRY PERMIT? MR. BRADY: THERE IS TO THE EXTENT THAT --4 5 FIRST, JUST TO CLARIFY SO I KNOW WHERE WE'RE AT, YOUR HONOR. ARE YOU ACCEPTING PLAINTIFF'S POSITION THAT 6 7 THESE ARE TWO SEPARATE CLAIMS? THAT THERE'S AN OPEN CARRY AND A CONCEALED CARRY CLAIM? AND THAT, THUS --8 9 THE COURT: IN THIS CASE? MR. BRADY: YES. 10 11 THE COURT: NO. 12 THE ONLY VIABLE CLAIM HERE CONCERNS A 13 CHALLENGE TO THE OPEN CARRY STATUTE. 14 MR. BRADY: CORRECT. 15 SO YOU UNDERSTAND, PLAINTIFF'S POSITION 16 IS THAT WE'RE CHALLENGING THE STATE'S SCHEME IN ITS 17 ENTIRETY. 18 THE COURT: BUT THE NINTH CIRCUIT HAS ALREADY 19 REJECTED THE CHALLENGE TO THE CLOSED CARRY. THAT'S NOT GOING TO BE RELITIGATED HERE, AS I SAID A MOMENT AGO. 20 21 FACTUALLY, TO THE EXTENT THAT THERE IS A 22 CONCEALED CARRY STATUTE IN PLACE, AS I SAID EARLIER, 23 THAT HAS BEEN UPHELD AS CONSTITUTIONAL, NOTWITHSTANDING 24 THE SECOND AMENDMENT CHALLENGE. AND THAT'S A FACT, BUT 25 THAT'S NOT A LEGAL ISSUE.

MR. BRADY: CORRECT.

AND I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY WHAT IS A

LEGAL ISSUE IS THAT, PLAINTIFFS ARE NOT SEEKING TO

RELITIGATE A CONCEALED CARRY CHALLENGE FOR WHETHER IT

WAS ACCURATE OR NOT. PLAINTIFFS -- AND THE PERUTA

MATTER -- AND I CAN SPEAK TO THAT BECAUSE I WAS THEIR

COUNSEL.

EVEN THOUGH THEY FRAMED -- WE FRAMED OUR

CLAIM IN PERUTA IN A WAY SEEKING TO CHALLENGE THE

ENTIRETY OF CALIFORNIA SCHEME AND SEEKING THE REMEDY OF

A CONCEALED WEAPON PERMIT, THE FACT THAT THE PLAINTIFFS

IN PERUTA WERE ONLY SEEKING A CONCEALED WEAPON PERMIT,

THE NINTH CIRCUIT EN BANC PANEL CONSTRUED THAT AS

SOLELY SEEKING -- MAKING A CLAIM FOR A RIGHT TO

CONCEALED CARRY.

HERE, THAT IS NO LONGER THE CASE.

THE PLAINTIFFS, NOW WE ARE SEEKING A RIGHT TO CARRY IN SOME MANNER. AND THAT IS BECAUSE THERE IS A BAN, WHETHER IT BE OPEN CARRY, CONCEALED CARRY, ALL OF IT --

THE COURT: WHAT REMEDY -- IF YOU WERE SUCCESSFUL ON THE MERITS HERE, WHAT REMEDY COULD YOU OBTAIN FROM THE SHERIFF?

MR. BRADY: IT WOULD BE -- I DON'T THINK WE

CAN HAVE A REMEDY WITHOUT THE SHERIFF. AND THIS IS --

1 THE COURT: WHAT REMEDY DO YOU SEEK FROM THE 2 SHERIFF THAT IS PERMITTED GIVEN THAT YOUR CHALLENGE IS 3 TO THE OPEN CARRY LAW? MR. BRADY: THE REMEDY, I BELIEVE, WOULD BE 4 5 THE DECISION OF THE STATE TO DETERMINE WHETHER THEY WANT TO PROVIDE OPEN CARRY OR COMPEL THE SHERIFF TO 6 7 ISSUE. I AGREE WITH YOUR HONOR THAT PERUTA BINDS 8 9 THIS COURT --THE COURT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU JUST 10 11 SAID. 12 IF THIS CASE WENT TO JUDGMENT AND THE 13 SHERIFF -- THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES OR THE SHERIFF 14 REMAINED A DEFENDANT, WHAT RELIEF COULD YOU -- WHAT 15 RELIEF WOULD YOU BE SEEKING AGAINST THE SHERIFF? 16 MR. BRADY: THAT EITHER THE SHERIFF OR THE 17 STATE OF CALIFORNIA PROVIDE SOME OUTLET FOR CARRY TO 18 THE PLAINTIFFS. 19 AND, YOUR HONOR, I --20 THE COURT: INASMUCH AS PERUTA HAS RESOLVED 21 THE ISSUE OF CONCEALED CARRY, AND INASMUCH AS STATE LAW 22 DOESN'T PERMIT THE SHERIFF ANY JURISDICTION OVER OPEN 23 CARRY, WHAT RELIEF COULD YOU OBTAIN FROM THE SHERIFF IN 24 THIS CASE? 25 MR. BRADY: THE SHERIFF COULD DECIDE --

1 BETWEEN THE STATE AND THE SHERIFF, THE SHERIFF COULD 2 ISSUE CONCEALED WEAPON PERMITS. 3 NOW YOUR HONOR CANNOT COMPEL THE SHERIFF 4 TO DO THAT. YOUR HONOR COULD COMPEL THE STATE TO EITHER ALLOW OPEN CARRY OR THE SHERIFF TO ISSUE 5 6 PERMITS. 7 SO I UNDERSTAND YOUR HONOR'S --THE COURT: I DON'T REALLY UNDERSTAND THE 8 9 JUSTICIABLE ISSUE AS TO THE SHERIFF. MR. BRADY: THE SHERIFF HAS BEEN MADE A STATE 10 11 ACTOR VIA STATE LAW TO BE PART OF THE CARRY SCHEME. 12 THIS, UNFORTUNATELY, YOUR HONOR, 13 PLAINTIFFS -- TRUST ME, PLAINTIFFS WISH IT WEREN'T THE 14 CASE, AND THAT THIS WAS JUST AS CLEAN AS THE ILLINOIS 15 CASE IN THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT WHERE IT WAS A TOTAL BAN, 16 AND WE CAN JUST DEAL WITH THAT. UNFORTUNATELY, CALIFORNIA LAW IS NOT THAT CLEAR. AND WITH ALL DUE 17 18 RESPECT, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S NOT THE PLAINTIFF'S FAULT. 19 WE TAKE THESE THINGS AS WE --20 THE COURT: BUT I DON'T UNDERSTAND YET WHAT 21 RELIEF YOU'RE GOING TO SEEK -- WHAT COURT ORDER WOULD 22 YOU CONTEND WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IN THIS ACTION AGAINST 23 THE SHERIFF OF LOS ANGELES? 24 MR. BRADY: THAT, AS A STATE ACTOR -- BECAUSE 25 HE IS A STATE ACTOR IN THIS REGARD. THAT THE STATE

DETERMINE PROVIDE AN OUTLET TO CARRY, JUST AS
THE COURT: HOW DOES THE SHERIFF HAVE
AUTHORITY TO DETERMINE STATE POLICY?
MR. BRADY: THE SHERIFF HAS ALL THE AUTHORITY
UNDER STATE LAW AS HE HAS BEEN DELEGATED THAT
AUTHORITY
THE COURT: BUT HE DOESN'T HAVE AUTHORITY TO
ISSUE AN OPEN CARRY PERMIT AS A MATTER OF STATE LAW.
MR. BRADY: CORRECT.
BUT HERE'S WHERE I THINK THE DISCONNECT
IS, YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY?
IF THE SHERIFF WERE TO ISSUE CONCEALED
WEAPON PERMITS, EVEN THOUGH HE CANNOT BE COMPELLED TO,
IF HE WERE, I DON'T THINK THAT THE PLAINTIFFS WOULD
HAVE STANDING. I BELIEVE THAT THEIR SECOND AMENDMENT
RIGHT TO
THE COURT: SPEAKING OF "STANDING," HAVE THE
INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS APPLIED FOR AN OPEN CARRY PERMIT?
MR. BRADY: NO, BECAUSE THEY CANNOT.
THE COURT: THEY CANNOT APPLY FOR ONE?
MR. BRADY: NO. THERE IS NOT ONE AVAILABLE
UNDER STATE LAW IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES.
THE COURT: BUT THEY CAN APPLY TO THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA FOR ONE; CORRECT?
MR. BRADY: NO.

1 THE ONLY WAY TO GET AN OPEN CARRY PERMIT 2 IS IN ANOTHER COUNTY WITH LESS THAN 200- OR 250,000 3 RESIDENTS. 4 THE COURT: UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW, CERTAIN 5 PERSONS MAY GET OPEN CARRY PERMITS; CORRECT? 6 MR. BRADY: THAT'S CORRECT. 7 THE COURT: IF THEY'RE A PEACE OFFICER, IF THEY'RE -- FIT INTO SPECIFIC -- CERTAIN CATEGORIES BY 8 9 THE STATUTE; CORRECT? MR. BRADY: NO, YOUR HONOR. A NORMAL 10 11 RESIDENT, A STANDARD NON-PEACE OFFICER CAN OBTAIN, 12 UNDER CALIFORNIA STATE LAW, AN OPEN CARRY PERMIT IF 13 THEY RESIDE IN A COUNTY WHERE THE POPULATION IS LESS 14 THAN 200,000 PEOPLE. THAT'S WHERE THE EQUAL PROTECTION 15 CLAIM COMES IN. IN THAT, A PERSON IN -- I DON'T HAVE 16 THE --17 THE COURT: SO YOU'RE SAYING THAT A PERSON WHO 18 RESIDES IN A COUNTY OF LARGER THAN 200,000 IS NOT 19 PERMITTED TO HAVE AN OPEN CARRY EVEN, FOR EXAMPLE, IF HE OR SHE IS A SECURITY GUARD? 20 21 MR. BRADY: OH, SURE, THERE ARE EXCEPTIONS FOR 22 SECURITY GUARDS. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT NON-PEACE 23 OFFICER, NON-SPECIALIZED GROUPS. THAT'S CORRECT. 24 THE COURT: AND IF A PERSON SEEKS A -- IF A PERSON BELIEVES HE OR SHE IS IN A CATEGORY THAT'S 25

1 PERMITTED AN OPEN CARRY IN A COUNTY OF MORE THAN 2 200,000, AND BECAUSE HE OR SHE FITS THE -- MEETS THE 3 STATUTORY DEFINITION OR REQUIREMENTS, DOES HE OR SHE HAVE TO APPLY FOR A PERMIT? 4 5 MR. BRADY: YES. 6 SO IN, SAY -- I'M GOING TO GUESS ALPINE 7 COUNTY FITS THIS -- AND MAYBE NOT ANYMORE. BUT IN ALPINE COUNTY, IF OUR PLAINTIFFS WERE RESIDENTS OF 8 9 ALPINE COUNTY, THEY GO TO THE SHERIFF AND SAY, "MAY I GET AN OPEN CARRY PERMIT?" THE SHERIFF WOULD SAY 10 11 EITHER "OPEN" OR "CONCEALED." IT WOULD BE AT THE 12 DISCRETION OF THE SHERIFF. THE STATE LAW GIVES 13 SHERIFFS VAST DISCRETION IN THESE REGARDS. 14 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND THAT AS TO OPEN CARRY 15 IN A COUNTY OF LESS THAN 200,000. 16 MY QUESTION IS AS TO THE STATE. IF ONE 17 IS SEEKING TO GET A PERMIT TO CARRY OPEN -- STATE OPEN 18 CARRY PERMIT - I.E., ONE ISSUED TO A PERSON WHO RESIDES 19 IN A COUNTY OF MORE THAN 200,000, THAT REQUEST FOR PERMIT WOULD BE MADE TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA; 20 21 CORRECT? 22 MR. BRADY: IT CANNOT BE MADE. THERE'S NO --23 NOTHING --24 THE COURT: IT CAN BE MADE WITH RESPECT TO 25 THOSE WHO FIT THE STATUTORY DEFINITION; CORRECT?

1 MR. BRADY: AS FAR AS A PEACE OFFICER? YES. 2 A PEACE OFFICER OR A SECURITY GUARD OR SOMETHING OF 3 THAT NATURE? YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: OKAY. AND YOUR POSITION IS THAT, 4 5 IN ORDER TO HAVE STANDING TO CHALLENGE THE STATE STATUTE, YOUR CLIENTS DON'T HAVE TO APPLY FOR A PERMIT 6 7 AND HAVE IT DENIED BECAUSE THEY DON'T QUALIFY UNDER THE 8 STATUTE? 9 MR. BRADY: THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. I MEAN, IT'S A FACIAL -- AS A FACIAL MATTER, THEY'RE --10 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 12 MR. BRADY: -- UNABLE TO DO SO. 13 THE COURT: PUTTING THAT ASIDE, I HAVE NOT 14 HEARD ANYTHING YET THAT SUGGESTS TO ME THERE'S ANY 15 CLAIM THAT CAN BE MADE, AT THIS POINT, AGAINST THE 16 SHERIFF BECAUSE THE CHALLENGE HERE IS TO THE STATE LAW. 17 AND THERE'S NO BASIS I'VE HEARD THAT THE SHERIFF HAS 18 JURISDICTION OVER STATE LAW. THE LEGISLATURE AND THE 19 GOVERNOR DO. 20 MR. BRADY: INDEED, YOUR HONOR. 21 BUT BECAUSE THE STATE HAS GIVEN THE 22 SHERIFF THIS DISCRETION -- AND AS I --THE COURT: THAT'S WITH RESPECT TO CONCEALED 23 24 CARRY. 25 MR. BRADY: I GUESS I WOULD PUT IT THIS WAY,

1 YOUR HONOR, FOR CONTEXT TO UNDERSTAND WHERE WE'RE 2 COMING FROM: IF THE SHERIFF WERE TO ISSUE CONCEALED 3 WEAPON PERMITS, AS HE'S ALLOWED TO DO, THEN PLAINTIFFS WOULD BE MADE WHOLE; CORRECT? 4 5 THEY WOULD -- IN OTHER WORDS, IF THEY HAD PERMITS AND THEY WERE COMING TO YOUR HONOR SAYING, "NO, 6 7 WE WANT TO OPEN CARRY, " YOUR HONOR WOULD, I BELIEVE, BE ABLE TO SAY, "NO, YOUR SECOND AMENDMENT RIGHTS ARE 8 9 INTACT. YOU HAVE A CONCEALED WEAPON PERMIT." AND I THINK THAT THE INVERSE IS TRUE AS 10 11 WELL. THAT THIS COURT IS -- WOULD BE UNABLE TO PROVIDE 12 THE RELIEF THAT PLAINTIFFS SEEK WITHOUT THE SHERIFF BECAUSE HE IS A NECESSARY COMPONENT. 13 14 IN OTHER WORDS, WE SPENT EIGHT YEARS 15 LITIGATING --16 THE COURT: I DON'T UNDERSTAND. I DON'T THINK 17 I AGREE WITH YOU. 18 I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT JUDGMENT COULD BE 19 ENTERED IN THIS CASE REQUIRING THE SHERIFF -- WHAT WOULD YOU BE REQUIRING THE SHERIFF TO DO? 20 21 AND WITH RESPECT TO YOUR PRIOR STATEMENT, 22 HAVE YOUR CLIENTS APPLIED FOR CONCEALED CARRY WITHIN 23 LOS ANGELES COUNTY? 24 MR. BRADY: YES, YOUR HONOR. THEY HAVE. THE25 INDIVIDUALS HAVE.

1 THE COURT: AND THEY'VE BEEN DENIED? 2 MR. BRADY: YES. 3 THE COURT: SO THEY ALREADY HAVE THE BASIS TO 4 SAY WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, WHICH IS, THAT'S NOT A SOLUTION 5 TO OUR PROBLEM. WE WISH TO CHALLENGE THE OPEN CARRY 6 PROVISION. 7 MR. BRADY: HERE'S THE CONTEXT OF THIS THING, YOUR HONOR: WE SPENT EIGHT YEARS LITIGATING THE PERUTA 8 9 MATTER UNDER THE BELIEF THAT WE WERE LITIGATING A 10 CHALLENGE TO THE ENTIRE CALIFORNIA SCHEME AND SAYING, 11 ALL WE'RE ASKING FOR IS JUST AN INTERPRETATION OF THE 12 GOOD CAUSE REQUIREMENT THAT SELF-DEFENSE MUST BE 13 ACCEPTED UNDER THE DOCTRINE OF CONSTITUTIONAL AVOIDANCE 14 TO NOT DISRUPT THE ENTIRE SCHEME. 15 UNFORTUNATELY FOR THE PLAINTIFFS IN THE PERUTA MATTER, THE NINTH CIRCUIT EN BANC PANEL REJECTED 16 17 OUR POSITION THAT WE WERE SEEKING JUST TO CARRY IN SOME MANNER AND SAID, "NO, YOU'RE ONLY SEEKING TO CARRY IN A 18 19 CONCEALED MANNER, AND YOU DO NOT HAVE A RIGHT TO CARRY IN JUST A CONCEALED MANNER." 20 21 SO THE PROBLEM NOW IS, DO WE GET BOXED IN 22 ON THE OTHER SIDE JUST GOING OPEN CARRY? AND THEN COME TO FIND OUT THAT YOU'RE NOT -- CAN'T -- YOU CAN'T SAY, 23 24 STATE A CLAIM FOR JUST OPEN CARRY. YOU HAVE TO ASK FOR 25 SOME FORM OF CARRY, WHICH IS --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: AS I STATED, AS A FACTUAL MATTER, IT'S BEEN DETERMINED -- AS I SAID AT THE OUTSET, IT'S BEEN DETERMINED IN PERUTA AS TO THE VALIDITY OF THE CLOSED -- THE CONCEALED CARRY IS VALID UNDER THE SECOND AMENDMENT. YOU JUST REPRESENTED THAT EACH OF THESE PLAINTIFFS HAS APPLIED FOR AND BEEN DENIED A PERMIT. SO THEY'RE NOW SEEKING TO HAVE A RESOLUTION THAT THEY CAN OPEN CARRY BECAUSE THE STATE LAW VIOLATES A SECOND AMENDMENT. MR. BRADY: OR THEY WOULD TAKE A CONCEALED WEAPON PERMIT. I THINK WHERE THE DISRUPT IS, THE CLAIM VERSUS THE REMEDY. YOUR HONOR COULD NOT, UNDER PERUTA, COMPEL THE SHERIFF TO ISSUE CONCEALED WEAPON PERMITS. WE'RE ALL IN AGREEMENT THERE. UNDER PERUTA, THAT IS NOT -- THERE'S NO SECOND AMENDMENT REQUIREMENT THAT HE DO THAT. THERE IS, HOWEVER, IF THE STATE AND THE SHERIFF AGREED THAT CONCEALED WEAPON PERMITS WOULD BE THE OUTLET FOR EXERCISING THE RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS --WHICH I THINK, AT THE END OF THE DAY, WILL BE THE CASE BECAUSE THAT'S THE OBVIOUS PREFERENCE THAT THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA HAS CHOSEN FOR PEOPLE CARRYING --THE COURT: THAT WOULD REQUIRE AN AMENDMENT TO THE STATUTE; CORRECT?

1 MR. BRADY: NO. 2 THE COURT: IT WOULDN'T? 3 MR. BRADY: NO. THE COURT: 200,000 IS NOT STATUTORY? 4 5 MR. BRADY: WELL, THAT'S THE OPEN CARRY 6 DISTINCTION. 7 I'M SAYING THAT IF THEY WERE ISSUED CONCEALED WEAPON PERMITS, THEY WOULD --8 9 THE COURT: I DON'T THINK WE'RE GETTING 10 ANYWHERE. 11 I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY REMEDY THAT YOU 12 HAVE AGAINST THE SHERIFF IN THIS MATTER. I THINK 13 YOU'RE CHALLENGING A STATE STATUTE AND A STATE SYSTEM. 14 AND THE STATE SYSTEM LIMITS THE AUTHORITY OF SHERIFFS 15 IN COUNTIES OF MORE THAN 200,000 TO ISSUE AN OPEN CARRY 16 PERMIT. AND THAT'S PART OF YOUR CHALLENGE. 17 AND PART OF YOUR CHALLENGE IS THAT, IN PERUTA, CONCEALED CARRY WAS UPHELD. AND, ACCORDINGLY, 18 19 GIVEN THAT YOUR CLIENTS HAVE BEEN SOUGHT AND BEEN DENIED CLOSED CONCEALED CARRY, THAT'S NOT A REMEDY TO 20 21 THEM. 22 I DON'T SEE WHAT FURTHER REMEDY THERE IS 23 AGAINST THE SHERIFF, AS OPPOSED TO, FOR EXAMPLE, IF THE 24 STATUTE WERE AMENDED AND THE SHERIFF WAS GIVEN 25 AUTHORITY TO DO SOMETHING WITH RESPECT TO OPEN CARRY,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REMEDY.

AND HE ALLEGEDLY DIDN'T DO WHAT HE SHOULD HAVE DONE, THEN YOU COULD CHALLENGE HIS CONDUCT. BUT I DON'T SEE IT OTHERWISE. I DON'T. AM I MISSING SOMETHING, MR. EISENBERG --EXCUSE ME, MS. CHOI? MS. CHOI: NO, YOUR HONOR. I THINK YOU'RE COMPLETELY CORRECT. THE SHERIFF HAS NO POLICIES ON OPEN CARRY. OPEN CARRY IN L.A. COUNTY IS PROHIBITED BY STATE LAW. I THINK THAT THE ONLY REASON THAT THE COUNTY HAS BEEN BROUGHT INTO THIS CASE IS IN AN EFFORT TO RELITIGATE PERUTA, WHICH YOU HAVE ALREADY STATED IS NOT GOING TO HAPPEN. THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW ABOUT THAT, BUT I --I DON'T UNDERSTAND -- I DON'T SEE, AS I'VE SAID REPEATEDLY, WHAT JUDGMENT COULD BE ENTERED DIRECTING THE SHERIFF TO TAKE ACTION -- REOUIRING ACTION BY THE SHERIFF WITH RESPECT TO THE OPEN CARRY STATUTE THAT YOU'RE CHALLENGING. MR. BRADY: YOUR HONOR, I BELIEVE THAT YOU WOULD NEED TO TAKE A LOOK AT WHAT THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT DID IN ILLINOIS. IT WAS MUCH EASIER IN THAT INSTANCE BECAUSE IT WAS A CLEAR CUT COMPLETE BAN WITH ONE

STATUTE. SO IT WAS EASY FOR THE COURT TO FASHION A

1 BUT, HERE, UNFORTUNATELY, WE DON'T HAVE 2 THAT EASY OF A SCHEME BECAUSE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 HAS DECIDED TO BREAK THINGS UP AND --4 THE COURT: THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT -- I AGREE WITH YOU, WHAT YOU JUST SAID, "THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 5 HAS." 6 7 MR. BRADY: CORRECT. THE COURT: IT'S AGAINST THE STATE OF 8 9 CALIFORNIA THAT YOU'RE SEEKING TO CHALLENGE AND HAVE AN ORDER MADE THAT WOULD SAY THAT WHAT THE STATE OF 10 11 CALIFORNIA HAS DONE IS NOT PERMITTED UNDER THE SECOND 12 AMENDMENT. 13 MR. BRADY: THAT'S CORRECT. 14 BUT THEY HAVE ALSO AUTHORIZED THE SHERIFF 15 AS A STATE ACTOR IN THIS CAPACITY TO ENGAGE IN --16 THE COURT: ISSUE CONCEALED --MR. BRADY: -- ISSUING THE PERMITS TO DO THIS. 17 18 AND HE HAS EXERCISED THAT DISCRETION TO REFUSE. 19 AND SO ALL I'M SAYING IS, IF HE WERE TO 20 NOT REFUSE, THEN PLAINTIFFS WOULD NOT HAVE AN OPEN 21 CARRY CHALLENGE EITHER. 22 IN OTHER WORDS, IF THEY WERE GIVEN THE 23 CONCEALED WEAPON PERMITS --24 THE COURT: BUT HE DOESN'T HAVE AUTHORITY TO 25 DO THAT BECAUSE THE STATUTE DOESN'T ALLOW HIM TO DO

1 THAT --MR. BRADY: SURE, HE DOES. IF THE SHERIFF 2 3 WANTED TO ISSUE AN OPEN --THE COURT: IN PERUTA, YOU CHALLENGED THE 4 5 CONCEALED CARRY; CORRECT? 6 MR. BRADY: CORRECT. 7 THE COURT: WERE THE PARTIES THE SAME? MR. BRADY: NO. SAN DIEGO COUNTY AND THIS IS 8 L.A. COUNTY. 9 10 THE SHERIFF DOES HAVE THE DISCRETION, 11 YOUR HONOR, TO ISSUE CONCEALED WEAPON PERMITS TODAY. 12 THE COURT: BUT YOU'RE NOT SEEKING IN THIS 13 CASE TO CHALLENGE THE DENIAL OF THE CONCEALED WEAPONS 14 PERMITS, ARE YOU? 15 MR. BRADY: NO. 16 THE PLAINTIFFS HERE ARE SOLELY SEEKING 17 SOME WAY TO CARRY, WHETHER THAT'S OPEN OR CONCEALED. 18 THAT'S UP TO THE GOVERNMENT --19 THE COURT: NO, IT'S UP TO YOUR COMPLAINT. IF YOU'RE CONTENDING THAT THE CONCEALED -- EXCUSE ME. 20 21 YOU CANNOT GET AN OPEN CARRY FROM THE 22 SHERIFF. 23 AND IF YOU CONTEND THAT THE SHERIFF 24 IMPROPERLY DENIED CONCEALED CARRY, THEN DON'T YOU HAVE 25 TO PURSUE THAT CLAIM AND SAY THE SHERIFF IMPROPERLY

1 DENIED OUR PERMITS BASED ON THE STANDARDS OF PERUTA? 2 MR. BRADY: THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO DO HERE, 3 YOUR HONOR. BUT THERE SEEMS TO BE A MISUNDERSTANDING, 4 WHICH IS UNDERSTANDABLE --5 THE COURT: SO YOU'RE CHALLENGING MORE THAN THE STATE STATUTE? YOU'RE CHALLENGING THE SHERIFF'S 6 DECISION TO DENY CONCEALED PERMITS TO THESE INDIVIDUAL 7 8 PLAINTIFFS? 9 MR. BRADY: CORRECT. THE COURT: AND YOU HAVE -- OKAY. 10 11 MR. BRADY: CORRECT, AS A WHOLE. 12 THE COURT: THAT'S NOT AS A WHOLE. THAT'S NOT 13 THE QUESTION. 14 I'M NOT GOING TO AGREE WITH YOU AS A 15 WHOLE. I THINK WE'VE BEEN BACK AND FORTH ON THIS. 16 DON'T AGREE WITH YOU AT ALL. OKAY? THE NINTH CIRCUIT HAS DETERMINED THAT THE 17 18 CONCEALED CARRY STATUTE DOESN'T VIOLATE THE SECOND 19 AMENDMENT. THAT IS NOT TO BE RELITIGATED. 20 TO THE EXTENT THAT YOU'RE SEEKING TO 21 CHALLENGE THE CALIFORNIA STATUTE ON OPEN CARRY, THAT'S 22 A STATE ACTOR, THE STATE STATUTE, THE STATE HAS MADE A DECISION, AND THAT'S THE ONLY APPROPRIATE DEFENDANT. 23 24 NOW, TO THE EXTENT THAT YOU'RE SEEKING TO 25 CHALLENGE THE DETERMINATION BY THE SHERIFF NOT TO ISSUE

1 CONCEALED CARRIES TO THESE INDIVIDUALS BASED ON FACTS 2 THAT GO BEYOND PERUTA, I UNDERSTAND THAT THAT MIGHT BE 3 A CLAIM. IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE DOING? 4 5 MR. BRADY: WE ARE SAYING THAT EITHER THEY NEED TO BE ISSUED THESE PERMITS OR THEY NEED TO BE 6 7 ALLOWED TO CARRY OPENLY. THE COURT: THAT'S NOT MY QUESTION. 8 9 MY QUESTION IS PRETTY SIMPLE. DO YOU CONTEND THAT THERE'S A -- THAT THERE'S JURISDICTION 10 11 HERE IN THIS CASE TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE SHERIFF 12 IMPROPERLY DECLINED TO ISSUE CONCEALED PERMITS TO THE 13 INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS? 14 MR. BRADY: YES, YOUR HONOR. UNDER --15 THE COURT: OKAY. 16 MR. BRADY: BUT, UNFORTUNATELY, PERUTA WOULD 17 PRECLUDE YOUR HONOR FROM FORCING THE SHERIFF TO ISSUE 18 THOSE PERMITS. 19 THE COURT: WHAT IF THE SHERIFF HAS DISCRETION 20 TO ISSUE CONCEALED PERMITS -- WHICH THE SHERIFF DOES; 21 CORRECT? 22 MR. BRADY: CORRECT. 23 THE COURT: AND THE SHERIFF -- DOES THE 24 SHERIFF HAVE STANDARDS THAT THE SHERIFF USES IN ISSUING 25 CONCEALED PERMITS?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHOI: YES. PER THE CALIFORNIA STATUTE, THE SHERIFF MAY ISSUE CONCEALED CARRY PERMITS AFTER A SHOWING OF GOOD CAUSE. IT'S LEFT TO THE INDIVIDUAL COUNTY SHERIFFS TO DETERMINE WHAT GOOD CAUSE IS. THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. SO IF THESE INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS HAVE APPLIED FOR CONCEALED CARRY PERMITS, AND THE SHERIFF HAS DENIED THEM BASED ON A DETERMINATION, APPARENTLY, THAT THE SHERIFF BELIEVED THERE WAS NOT GOOD CAUSE, IS THERE A MEANS FOR JUDICIALLY CHALLENGING THE SHERIFF'S DETERMINATION? MS. CHOI: YES, THERE IS, I BELIEVE. THERE ARE APPEALS AVAILABLE IN STATE COURT --THE COURT: OKAY. SO THAT'S --MS. CHOI: -- TO REVIEW THAT DECISION. MR. BRADY: THAT'S UNDER STATE LAW, YOUR HONOR. WHAT WE ARE ALLEGING IS THAT THERE'S A RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS IN SOME MANNER. AND THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA HAS FASHIONED THE SCHEME THAT PRECLUDES BOTH THE OPEN CARRY AND THE CONCEALED CARRY. THE COURT: WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO AGREE TO DISAGREE. I DON'T THINK YOU HAVE PERSUADED ME. WE CAN AGREE TO DISAGREE. AND YOU HAVE REPEATED THE SAME THINGS A LOT.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BUT THE POINT IS THAT, YOU HAVE NOT YET IDENTIFIED ANY REMEDY THAT YOU'RE SEEKING HERE AGAINST THE SHERIFF. AND THEN WHEN I ASKED WHETHER YOU WERE SEEKING TO HAVE THE SHERIFF'S DECISION TO DENY CONCEALED CARRY PERMITS TO THE INDIVIDUAL PLAINTIFFS, YOU SAID, YES. BUT, APPARENTLY, THERE'S A DIFFERENT PROCESS FOR DOING THAT. MR. BRADY: WELL, THERE IS A STATE COURT PROCESS FOR IF THEY DON'T ADHERE TO, BASICALLY, THE ADMINISTRATIVE. BUT HE ADHERED TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE WAY OF DOING THINGS. THAT'S NOT --THE COURT: THEN THIS CASE IS ABOUT THE STATE OPEN CARRY LAW AND THE REMEDIES AGAINST THE STATE. MR. BRADY: IT IS INDEED, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: THE SHERIFF IS NOT A NECESSARY I DON'T SEE IT. I DON'T SEE IT. YOU'RE CHALLENGING A STATE STATUTE. AND THE STATE STATUTE REGULATES, AMONG OTHER THINGS, SHERIFFS. A SHERIFF IN A COUNTY OF MORE THAN 200,000 CANNOT ISSUE AN OPEN CARRY PERMIT. A SHERIFF IN A COUNTY OF LESS THAN 200,000 CAN. EACH IS CONTROLLED BY STATE LAW. MR. BRADY: OKAY. THE COURT: YOU'RE CHALLENGING THAT AS PART OF THE CHALLENGE HERE. AND THAT'S FINE, BUT THE SHERIFFS AREN'T THE DECISION-MAKERS. THE STATE IS.

1 MR. BRADY: WELL, THE SHERIFFS ARE THE 2 DECISION-MAKERS UNDER STATE LAW. THE COURT: THEY'RE NOT THE DECISION-MAKERS AS 3 4 TO OPEN CARRY. 5 MR. BRADY: CORRECT. 6 THE COURT: AND THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE HERE TO 7 CHALLENGE; RIGHT? MR. BRADY: WE ARE HERE TO CHALLENGE -- SURE. 8 IF THAT'S THE REMEDY -- THE ONLY REMEDY THAT --9 THE COURT: I DON'T SEE THE OTHER REMEDY YOU 10 11 HAVE. YOU HAVE SPENT, AS YOU SAID, SEVERAL YEARS 12 LITIGATING, IN PERUTA, THE CONCEALED CARRY. AND THE 13 NINTH CIRCUIT EN BANC MADE ITS RULING. JUDGE FLETCHER, 14 I BELIEVE, WROTE THE DECISION FOR THE MAJORITY. AND 15 THAT ISSUE HAS BEEN DETERMINED. 16 AND NOW YOU'RE CHALLENGING NOT THE 17 CONCEALED CARRY, THE OPEN CARRY, WHICH WAS NOT 18 ADDRESSED IN PERUTA. AND YOU'RE SAYING YOU WISH IT HAD 19 BEEN ADDRESSED, BUT IT WASN'T. SO YOU'RE NOW SEEKING TO HAVE IT ADDRESSED. AND THAT'S FINE. I DON'T HAVE A 20 21 PROBLEM WITH THAT. AS I HAVE STATED, I DON'T THINK YOU 22 HAVE A CLAIM AGAINST THE SHERIFF. THE SHERIFF OF LOS 23 ANGELES HAS NO AUTHORITY UNDER STATE LAW TO ISSUE AN 24 OPEN CARRY OR ALLOW OPEN CARRY. 25 MR. BRADY: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR, IF

1 YOU'RE LOOKING SOLELY AT OPEN CARRY. 2 THE COURT: I AM. 3 MR. BRADY: OKAY. 4 THE COURT: I'M LOOKING AT OPEN CARRY, AS I 5 SAID FROM THE OUTSET, IN THE CONTEXT OF PERUTA, WHICH SAYS THAT CONCEALED CARRY PROCESS DOES NOT VIOLATE THE 6 7 SECOND AMENDMENT. SO THAT'S A FACT. IT'S PART OF THE CONTEXT. IT'S PART OF THE CONTEXT HERE, BUT I DON'T 8 9 THINK THERE'S A LEGAL REMEDY THAT YOU HAVE, AT THIS POINT, SHOWING ME YOU HAVE AGAINST THE SHERIFF OF 10 11 LOS ANGELES, AS OPPOSED TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 12 SO LET'S MOVE ON. IF I'M LATER PERSUADED 13 IN THE COURSE OF THESE PROCEEDINGS THAT THERE'S A 14 SEPARATE CLAIM OR A CLAIM -- A DISTINCT CLAIM AGAINST 15 THE SHERIFF OF LOS ANGELES, THEN I WOULD ALLOW YOU TO 16 PURSUE THAT. ALL RIGHT. SO LET'S -- JUST ONE MOMENT. 17 18 WELL, DOES EACH OF YOU HAVE A COPY OF 19 YOUR RULE 16 REPORT? 20 MR. BRADY: YES. MS. CHOI: YES, YOUR HONOR. 21 22 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 23 WITH RESPECT TO THE DATES -- HERE ARE THE 24 DATES I HAVE IN MIND, MOST OF WHICH TRACK WITH WHAT YOU 25 HAVE PROPOSED:

1	LAST DATE TO ADD PARTIES OR AMEND
2	PLEADINGS, MAY 1, 2017.
3	NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY TO BE COMPLETED BY
4	JUNE 1, 2017.
5	INITIAL EXPERT DISCLOSURE, JUNE 1, 2017.
6	REBUTTAL EXPERT DISCLOSURE, IF ANY, JUNE
7	30, 2017.
8	COMPLETE EXPERT DISCOVERY BY JULY 28,
9	2017.
10	LAST DATE TO FILE MOTIONS, JULY 31, 2017.
11	LAST DATE TO HAVE THEM HEARD, OCTOBER 23,
12	2017.
13	ANTICIPATED RULINGS BY NOVEMBER 20, 2017.
14	LAST DATE TO FILE DIRECT TESTIMONY,
15	DECEMBER 4, 2017.
16	LAST DATE TO FILE OBJECTIONS, DECEMBER 8,
17	2017.
18	ANTICIPATED RULINGS ON EVIDENTIARY
19	OBJECTIONS, DECEMBER 15, 2017.
20	COURT TRIAL, FEBRUARY 6, 2018.
21	THEN WHAT I'LL DO IS: I'LL HAVE A STATUS
22	CONFERENCE ORDINARILY, I DON'T HAVE FINAL PRETRIAL
23	CONFERENCES IN BENCH TRIAL MATTERS. HOWEVER, IN LIGHT
24	OF THE GAP BETWEEN THE DECEMBER 15 DATE AND FEBRUARY 6
25	DATE, I'M GOING TO AT THIS POINT, I'M GOING TO SET A

1 STATUS CONFERENCE FOR DECEMBER 18, 2017 AT 1:30 TO 2 DISCUSS THE TRIAL DATE TO THE EXTENT THAT THERE'S BEEN 3 AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO IT SOONER OR ANY OTHER ISSUES. I'D LIKE A JOINT STATEMENT ONE WEEK 4 5 BEFORE THAT BY THE 11TH JUST IN TERMS OF ANY ISSUES --OTHER THAN THE EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO THE 6 7 DECLARATIONS, ANY OTHER ISSUES THAT EITHER SIDE THINKS NEED TO BE ADDRESSED PRIOR TO TRIAL. AND WE CAN 8 9 DISCUSS THAT. SO THE PRINCIPAL CHANGE THAT I MADE TO 10 YOUR PROPOSED DATES WAS COMPLETING EXPERT DISCOVERY IN 11 12 ABOUT 30 DAYS AS OPPOSED SIX WEEKS -- OR SEVEN WEEKS. 13 IS THERE A REASON YOU PROPOSED TO GO FROM 14 JUNE 30 TO AUGUST 21 FOR EXPERT DISCOVERY? 15 MR. EISENBERG? 16 MR. EISENBERG: NO PARTICULAR REASON, JUST TO GIVE US TIME TO TAKE DEPOSITIONS. 17 18 THE COURT: USUALLY 30 DAYS IS SUFFICIENT IF 19 THERE'S NOT THAT MANY EXPERTS. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 20 DEPOSITIONS. 21 MR. EISENBERG: WE JUST FIGURED IT WAS SUMMER 22 TIME. THERE MIGHT BE MULTIPLE EXPERTS IN THE CASE. SO 23 WE JUST PICKED THOSE DATES. 24 THE COURT: MR. BRADY? 25 MR. BRADY: YOUR HONOR, I SUBBED IN ON THIS

1 CASE. I DID NOT PREPARE THIS REPORT. I DID 2 FAMILIARIZE MYSELF WITH THE DATES, BUT I'M NOT PREPARED 3 TO ANSWER THAT SPECIFICALLY. I DON'T ANTICIPATE THERE BEING MANY 4 5 EXPERTS. THIS IS A QUESTION OF LAW AT THE END OF THE 6 DAY. THERE MIGHT BE ONE OR TWO, BUT --7 THE COURT: WE AGREE ON THAT. THERE'S A OUESTION OF LAW HERE. 8 9 I'M GOING TO ADHERE TO THE DATES THAT 10 I'VE SET UNLESS ANY PARTICULAR DATE DOESN'T WORK WITH A 11 SCHEDULE THAT ANY COUNSEL HAS. 12 HEARING NONE, I'LL ADOPT THESE DATES. 13 MR. EISENBERG: ACTUALLY, YOUR HONOR, WHAT DAY 14 OF THE WEEK IS THE 31ST? I MAY NOT BE AVAILABLE THEN. 15 THE COURT: THE 31ST OF -- WHICH DATE ARE YOU 16 REFERRING TO? MR. EISENBERG: LAST DATE TO FILE MOTIONS. 17 18 THE COURT: JULY 31? IT'S A MONDAY. 19 MR. EISENBERG: I WILL NOT BE IN TOWN. I HAVE 20 A PREVIOUSLY-SCHEDULED VACATION. 21 THE COURT: WHEN ARE YOU LEAVING? 22 MR. EISENBERG: THAT SATURDAY, WHICH WOULD BE 23 THE 29TH. 24 THE COURT: I MEAN, YOU'RE NOT REQUIRED TO FILE IT ON THE 31ST. YOU CAN FILE IT ON THE 28TH 25

1	BEFORE YOU LEAVE.			
2	ARE YOU SAYING YOU CAN'T GET THAT DONE?			
3	MR. EISENBERG: I PROBABLY CAN, BUT I JUST			
4	WOULD PREFER HAVE			
5	THE COURT: OKAY. I'M USUALLY VERY			
6	ACCOMMODATING ON THESE MATTERS, BUT I DON'T REALLY			
7	UNDERSTAND THAT ONE.			
8	HOW LONG WILL YOU BE GONE?			
9	MR. EISENBERG: ONE WEEK.			
10	THE COURT: OKAY. CHANGING THE FOLLOWING			
11	DATES:			
12	LAST DATE TO FILE MOTIONS, AUGUST 14,			
13	2017.			
14	LAST DATE TO HEAR THEM, NOVEMBER 6, 2017.			
15	EXPECTED RULINGS BY DECEMBER 4, 2017.			
16	LAST DATE TO FILE DIRECT TESTIMONY,			
17	DECEMBER 11, 2017.			
18	LAST DATE TO FILE OBJECTIONS, DECEMBER			
19	15, 2017.			
20	EXPECTED RULINGS BY DECEMBER 19, 2017.			
21	JOINT REPORT WITH RESPECT TO ANY OPEN			
22	ISSUES TO BE FILED BY JANUARY 2, 2018. AND THERE MAY			
23	BE NONE.			
24	AND FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE, JANUARY 8,			
25	2018 AT 3:00 O'CLOCK.			

1	TRIAL DATE, AS STATED EARLIER, FEBRUARY
2	6, 2018.
3	NOW, WITH RESPECT TO ARE THERE ANY
4	OTHER THAN ARE THERE ANY OTHER ISSUES ON SCHEDULING
5	OR OTHER MATTERS?
6	MR. EISENBERG: YES. THE BOTTOM PART OF THE
7	CHART HERE THAT HAS LIKE DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS, SUMMARY
8	JUDGMENT, ARE THOSE
9	THE COURT: IT'S MERGED INTO THE DATES THAT I
10	GAVE ALREADY.
11	MR. EISENBERG: OKAY. SO WHAT WOULD BE ON THE
12	TOP OF PAGE 15 OF THE CHART, LIKE ANTICIPATED RULING ON
13	ALL MOTIONS, WOULD INCLUDE DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS?
14	THE COURT: CORRECT.
15	MR. EISENBERG: THANK YOU FOR CLARIFYING THAT
16	POINT FOR ME.
17	I WAS WONDERING IF THE COURT IS GOING TO
18	ENTERTAIN ORAL ARGUMENT ON THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE
19	CLAIM?
20	THE COURT: I CAN I'LL CONSIDER THAT.
21	JUST A MINUTE.
22	MR. BRADY, WHAT DOES THE EQUAL PROTECTION
23	CLAUSE CLAIM DO THAT ISN'T DONE BY THE SECOND AMENDMENT
24	CLAIM?
25	MR. BRADY: SURE, YOUR HONOR.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I THINK THE KRAMER CASE THAT THE PLAINTIFF CITED IN THEIR OPPOSITION BRIEF IS VERY INSTRUCTIVE. IT'S, ESSENTIALLY, ON ALL FOUR POINTS IF IT WASN'T A FIRST AMENDMENT ISSUE VERSUS SECOND AMENDMENT; BUT THAT'S SORT OF IRRELEVANT. EVEN -- THE ARGUMENT IS, EVEN IF THE GOVERNMENT DID NOT HAVE TO ALLOW CARRY OUTSIDE THE HOME, WHETHER IT BE CONCEALED OR OPEN, IN ANY FORM, BY ALLOWING SOME TO EXERCISE THAT RIGHT OUTSIDE THE HOME, IT IS AN INFRINGEMENT ON THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAIM. THIS IS NOT THE SAME EXACT CLAIM AS THE SECOND AMENDMENT. IN OTHER WORDS, IF THE SECOND AMENDMENT ALLOWED THE GOVERNMENT TO BAR CARRY, OKAY, THAT'S FINE. BUT ONCE THE GOVERNMENT ALLOWS CARRY, THEN EQUAL PROTECTION ISSUES ARE TRIGGERED. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE KRAMER CASE, IT'S, ESSENTIALLY, THE FIRST AMENDMENT DOES NOT COMPEL A LOCAL SCHOOL BOARD TO ALLOW THE VOTE ON SCHOOL BOARD OFFICIALS. BUT ONCE THE SCHOOL BOARD DOES HOLD ELECTIONS, THEN FIRST AMENDMENT PROTECTIONS ATTACH.

ESSENTIALLY, THE FIRST AMENDMENT DOES NOT COMPEL A
LOCAL SCHOOL BOARD TO ALLOW THE VOTE ON SCHOOL BOARD
OFFICIALS. BUT ONCE THE SCHOOL BOARD DOES HOLD
ELECTIONS, THEN FIRST AMENDMENT PROTECTIONS ATTACH.
AND I THINK THAT THAT'S IDENTICAL TO THIS SITUATION.
THERE'S NO RIGHT TO CARRY OUTSIDE THE HOME, ACCORDING
TO THE GOVERNMENT. THERE'S NO RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS
OUTSIDE THE HOME. BUT THEY'RE ALLOWING SOME PEOPLE TO
DO IT IN THE FORM OF CONCEALED WEAPON PERMITS AND IN

THE FORM OF OPEN CARRY PERMITS.

AND WHETHER THERE'S A PROTECTION OR NOT TO -- FOR CONCEALED CARRY, I DON'T THINK IT'S -- ONE CAN SAY THAT THOSE PEOPLE ARE NOT BEARING ARMS IN --

MR. EISENBERG?

THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND.

MR. EISENBERG: IF THERE IS NO SECOND

AMENDMENT RIGHT TO CARRY A FIREARM IN PUBLIC, THEN

THERE'S NO FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT AT ISSUE FOR EQUAL

PROTECTION ANALYSIS.

THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE NOT IDENTIFIED A

SUSPECT CLASS OF PERSONS THAT IS BEING TREATED

DIFFERENTLY THAN ANYONE ELSE. SO, THEREFORE, THE

EXTENT THAT -- TO THE EXTENT THAT THERE COULD BE AN

EQUAL PROTECTION CLAIM, IT WOULD HAVE TO BE RESOLVED ON

A RATIONAL BASIS REVIEW NECESSARILY. AND THAT COULD BE

RESOLVED VERY QUICKLY, BUT IT'S ALSO SUBSUMED WITHIN

THE SECOND AMENDMENT CLAIM.

WE HAVE CITED MANY OTHER CASES THAT SAY,

IF THEY'RE GOING TO BE GETTING A SECOND AMENDMENT

ANALYSIS, THAT'S THE WAY YOU LOOK AT THE CONSTITUTIONAL

QUESTION. YOU CHOOSE A LEVEL OF SCRUTINY, IF THERE IS

AN INFRINGEMENT OF THE RIGHT OR THE RIGHT IS

IMPLICATED. SO THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE CLAIM IS

ENTIRELY REDUNDANT.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I'LL REFLECT ON THIS ISSUE. BUT YOU DO CONCEDE THAT CITIZENS WHO LIVE IN COUNTIES THAT ARE LESS THAN 200,000 IN POPULATION HAVE THE ABILITY TO APPLY TO THE SHERIFF IN THOSE COUNTIES FOR AN OPEN CARRY PERMIT; CORRECT? MR. EISENBERG: CERTAINLY, WE CONCEDE THAT. THE COURT: AND THAT'S DIFFERENT THAN CITIZENS WHO LIVE IN COUNTIES -- LARGER COUNTIES, THEY CANNOT APPLY FOR ONE? MR. EISENBERG: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: BUT YOU'RE -- SO YOU'RE NOT -- SO THERE COULD BE A BASIS FOR SAYING THERE'S DIFFERENT CATEGORIES. BUT YOUR POSITION IS, THAT THE LEGAL ANALYSIS AS TO WHETHER THOSE CATEGORIES COULD SUPPORT A HYPOTHETICAL EQUAL PROTECTION CLAIM IS THE SAME ANALYSIS THAT APPLIES UNDER THE SECOND AMENDMENT? MR. EISENBERG: IT IS. AND IT'S ON THE AUTHORITY OF MULTIPLE CASES THAT WE CITE, SOME OF WHICH ARE FIRST AMENDMENT CASES. BUT IF THE CASES ALLOW -- IF THAT CLAIM IS ALLOWED TO PROCEED, AS I THINK YOU MIGHT BE INDICATING, THEN IT WOULD NECESSARILY BE A RATIONAL BASIS REVIEW SITUATION. THE COURT: IS THERE SOMETHING NEW, MR. BRADY?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BRADY: IF I MAY, YOUR HONOR? TO BE CLEAR, THIS IS NOT A SUSPECT CLASS ANALYSIS UNDER THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE. THAT IS A SEPARATE CLAIM THAT YOU ARE TREATING A CLASS DIFFERENTLY ON THE BASIS OF THE ABILITY TO EXERCISE A RIGHT. AND SO THAT IS -- AND THIS IS NOT REDUNDANT. THE CASE THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT IS TEIXEIRA. THEY WHOLLY IGNORE OUR KRAMER ANALYSIS, BOTH DEFENDANTS. THE TEIXEIRA CASE SPECIFICALLY SAID, QUOTE, "THIS IS NOT A SITUATION WHERE ONE GROUP IS BEING DENIED A RIGHT WHILE ANOTHER IS NOT." THAT WAS IN THAT CASE BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT -- NOBODY WAS ALLOWED TO EXERCISE THE RIGHT IN THE TEIXEIRA CASE. HERE, PEOPLE ARE BEING ALLOWED TO CARRY OUTSIDE THE HOME. PLAINTIFFS ARE NOT. AND THAT TRIGGERS AN EQUAL PROTECTION ANALYSIS. THE COURT: OKAY. I UNDERSTAND. I'LL ISSUE A WRITTEN RULING WITH RESPECT TO THAT, AS WELL AS ON THE ISSUE OF WHETHER THE SHERIFF CAN BE A PARTY IN THIS ACTION. IF THE SHERIFF -- IF I ADHERE TO MY TENTATIVE VIEWS THAT THE SHERIFF IS NOT A PARTY AT THIS TIME AGAINST WHOM RELIEF CAN BE OBTAINED, THE DISMISSAL WOULD BE WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO A LATER MOTION TO AMEND ADD THE SHERIFF BASED ON AN ARGUMENT AS TO WHY THE

1 RELIEF BEING SOUGHT IN LIGHT OF DISCOVERY OR OTHER 2 DEVELOPMENTS IN THE CASE AGAINST THE SHERIFF IS 3 AVAILABLE. OKAY. YOU HAVE PROPOSED MEETING WITH A 4 5 MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO TRY TO RESOLVE THIS MATTER; IS THAT 6 RIGHT? 7 MR. EISENBERG: YOUR HONOR, WE ACTUALLY BELIEVE THAT THIS CASE CANNOT BE RESOLVED BY A 8 9 SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE. THE STATE CANNOT COMPROMISE ON THE MEANING OF THE LAW. SO IT WOULD EITHER BE ONE SIDE 10 11 COMPLETELY CAPITULATING OR NO SETTLEMENT. 12 MR. BRADY: I AGREE WITH THAT, YOUR HONOR. 13 THIS IS A LEGAL QUESTION DESIGNED TO BE ANSWERED BY --14 THE COURT: AT THIS TIME, I'M NOT GOING TO 15 DIRECT THAT YOU HAVE A SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE BECAUSE I 16 DON'T -- IT'S NOT A FORMALITY. 17 IF EITHER SIDE LATER BELIEVES THAT SOME 18 INFORMAL RESOLUTION MAY BE POSSIBLE, THEN DISCUSS IT. 19 AND IF YOU WISH TO MEET WITH A MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO DISCUSS THAT OR HAVE A SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE, LET MY 20 21 CLERK KNOW SO WE CAN ARRANGE THAT. 22 THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR YOUR HELP 23 TODAY. 24 MR. BRADY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MS. CHOI: THANK YOU. 25

THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE WE NEED TO ADDRESS? MS. CHOI: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. (END OF PROCEEDINGS) (END OF PROCEEDINGS) (END OF PROCEEDINGS) 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1	MR. EISENBERG: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
4 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. 5 (END OF PROCEEDINGS) 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	2	THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE WE NEED TO ADDRESS?
5 (END OF PROCEEDINGS) 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	3	MS. CHOI: NO, YOUR HONOR.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	4	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	5	(END OF PROCEEDINGS)
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	6	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	7	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	8	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	9	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	10	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	11	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	12	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	13	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	14	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	15	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	16	
19 20 21 22 23 24	17	
20 21 22 23 24	18	
 21 22 23 24 	19	
222324	20	
23 24	21	
24	22	
	23	
25	24	
	25	

1 CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER 2 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 I, ALEXANDER T. JOKO, FEDERAL OFFICIAL 6 COURT REPORTER, IN AND FOR THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT 7 COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY 8 CERTIFY THAT PURSUANT TO SECTION 753, TITLE 28, UNITED 9 STATES CODE, THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT 10 TRANSCRIPT OF THE STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED PROCEEDINGS 11 HELD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER, AND THAT THE 12 TRANSCRIPT PAGE FORMAT IS IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE 13 REGULATIONS OF THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED 14 STATES. 15 DATE: AUGUST 1, 2018 16 17 18 /S/ ALEXANDER T. JOKO 19 ALEXANDER T. JOKO, CSR NO. 12272 FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 20 21 22 23 24 25

< DATES > "MAY 11:9	OCTOBER 23, 2017 26:11	< 6 > 6TH 2:29	23:10 ADOPT 28:12
AUGUST 1, 2018 37:28	/S/ 37:33	0	AGO 5:20 AGREE 7:8,
AUGUST 14, 2017		< 7 >	13:17, 18:4,
29:12	< 1 >	753 37:14	20:14, 20:16,
AUGUST 21 27:14	11TH 27:5		22:22, 22:24,
DECEMBER 11,	12272 1:38,		28:7, 35:12
2017 29:17	37:35	< 8 >	AGREED 15:19
DECEMBER 15 26:24	15 30:12 16 25:19	8:30 1:30, 3:4	AGREEMENT 15:15 AJ_CSR@YAHOO.CO
DECEMBER 15,	1702 2:22		M 1:42
2017 26:19,	180 2:5, 2:11	< 9 >	AL 1:7, 1:13
29:18	1:30 27:1	90012 1:41,	ALEXANDER 1:38,
DECEMBER 18,		2:30	37:8, 37:33,
2017 27:1		90013 2:23	37:35
DECEMBER 19,	< 2 >	90802 2:7, 2:13	ALLEGEDLY 17:1
2017 29:20	200 2:6, 2:12		ALLEGING 22:18
DECEMBER 4, 2017 26:15,	200,000 4:16, 4:20, 10:14,	< A >	ALLOW 8:5, 18:25, 24:24,
29:15	10:18, 11:2,	A. 1:3	25:15, 31:7,
DECEMBER 8,	11:19, 16:4,	ABILITY 33:4,	31:18, 33:21
2017 26:16	16:15, 23:19,	34:5	ALLOWED 13:3,
FEBRUARY 13,	23:21, 33:3	ABLE 13:8	21:7, 31:13,
2017 1:30, 3:1	200,000. 11:15	ABOVE-ENTITLED	33:22, 34:12,
FEBRUARY 6	200- 10:2	37:20	34:14
26:24 FEBRUARY 6,	250,000 10:2 28 37:14	ACCEPTED 14:13 ACCEPTING 5:6	ALLOWING 31:9, 31:24
2018 26:20,	28TH 28:25	ACCOMMODATING	ALLOWS 31:14
30:1	29TH 28:23	29:6	ALPINE 11:6,
JANUARY 2, 2018		ACCORDING 31:22	11:8, 11:9
29:22		ACCORDINGLY	ALREADY 5:18,
JANUARY 8, 2018	< 3 >	4:22, 16:18	14:3, 17:12,
29:24	30 27:12, 27:18	ACCURATE 6:5	30:10
JULY 28, 2017 26:8	300 2:21 31ST 28:14,	ACTION 8:22, 17:17, 34:20	AMEND 26:1, 34:24
JULY 31 28:18	28:15, 28:25	ACTOR 8:11,	AMENDED 16:24
JULY 31, 2017	350 1:40	8:24, 8:25,	AMENDMENT 5:24,
26:10	3:00 29:25	18:15, 20:22	9:15, 13:8,
JUNE 1, 2017		ACTUALLY 3:24,	15:5, 15:9,
26:4, 26:5		28:13, 35:7	15:16, 15:24,
JUNE 30 27:14	< 4 >	ADD 26:1, 34:25	18:12, 20:19,
JUNE 30, 2017	4 3:9	ADDRESS 36:2	25:7, 30:23,
26:6 MAY 1, 2017	4311 1:40	ADDRESSED 3:27, 24:18, 24:19,	31:4, 31:5, 31:12, 31:13,
26:2		24:20, 27:8	31:12, 31:13, 31:10,
NOVEMBER 20,	< 5 >	ADHERE 23:9,	32:8, 32:18,
2017 26:13	500 2:28	28:9, 34:21	32:20, 33:17,
NOVEMBER 6,		ADHERED 23:10	33:20
2017 29:14		ADMINISTRATIVE	AMONG 23:18

ANALYSIS 4:9,	16:14, 16:25,	2:11	CENTRAL 1:2,
32:10, 32:21,	18:24, 24:23,	BOXED 14:21	37:12
33:15, 33:17,	33:19	BREAK 18:3	CERTAIN 3:25,
34:3, 34:8,	AUTHORIZED	BRIEF 31:2	10:4, 10:8
			· ·
34:16	18:14	BROUGHT 17:11	CERTAINLY 33:6
ANGELES 1:28,	AVAILABLE 9:21,		CERTIFICATE
1:41, 2:23,	22:13, 28:14,		37:1
2:26, 2:30,	35:3	< C >	CERTIFY 37:14
3:1, 4:17,	AVOIDANCE 14:13	CA 1:41, 2:7,	CHALLENGE 4:5,
4:24, 7:13,		2:13, 2:23,	4:11, 5:13,
8:23, 9:22,		2:30	5:19, 5:24,
	. D		I
13:23, 24:23,	< B >	CALIFORNIA 1:2,	6:4, 6:9, 7:2,
25:11, 25:15,	B. 2:3	1:28, 2:19,	12:5, 12:16,
37:3	BACK 20:15	3:1, 3:10,	14:5, 14:10,
ANSWER 28:3	BAN 6:19, 8:15,	6:10, 7:17,	16:16, 16:17,
ANSWERED 35:13	17:23	8:17, 9:24,	17:2, 18:9,
ANTHONY 2:9	BANC 6:13,	10:4, 10:12,	18:21, 19:13,
	14:16, 24:13	11:20, 14:10,	
ANTICIPATE 28:4	-		20:21, 20:25,
ANTICIPATED	BAR 31:13	15:23, 18:2,	23:24, 24:7,
26:13, 26:18,	BASED 20:1,	18:5, 18:9,	24:8
30:12	21:1, 22:8,	18:11, 20:21,	CHALLENGED 19:4
APPARENTLY	34:25	22:1, 22:20,	CHALLENGING
22:8, 23:6	BASICALLY 23:9	25:11, 37:5,	5:16, 16:13,
APPEALS 22:13	BASIS 3:28,	37:12	17:19, 20:5,
APPEARANCES	4:23, 12:17,	CAPACITY 18:15	20:6, 22:10,
	1		
2:1, 3:11	14:3, 32:16,	CAPITULATING	23:17, 23:23,
APPLIED 9:18,	33:12, 33:24,	35:11	24:16
13:22, 15:6,	34:5	CARRIES 21:1	CHANGE 27:10
22:7	BEACH 2:7, 2:13	CARRYING 15:23	CHANGING 29:10
APPLIES 33:17	BEAR 15:20,	CASE 5:9, 6:16,	CHART 30:7,
APPLY 4:17,	22:19, 31:23	7:12, 7:24,	30:12
9:20, 9:23,	BEARING 32:4	8:14, 8:15,	CHOI 2:25,
11:4, 12:6,	BECERRA 3:20	13:19, 15:21,	3:15, 3:16,
33:4, 33:9	BEHALF 3:12,	17:11, 19:13,	3:17, 17:5,
APPROPRIATE	3:16, 3:19	21:11, 23:12,	17:6, 22:1,
8:22, 20:23	BELIEF 14:9	27:22, 28:1,	22:12, 22:15,
ARGUMENT 30:18,	BELIEVE 7:4,	31:1, 31:16,	25:21, 35:25,
31:6, 34:25	9:15, 13:7,	34:7, 34:9,	36:3
ARMS 15:20,	17:20, 22:12,	34:11, 34:13,	CHOOSE 32:22
22:19, 31:23,	24:14, 35:8	35:2, 35:8	CHOSEN 15:23
32:4	BELIEVED 22:9	CASES 32:19,	CIRCUIT 3:27,
			,
ARRANGE 35:21	BELIEVES 10:25,	33:19, 33:20,	4:3, 5:18,
ASIDE 12:13	35:17	33:21	6:13, 8:15,
ASSOCIATES 2:4,	BENCH 26:23	CATEGORIES	14:16, 17:21,
2:10	BEYOND 21:2	10:8, 33:13,	20:17, 24:13
ATTACH 31:20	BINDS 7:8	33:15	CITE 33:19
ATTORNEY 2:19,	BOARD 31:18,	CATEGORY 10:25	CITED 31:2,
3:10, 3:19	31:19	CAUSE 14:12,	32:19
AUTHORITY 9:3,	BOTTOM 30:6	22:3, 22:4,	CITIZENS 33:2,
		-	-
9:4, 9:6, 9:7,	BOULEVARD 2:5,	22:9	33:7

CLAIM 4:23,	CONCEALED.	16:15, 33:3,	23:25, 24:2,
5:1, 5:8, 5:12,	11:11	33:5, 33:8	24:3
6:9, 6:14,	CONCEDE 33:2,	COUNTY 2:27,	DECLARATIONS
10:15, 12:15,	33:6	4:19, 4:23,	27:7
14:24, 15:12,	CONCERNS 5:12	7:13, 9:22,	DECLINED 21:12
19:25, 21:3,	CONDUCT 17:2	10:2, 10:13,	DEFENDANT 3:16,
24:22, 25:14,	CONFERENCE	10:18, 11:1,	7:14, 20:23
30:19, 30:23,	3:23, 26:22,	11:7, 11:8,	DEFENDANTS
30:24, 31:10,	27:1, 29:24,	11:9, 11:15,	1:15, 2:17,
31:11, 32:15,	35:9, 35:15,	11:19, 13:23,	34:8
32:18, 32:24,	35:20, 37:24	17:8, 17:11,	DEFINITION
33:16, 33:21,	CONFERENCES	19:8, 19:9,	11:3, 11:25
34:4	26:23	22:4, 23:19,	DELEGATED 9:5
CLAIMS 5:7	CONFORMANCE	23:20, 37:3	DENIAL 19:13
CLARIFY 5:5,	37:22	COURSE 25:13	DENIED 12:7,
6:2	CONSIDER 30:20	CSR 1:38, 37:35	14:1, 15:6,
CLARIFYING	CONSTITUTIONAL	CUT 17:23	16:20, 19:24,
30:15	4:11, 5:23,	CV16-06164 3:9	20:1, 22:8,
CLASS 32:12,	14:13, 32:21	CV16-06164-JAK	34:10
34:2, 34:4	CONSTRUED 6:13	1:11	DENY 20:7, 23:4
CLAUSE 30:18,	CONTEND 5:1,		DEPOSITIONS
30:23, 32:24,	8:22, 19:23,		27:17, 27:20
34:3	21:10	< D >	DESIGNED 35:13
CLEAN 8:14	CONTENDING	DATE 26:1,	DETERMINATION
CLEAR 8:17,	19:20	26:10, 26:11,	20:25, 22:8,
17:23, 34:2	CONTEXT 13:1,	26:14, 26:16,	22:11
CLERK 35:21	14:7, 25:5,	26:24, 26:25,	DETERMINE 7:5,
CLIENTS 12:6,	25:8	27:2, 28:10,	9:1, 9:3,
13:22, 16:19	CONTROLLED	28:15, 28:17,	21:11, 22:4
CLINTON 2:3	23:21	29:12, 29:14,	DETERMINED
CLOSED 5:19,	COPY 25:18	29:16, 29:18,	15:2, 15:3,
15:4, 16:20	CORRECT 4:18,	30:1, 37:28	20:17, 24:15
CODE 37:16	4:21, 5:14,	DATES 25:23,	DEVELOPMENTS
COMES 10:15	6:1, 9:9, 9:24,	25:24, 27:11,	35:2
COMING 13:2,	10:5, 10:6,	27:23, 28:2,	DIEGO 19:8
13:6	10:9, 10:23,	28:9, 28:12,	DIFFERENT 4:6,
COMPEL 7:6,	11:21, 11:25,	29:11, 30:9	4:7, 23:6,
8:3, 8:4,	12:9, 13:4,	DAY 15:21,	33:7, 33:12
15:14, 31:17	15:25, 17:7,	28:6, 28:13	DIFFERENTLY
COMPELLED 9:13	18:7, 18:13,	DAYS 27:12,	32:13, 34:5
COMPLAINT 19:19	19:5, 19:6,	27:18	DIRECT 26:14,
COMPLETE 17:23,	20:9, 20:11,	DEAL 8:16	29:16, 35:15
26:8	21:21, 21:22,	DECIDE 7:25	DIRECTING 17:16
COMPLETED 26:3	24:5, 24:25,	DECIDED 3:27,	DISAGREE 3:24,
COMPLETELY	30:14, 33:5,	18:3	22:23, 22:24
17:7, 35:11	33:10, 37:16	DECISION 7:5,	DISCLOSURE
COMPLETING	COUNSEL 2:1,	20:7, 20:23,	26:5, 26:6
27:11	2:27, 6:7,	22:15, 23:4, 24:14	DISCONNECT 9:10
COMPONENT 13:13	28:11		DISCOVERY 26:3,
COMPROMISE 35:9	COUNTIES 4:15,	DECISION-MAKERS	26:8, 27:11,

27:14, 35:1 DISCRETION 11:12, 11:13, 12:22, 18:18, 19:10, 21:19 DISCUSS 27:2, 27:9, 35:18, 35:20 DISMISS 3:22 DISMISSAL 34:23 DISPOSITIVE 30:7, 30:13 DISRUPT 14:14,	32:6, 32:7, 33:6, 33:10, 33:18, 35:7, 36:1 EITHER 7:16, 8:5, 11:11, 18:21, 21:5, 27:7, 35:10, 35:17 ELECTIONS 31:20 EN 6:13, 14:16, 24:13 END 15:21,	26:6, 26:8, 27:11, 27:14 EXPERTS 27:19, 27:22, 28:5 EXTENT 4:4, 4:8, 5:4, 5:21, 20:20, 20:24, 27:2, 32:14 < F > FACIAL 12:10 FACT 5:24,	FLOOR 2:29 FOLLOWING 29:10 FORCING 21:17 FOREGOING 37:16 FORM 14:25, 31:8, 31:25, 32:1 FORMALITY 35:16 FORMAT 37:22 FORTH 20:15 FOUR 31:3 FRAMED 6:8 FUNDAMENTAL
15:12 DISTINCT 25:14 DISTINCTION 16:6 DISTRICT 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 37:10, 37:12	28:5, 36:5 ENGAGE 18:15 ENTERED 13:19, 17:16 ENTERTAIN 30:18 ENTIRE 14:10, 14:14	6:11, 25:7 FACTS 21:1 FACTUAL 15:1 FACTUALLY 5:21 FAILED 4:11 FAMILIARIZE 28:2	32:9 < G > GAP 26:24 GAVE 30:10 GENERAL 2:19,
DIVISION 1:2 DOCTRINE 14:13 DOING 21:4, 23:7, 23:11 DONE 17:1, 18:11, 29:2,	ENTIRELY 32:25 ENTIRETY 5:17, 6:10 EQUAL 10:14, 30:18, 30:22, 31:10, 31:15,	FAR 12:1 FASHION 17:24 FASHIONED 22:20 FAULT 8:18 FEDERAL 1:39, 37:8, 37:36	GENERAL 2:19, 3:10, 3:19 GETTING 16:9, 32:20 GIVE 27:17 GIVEN 7:2, 12:21, 16:19,
30:23 DUE 8:17 < E > EARLIER 5:22,	32:9, 32:15, 32:24, 33:16, 34:3, 34:16 ESSENTIALLY 31:3, 31:17 ET 1:7, 1:13	FIGURED 27:21 FILE 26:10, 26:14, 26:16, 28:17, 28:25, 29:12, 29:16, 29:18	16:24, 18:22 GIVES 11:12 GOVERNMENT 2:20, 19:18, 31:7, 31:13, 31:14, 31:23
30:1 EASIER 17:22 EAST 2:5, 2:11 EASY 17:24, 18:2 EFFORT 4:4,	EVIDENTIARY 26:18, 27:6 EXACT 31:11 EXACTLY 18:4 EXAMPLE 10:19, 16:23	FILED 29:22 FINAL 26:22, 29:24 FIND 14:23 FINE 22:5, 23:24, 24:20,	GOVERNOR 12:19 GREATER 4:20 GROUP 34:10 GROUPS 10:23 GUARD 10:20, 12:2
17:11 EIGHT 13:14, 14:8 EISENBERG 2:17, 3:18, 3:19, 3:21, 17:4,	EXCEPTIONS 10:21 EXCUSE 17:5, 19:20 EXERCISE 31:9, 34:5, 34:12	31:14 FIREARM 4:16, 32:8 FIRST 1:40, 5:5, 31:4, 31:17, 31:20,	GUARDS 10:22 GUESS 11:6, 12:25
27:15, 27:16, 27:21, 28:13, 28:17, 28:19, 28:22, 29:3, 29:9, 30:6, 30:11, 30:15,	EXERCISED 18:18 EXERCISING 15:20 EXPECTED 29:15, 29:20 EXPERT 26:5,	33:20 FIT 10:8, 11:25 FITS 11:2, 11:7 FLANAGAN 1:7, 3:10 FLETCHER 24:13	HAPPEN 17:13 HARRIS 1:13, 3:10 HAS. 18:6 HEAR 4:25, 29:14

HEARD 12:14,
12:17, 26:11
HEARING 28:12
HELD 37:20
HELP 35:22
HEREBY 37:12
HOLD 31:19
HOME 31:8,
31:9, 31:22,
31:24, 34:15
HONORABLE 1:3
HYPOTHETICAL
33:16

< I > IDENTICAL 31:21 IDENTIFIED 23:2, 32:11 IGNORE 34:8 ILLINOIS 8:14, 17:22 IMPLICATED 32:24 IMPROPERLY 19:24, 19:25, 21:12 IN. 10:15 INASMUCH 4:6, 7:20, 7:21 INCLUDE 30:13 INDICATING 33:23 INDIVIDUAL 9:18, 20:7, 21:13, 22:3, 22:6, 23:5 INDIVIDUALS 13:25, 21:1 INFORMAL 35:18 INFRINGEMENT 31:10, 32:23 INITIAL 26:5 INSTANCE 17:22 INSTRUCTIVE 31:3 INTACT 13:9 INTERPRETATION 14:11 INVERSE 13:10

IRRELEVANT 31:5 ISSUE 4:13, 4:16, 5:3, 5:25, 6:3, 7:7, 7:21, 8:2, 8:5, 8:9, 9:8, 9:12, 13:2, 15:14, 16:15, 18:16, 19:3, 19:11, 20:25, 21:12, 21:17, 21:20, 22:2, 23:19, 24:15, 24:23, 31:4, 32:9, 33:1, 34:18, 34:19 ISSUED 11:18, 16:7, 21:6 ISSUES 3:26, 4:6, 4:7, 27:3, 27:5, 27:7, 29:22, 30:4, 31:15 ISSUING 18:17,

< J > JOHN 1:3 JOINT 27:4, 29:21 JOKO 1:38, 37:8, 37:33, 37:35 JONATHAN 2:17, 3:19 JUDGE 1:4, 24:13, 35:5, 35:19 JUDGMENT 7:12, 13:18, 17:16, 30:8 JUDICIAL 37:24 JUDICIALLY 22:10 JURISDICTION 5:2, 7:22, 12:18, 21:10

JUSTICIABLE 8:9

21:24

ITEM 3:9

K >
KAMALA 1:13,
3:10
KRAMER 31:1,
31:16, 34:8
KRONSTADT 1:3

< L > LACKS 5:2 LANA 2:25, 3:15 LARGER 10:18, 33:8 LAST 26:1, 26:10, 26:11, 26:14, 26:16, 28:17, 29:12, 29:14, 29:16, 29:18 LATER 25:12, 34:24, 35:17 LAW 2:20, 7:3, 7:21, 8:11, 8:17, 9:5, 9:8, 9:22, 10:4, 10:12, 11:12, 12:16, 12:18, 15:8, 17:9, 22:16, 23:13, 23:21, 24:2, 24:23, 28:5, 28:8, 35:10 LEAVE 29:1 LEAVING 28:21 LEE 2:25 LEFT 22:3 LEGAL 5:25, 6:3, 25:9, 33:14, 35:13 LEGISLATURE 12:18 LESS 4:15, 10:2, 10:13, 11:15, 23:20, 33:3 LEVEL 32:22 LIGHT 26:23, 35:1

LIMITS 16:14 LITIGATING 13:15, 14:8, 14:9, 24:12 LIVE 33:2, 33:8 LOCAL 31:18 LONG 2:7, 2:13, 29:8 LONGER 6:16 LOOK 17:21, 31:16, 32:21 LOOKING 25:1, 25:4 LOS 1:28, 1:41, 2:23, 2:26, 2:30, 3:1, 4:17, 4:24, 7:13, 8:23, 9:22, 13:23, 24:22, 25:11, 25:15, 37:3 LOT 22:25

< M > MAGISTRATE 35:5, 35:19 MAJORITY 24:14 MANNER 6:18, 14:18, 14:19, 22:19 MANNER. 14:20 MATERIALLY 3:25 MATTER 6:6, 9:8, 12:10, 14:9, 14:16, 15:1, 16:12, 35:5, 37:20 MATTERS 3:25, 26:23, 29:6, 30:5 MCDONNELL 3:16 MEAN 12:10, 28:24 MEANING 35:10 MEANS 22:10 MEET 35:19 MEETING 35:4 MEETS 11:2 MERGED 30:9

MERITS 6:22	5:11, 9:21,	25:17, 27:4,	6:11, 6:12,
MICHAEL 2:17	9:25, 16:1,	28:6, 29:9,	7:22, 9:8,
MICHEL 2:4,	16:3, 19:8,	32:3, 33:9,	9:18, 10:1,
2:10	19:15, 37:35	34:10, 35:10	10:12, 11:4,
MICHELLE 1:7,	NOBODY 34:12	ONE. 29:7	11:10, 11:17,
3:9	NON-EXPERT 26:3	OPENLY 21:7	11:18, 11:20,
MIND 25:24	NON-PEACE	OPPORTUNITY	12:6, 15:6,
	10:11, 10:22	27:3	
MINUTE 30:21		_	15:11, 16:16,
MISSING 17:4	NON-SPECIALIZED	OPPOSED 16:23,	23:20, 33:5
MISUNDERSTANDIN	10:23	25:11, 27:12	PERMIT. 13:9
G 20:3	NONE 28:12,	OPPOSITION 31:2	PERMITS 4:14,
MOMENT 5:20,	29:23	ORAL 30:18	4:16, 8:2, 8:6,
25:17	NORMAL 10:10	ORDER 8:21,	9:13, 10:5,
MONDAY 1:30,	NOT. 34:11	12:5, 18:10	13:3, 13:6,
3:1, 28:18	NOTHING 11:23	ORDINARILY	15:14, 15:19,
MONFORT 2:3	NOTWITHSTANDING	26:22	16:8, 18:17,
MORNING 3:14,	5:23	OTHERWISE 17:3	18:23, 19:11,
3:15, 3:17,		OUTLET 7:17,	19:14, 20:1,
3:18, 3:21		9:1, 15:20	20:7, 21:6,
MOTION 3:22,	< 0 >	OUTSET 15:2,	21:12, 21:18,
34:24	O'CLOCK 29:25	25:5	21:20, 21:25,
MOTIONS 26:10,	OBJECTIONS	OUTSIDE 31:7,	22:2, 22:7,
28:17, 29:12,	26:16, 26:19,	31:9, 31:22,	
			23:5, 31:25,
30:7, 30:13	27:6, 29:18	31:24, 34:15	32:1
MOVE 25:12	OBTAIN 6:23,		PERMITTED 7:2,
MS 3:15, 3:17,	7:23, 10:11	_	10:19, 11:1,
17:5, 17:6,	OBTAINED 34:23	< P >	18:11
22:1, 22:12,	OBVIOUS 15:22	PAGE 30:12,	PERSON 10:15,
22:15, 25:21,	OCEAN 2:5, 2:11	37:22	10:17, 10:24,
35:25, 36:3	OFFICE 2:18,	PANEL 6:13,	10:25, 11:18
MULTIPLE 27:22,	2:26	14:16	PERSONS 10:5,
33:19	OFFICER 10:7,	PART 8:11,	32:12
MYSELF 28:2	10:11, 10:23,	16:16, 16:17,	PERSUADED
	12:1, 12:2	23:23, 25:7,	22:23, 25:12
	OFFICIAL 1:39,	25:8, 30:6	PERUTA 3:27,
< N >	37:1, 37:8,	PARTICULAR	4:2, 6:5, 6:9,
NATURE 12:3	37:36	27:16, 28:10	6:12, 7:8,
NECESSARILY	OFFICIALS 31:19	PARTIES 19:7,	7:20, 14:8,
32:16, 33:23	OKAY 12:4,	26:1	14:16, 15:3,
NECESSARY	20:10, 20:16,	PARTY 23:16,	15:13, 15:15,
13:13, 23:15	21:15, 22:14,	34:20, 34:22	16:18, 17:12,
	23:22, 25:3,		19:4, 20:1,
NEED 17:21,		PC 2:4, 2:10	
21:6, 27:8,	29:5, 29:10,	PEACE 10:7,	21:2, 21:16,
36:2	30:11, 31:13,	12:1, 12:2	24:12, 24:18,
NEW 33:25	34:17, 35:4	PEOPLE 4:16,	25:5
NINTH 3:27,	ONCE 31:14,	10:14, 15:23,	PICKED 27:23
4:2, 5:18,	31:19	31:24, 32:4,	PLACE 4:10,
6:13, 14:16,	ONE 9:20, 9:21,	34:14	5:22
20:17, 24:13	9:24, 11:16,	PER 22:1	PLAINTIFF 5:6,
NO. 1:38, 3:9,	11:18, 17:23,	PERMIT 5:3,	5:15, 8:18,

31:2 PLAINTIFFS 1:9, 2:3, 3:13, 4:5, 6:3, 6:5, 6:11, 6:17, 7:18, 8:13, 9:14, 9:18, 11:8, 13:3, 13:12, 14:15, 15:6, 18:20, 19:16, 20:8, 21:13, 22:6, 23:5, 32:11, 34:15 PLEADINGS 26:2 PLEASE 3:11 POINT 12:15, 23:1, 25:10, 26:25, 30:16 POINTS 31:3 POLICIES 17:7 POLICY 9:3 POPULATION 10:13, 33:3 POPULATIONS 4:15 POSITION 5:6, 5:15, 12:4, 14:17, 33:14 POSSIBLE 35:18 PRECLUDE 21:17 PRECLUDES 22:20 PREFER 29:4 PREFERENCE 15:22 PREJUDICE 34:24 PREPARE 28:1	PROCEEDINGS 1:26, 25:13, 36:5, 37:18 PROCESS 23:7, 23:9, 25:6 PROHIBITED 17:8 PROPOSED 25:25, 27:11, 27:13, 35:4 PROTECTION 10:14, 30:18, 30:22, 31:10, 31:15, 32:2, 32:10, 32:15, 32:24, 33:16, 34:3, 34:16 PROTECTIONS 31:20 PROVIDE 7:6, 7:17, 9:1, 13:11 PROVISION 14:6 PUBLIC 32:8 PURSUANT 37:14 PURSUE 19:25, 25:16 PUT 12:25 PUTTING 12:13 < Q > QUALIFY 12:7 QUESTION 11:16, 20:13, 21:8, 21:9, 28:5, 28:8, 32:22,	REDUNDANT 32:25, 34:6 REFERRING 28:16 REFLECT 33:1 REFUSE 18:18, 18:20 REGARD 8:25 REGARDS 11:13 REGULATES 23:18 REGULATIONS 37:24 REJECTED 5:19, 14:16 RELEVANT 4:8, 4:12 RELIEF 7:14, 7:15, 7:23, 8:21, 13:12, 34:23, 35:1 RELITIGATE 6:4, 17:12 RELITIGATED 3:28, 5:20, 20:19 RELITIGATION 4:13 REMAINED 7:14 REMAINS 5:1 REMEDIES 23:13 REMEDY 6:10, 6:21, 6:22, 6:25, 7:1, 7:4, 15:13, 16:11, 16:20, 16:22, 17:25, 23:2, 24:9, 24:10,	REQUIRE 15:24 REQUIRED 28:24 REQUIREMENT 14:12, 15:16 REQUIREMENTS 11:3 REQUIRING 13:19, 13:20, 17:17 RESIDE 10:13 RESIDENT 10:11 RESIDENTS 10:3, 11:8 RESIDENTS 10:18, 11:18 RESOLUTION 15:7, 35:18 RESOLUTION 15:7, 35:18 RESOLVED 7:20, 32:15, 32:17, 35:8 RESPECT 3:26, 8:18, 11:24, 12:23, 13:21, 16:25, 17:18, 25:23, 29:21, 30:3, 34:18 REVIEW 22:15, 32:16, 33:24 RIGHTS 13:8 ROOM 1:40 RULE 25:19 RULING 24:13, 30:12, 34:18 RULINGS 26:13, 26:18, 29:15,
PREPARED 28:2 PRESIDING 1:4	28:8, 32:22, 35:13 QUICKLY 32:17 QUOTE 34:9	24:9, 24:10, 25:9 REPEATED 22:24 REPEATEDLY	26:18, 29:15, 29:20
PRETRIAL 26:22, 29:24 PRETTY 21:9 PREVIOUSLY-SCHE DULED 28:20 PRINCIPAL 27:10 PRIOR 13:21, 27:8 PROBABLY 29:3 PROBLEM 14:5, 14:21, 24:21 PROCEED 33:22	<pre></pre>	REPEATEDLY 17:16 REPORT 25:19, 28:1, 29:21 REPORTED 37:18 REPORTER 1:39, 37:1, 37:10, 37:36 REPORTER'S 1:26 REPRESENTED 15:5 REQUEST 11:19	<pre> < S > SAN 19:8 SATURDAY 28:22 SAYING 10:17, 13:6, 14:4, 14:10, 16:7, 18:19, 21:5, 24:18, 29:2, 33:12 SAYS 25:6 SCHEDULE 28:11</pre>

SCHEDULING 3:23, 30:4 SCHEME 5:16, 6:10, 8:11, 14:10, 14:14, 18:2, 22:20 SCHOOL 31:18, 31:19 SCRUTINY 32:22 SEAN 2:9, 3:12	SHERIFFS 11:13, 16:14, 22:4, 23:18, 23:24, 24:1 SHOWING 22:3, 25:10 SIDE 14:22, 27:7, 35:10, 35:17 SIMPLE 21:9	16:24, 17:18, 17:24, 18:25, 20:6, 20:18, 20:21, 20:22, 22:1, 23:17 STATUTORY 11:3, 11:25, 16:4 STENOGRAPHICALL Y 37:18 STREET 1:40,	1:26, 37:18, 37:22 TREATED 32:12 TREATING 34:4 TRIAL 26:20, 26:23, 27:2, 27:8, 30:1 TRIGGERED 31:15 TRIGGERS 34:16 TRUE 13:10, 37:16
SECOND 5:24, 9:15, 13:8, 15:4, 15:8, 15:16, 18:11, 20:18, 25:7,	SITUATION 31:21, 33:24, 34:10 SIX 27:12 SOLELY 6:14,	2:21, 2:28 SUBBED 27:25 SUBSUMED 32:17 SUCCESSFUL 6:22 SUFFICIENT	TRUST 8:13 TRY 35:5 TRYING 20:2 TWO 5:7, 28:6
30:23, 31:4, 31:12, 32:7, 32:18, 32:20, 33:17 SECTION 2:20,	19:16, 25:1 SOLUTION 14:4 SOONER 27:3 SORT 31:5 SOUGHT 16:19,	27:18 SUGGESTS 12:14 SUITE 2:6, 2:12, 2:22 SUMMARY 30:7	< U > UNABLE 12:12, 13:11
37:14	35:1	SUMMER 27:21	UNDERSTAND
SECURITY 10:20,	SOUTH 2:21	SUPPORT 33:15	5:15, 7:10,
10:22, 12:2	SPEAKING 9:17	SUSPECT 32:12,	8:7, 8:8, 8:20,
SEEK 7:1, 8:21,	SPECIFIC 10:8	34:2	11:14, 13:1,
13:12	SPECIFICALLY	SYSTEM 16:13,	13:16, 13:18,
SEEKING 6:3,	28:3, 34:9	16:14	17:15, 21:2,
6:9, 6:10,	SPENT 13:14,		29:7, 32:5,
6:12, 6:14,	14:8, 24:11		34:17
6:17, 7:15,	SPRING 2:21		UNDERSTANDABLE
11:17, 14:17,	STANDARD 10:11	T. 1:38, 37:8,	20:4
14:18, 15:7,	STANDARDS 20:1,	37:33, 37:35	UNDISPUTED 4:19
18:9, 19:12,	21:24	TEIXEIRA 34:7,	UNFORTUNATELY
19:16, 20:20,	STANDING 9:15,	34:9, 34:13	8:12, 8:16,
20:24, 23:2,	9:17, 12:5	TEMPLE 2:28	14:15, 18:1,
23:4, 24:19	STATED 15:1,	TENTATIVE 34:22	21:16
SEEKS 10:24	17:12, 24:21,	TERMS 27:5	UNITED 1:1,
SEEMS 20:3	30:1	TESTIMONY	1:4, 37:10,
SELF-DEFENSE	STATEMENT	26:14, 29:16	37:14, 37:24
14:12	13:21, 27:4	THEY'VE 14:1	UNLESS 28:10
SEPARATE 5:7, 25:14, 34:4 SET 26:25, 28:10	STATES 1:1, 1:4, 37:10, 37:16, 37:26 STATUS 26:21,	THINKS 27:7 THOUGH 6:8, 9:13 TITLE 37:14	UPHELD 4:3, 5:23, 16:18 USES 21:24
SETTLEMENT	27:1	TODAY 19:11,	< V > V. 3:10 VACATION 28:20 VALID 15:4 VALIDITY 15:3
35:9, 35:11,	STATUTE 4:3,	35:23	
35:15, 35:20	4:5, 4:9, 4:10,	TOP 30:12	
SEVEN 27:12	4:14, 5:13,	TOTAL 8:15	
SEVENTH 8:15,	5:22, 10:9,	TOWN 28:19	
17:21	12:6, 12:8,	TRACK 25:24	
SEVERAL 24:11	15:25, 16:13,	TRANSCRIPT	VAST 11:13

VERSUS 15:13, 31:12 WORK 28:10 31:4 WRITTEN 34:18 VIA 8:11 VIABLE 5:12 WROTE 24:14 VIEWS 34:22 VIOLATE 20:18, 25:6 < X > VIOLATES 15:8 XAVIER 3:19 VOTE 31:18 VS 1:11 < Y > YEARS 13:14, < W > 14:8, 24:11 WANTED 19:3 WEAPON 6:11, 6:12, 8:2, 9:13, 13:3, 13:9, 15:11, 15:14, 15:19, 16:8, 18:23, 19:11, 31:25 WEAPONS 19:13 WEEK 27:4, 28:14, 29:9 WEEKS 27:12 WEST 1:40, 2:28 WESTERN 1:2 WHETHER 6:4, 6:19, 7:5, 19:17, 21:11, 23:3, 31:8, 32:2, 33:15, 34:19 WHOLE 13:4, 20:11, 20:12, 20:15 WHOLLY 34:8 WHOM 34:23 WILL 15:21, 28:19, 29:8 WISH 8:13, 14:5, 24:18, 35:19 WITHIN 13:22, 32:17 WITHOUT 6:25, 13:12, 34:24 WONDERING 30:17 WORDS 13:5, 13:14, 18:22,