Case_{II}3:17-cv-01017-BEN-JLB Document 95 Filed 04/04/19 PageID.8202 Page 1 of 4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant's Ex Parte Application to Stay Judgment Pending Appeal (Dkt. No. 94) *confirms* the need for an immediate stay pending Defendant's appeal of the Court's Judgment. Plaintiffs concede that the "legal questions at the heart of this matter are 'serious,'" with respect to the first factor considered in granting a stay. Opp'n at 5:9-10. As for irreparable harm, Plaintiffs have submitted evidence that one out-of-state retailer has already processed and shipped orders from "thousands" of California residents since the entry of Judgment. Wylie Decl. ¶ 4 (emphasis added). And Plaintiffs have confirmed that "[m]any" firearms-related businesses and individuals have "either begun selling and shipping or had already purchased" large-capacity magazines. Barvir Decl. ¶ 8; see also id., Exs. 1, 2. This apparent flood of new large-capacity magazines into the State poses an unacceptable danger to public safety. Beyond that, a stay is needed to limit the number of people who will have "sold, shipped, or purchased magazines over ten rounds" in the period between when the Court entered its order and it (or the Ninth Circuit) grants a stay. Opp'n at 10:10-11. Indeed, even Plaintiffs acknowledge that these newly purchased large-capacity magazines will be rendered unlawful if Defendant prevails on appeal and that "the law will require these individuals to divest themselves of their newly acquired magazines." Opp'n at 8:11-12. A stay is necessary both to avoid the irreparable harm to public safety and prevent the problems that may occur should the Court's decision be reversed on appeal. The evidence submitted by Plaintiffs supports the Defendant's request for

The evidence submitted by Plaintiffs supports the Defendant's request for immediate judicial relief. An immediate stay of the judgment pending appeal is required to preserve the status quo, prevent irreparable harm to the State and its residents, and ensure an orderly process for reviewing the constitutionality of this important public safety measure. In light of the new information presented by Plaintiffs, Defendant requests that the Court issue a stay of the Judgment pending appeal no later than **5:00 p.m.**, **Thursday**, **April 4.**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name:	Virginia Duncan, et al. v. Xavier Becerra	Case No.:	17-cv-1017-BEN-JLB
•	fy that on <u>April 4, 2019</u> , I electroni Court by using the CM/ECF system	•	llowing document with the
	SE TO PLAINTIFFS' OPPO PPLICATION TO STAY J		
-	all participants in the case are regis by the CM/ECF system.	tered CM/ECF u	sers and that service will be
	er penalty of perjury under the laws and that this declaration was execute		0 0
Iol	nn D. Echeverria	/s/ I	ohn D. Echeverria

Declarant

Signature