

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiffs Steven Rupp, Steven Dember, Cheryl Johnson, Michael Jones, Christopher Seifert, Alfonso Valencia, Troy Willis, Dennis Martin, and the California Rifle & Pistol Association, Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment as to the remaining claim of their Third Amended Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief against Defendant, California Attorney General Xavier Becerra, came on regularly for consideration before the Court on May 31, 2019 at 10:30 a.m. Appearances by counsel are noted in the record. After considering the moving, opposition, and reply briefs, all the evidence submitted by both parties, and the arguments presented by the parties' respective counsel, this Court issued an order (ECF NO. ____), holding that: There are no genuine issues of material fact that would affect Plaintiffs' claim under the Right to Bear Arms/Second Amendment (U.S. Const. amends. II and XIV) set forth in the Third Amended Complaint and, therefore, Plaintiffs are entitled to summary judgment under Fed.R.Civ. P.56(a); IN ACCORDANCE WITH THAT ORDER, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED and that: Defendant is hereby enjoined from enforcing California Penal Code §§ 30510(a), 30515(a)(1)(A-C), 30515(a)(1)(E-F), 30515(a)(3), 30520, 30600, 30605, 30925, and 30945, as well as California Code of Regulations, title 11, section 5499, to the extent they prohibit the acquisition, possession, or transfer of any semiautomatic, centerfire rifle with a detachable magazine having a "pistol grip," "flash suppressor," "thumbhole stock," or "telescoping" stock, or any semi-automatic, centerfire rifle that is over 26 inches in overall length. The aforementioned sections of the California Penal Code and regulations are unconstitutional violations of Plaintiffs' right to bear arms under the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution. Plaintiff is the prevailing party and shall be entitled to recover its reasonable costs of suit. Further, Defendant's motion for summary judgment filed in this action is

1	DENIED.
2	Further, Judgment as to Plaintiffs' other claims for Due Process and Takings,
3	previously dismissed by the Court on May 9, 2018 (ECF No. 49), is hereby entered
4	in favor of Defendant consistent with this Court's ruling on that motion. All relief
5	sought by Plaintiffs under those two claims is denied.
6	
7	
8	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
10	
11	Dated:
12	Honorable Judge Josephine L. Staton United States District Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2627	
28	
40	3
	IPROPOSEDI HIDGMENT